Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip Groundwater/Hydrology Section FILE # # G-17652 ROUTED TO: Water Rights - Kerry TOWNSHIP/ RANGE-SECTION: 65/39E-5 CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: [4] yes [] no REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS: Reviewer: Mike Zwart

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT July 2,2013 **MEMO** Application G-17652 TO: GW: Mike Zwart (Reviewer's Name) FROM: **SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation** YES The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway NO YES Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J) ΠV NO П Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below. Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway. DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in ______ Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Water	r Rights Se	ection		Date							
FROM	:	Grou	nd Water/F	łydrology	Section		nel Zwart						
SUBJE	CT:	Appli	cation G	17652_			ewer's Name persedes re	view of					
OAR 69 welfare, to determ	90-310-1 safety a mine who	.30 (1) 7 nd healt ether the	The Departr th as descri presumption	nent shall p bed in ORS on is establi	537.525. D shed. OAR	a propose epartment 690-310-1	ed groundwestaff review 40 allows t	w ground wate he proposed u	ensure the preser applications use be modified	under OA d or condi	of the pub AR 690-3 tioned to	10-140 meet	
•	•				_				cies in place a			ation.	
Al.			PRMATIO ek(s) _ 3.32		n <u>two</u>		s) in the	Powder	DeRuyter orth Powder			Basin,	
A2. A3.						<u>S</u> Seas	onality:	March 1 to		under log	gid):		
Well	Log	id	Applicant' Well #		posed juifer*	Propose Rate(cf:		Location /R-S QQ-Q)		n, metes a N, 1200' E			
1	Propo		1	В	asalt	3.32	6S/3	39E-5 SE-NE	170'	N, 750' W	fr E ¼ co	r S 5	
3	Propo	sed		_ <u>_B</u>	asalt	3.32	6 <u>S/3</u>	9E-5 NW-SE	200' S	, 2380' W	fr E ½ co	r S 5	
4													
5	ım, CRB,	Dadaaal											
* Alluviu	ım, CKB,	ведгоск											
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type	
2	3404				850						_		
\vdash										-			
A4. ensure t nearby	Comme	ents: <u>Pr</u> wells w s. I sus	ill develop	struction i the intende e wells wil	ed aquifer. I develop gi	Little is l roundwat	nown abou er in the Po	it the local boowder River	rmit conditionedrock forma Volcanics, ba	tions due sed on a 1	to the la learby w	ck of	
A5. 🛚	manage (Not all	ment of basin re	ules contain	er hydrauli such provi	cally connections.)	eted to sur	face water	□ are, or ⊠ 	the developm are not, activ	ated by th	is applica	and/or ation.	
A6. 🗌	Name o	f admin	istrative are	a:				p(s) an aquife	r limited by ar	administ	rative res	triction.	

Version: 08/15/2003

Applic	ation (G- <u>17652</u>	continued	Date: July 2	, 2013
B. <u>GR</u>	OUN	ND WATER AVAILABI	LITY CONSIDERATION	ONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400	-010, 410-0070
В1.	Bas	ed upon available data, I h	nave determined that ground	water* for the proposed use:	
	a.	period of the proposed		or annot be determined to led to the ground water portion of	
	b.			ints requested without injury to pr njury determination as prescrib	
	c.	☐ will not or ☐ will like	cely to be available within th	ne capacity of the ground water re-	source; or
	d.	i. The permit shii. The permit sh	ould contain condition #(s) ould be conditioned as indicated as indica	sting ground water rights or to the 7N cated in item 2 below. on(s) as indicated in item 3 below	
B2.	a.	☐ Condition to allow gr	ound water production from	no deeper than	ft. below land surface;
	b.	☐ Condition to allow gr	ound water production from	no shallower than	ft. below land surface;
	c.	Condition to allow growater reservoir;	ound water production only	from the basalt or ot	her bedrock ground
	d.	occur with this use and	without reconstructing are	ne or more of the above condition cited below. Without reconstruction struction is filed with the Department	on, I recommend withholding
				at is likely to occur without well rource, etc):	
В3.		ound water availability ren o competent basalt or other		ondition: The wells shall be case	ed and sealed at least ten feet

Application G-17652	continued
Application G-17032	Continued

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1,2	Basalt or other bedrock (Powder River Volcanics?)		

Date: July 2, 2013

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:	Basalt aquifers are typically confined	The well noted above (UNIO 52087)
flowed at land surface at the time of constr	uction.	

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUME	YES NO
1	1	Wolf Creek	3340?	3370	9100		
2	1	Wolf Creek	3340?	3370	8500		
					_		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: The likely aquifer is below the bed of the creek.	
	_
Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Wolf Cr > Powder R at mouth (72163).	

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Version: 08/15/2003

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comments: _	This sect	ion does	not apply.						

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	T -	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well O	as CFS												
	ence CFS												
	outed Well												
Well	SW#	<u>Jan</u>	Feb	<u>Mar</u>	Apr	<u>May</u>	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q											_		
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfere	ence CFS				_								
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
445 =													
	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (\mathbf{A})$	(C)	's '	\ \frac{1}{2}	4	¥	.*		Q.	·4°	1	v	Y	¥
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A}$	/B) x 100	%	%	%	%	- %	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

plication G- <u>17652</u> continued	Date: <u>July 2, 2013</u>
Basis for impact evaluation:	
690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrime Rights Section.	ntally affect the public interest is to be determined by the \
under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substant i. The permit should contain condition #(s)	
ii. The permit should contain special condition(s	as indicated in "Remarks" below;
WILL CIVID and a local Constitution	
SW / GW Remarks and Conditions	
6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker Cour	er 1° by 2° Quad, Brooks, 1976; OWRD Ground Water Ronty, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Papton, 1967; past personal communications with DOGAM
Regional Geologist and other OWRD staff; nearby recent in	

App	ncanc	on G- <u>17</u>	1/652Continued Date. <u>341y 2, 2015</u>	
D 1	X/ET	I CON	ONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200	
D1.			f: Logid:	
D2.			WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon: review of the well log;	
	t	o. 🔲	field inspection by	;
	C	c. 🔲	report of CWRE	;
	C	d. 🗌	other: (specify)	
D3.	7		WELL construction deficiency:	
	-			
			commingles water from more than one ground water reservoir; permits the loss of artesian head;	
		d. 🔲	permits the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs;	
	e	e. 🔲	other: (specify)	_
D4.	7	THE W	WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:	
	_			
	-			
	_			
D5.	7	THE W	well a. □ was, or □ was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.	
			b.	
D6.			e to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconst with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.	struction
TH	IS SI	ECTIO	ON TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL	
D7.	□ '	Well co	construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:	
	-			
	-			
	_	_		
	-			
	-			
	-			
	_			200
			(Enforcement Section Signature)	
D8.		Route 1	e to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).	

