WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEN	40							<u>J</u>	aly	<i>10</i> ,	20.13
TO: FRO SUB	M: JECT:	GW:	ication (Fig. 1) (E) (E) (E)	au C	Mame)	6	aluation	1			
	_YES	- The s	ource of	f approp	oriation	is within	n or abo	ve a Sce	enic Wa	iterway	
_/	_YES _NO	Use th	ne Sceni	c Water	rway co.	ndition	(Condit	ion 7J)			
	interfecture interfecture Details the Details interfecture that the	erence vated into RS 390. Erence was Epartmane prop	.835, the with surference 835, the with surferent is unosed us	ace wate is distemble Groundace watenable to will n	er that of the control of the contro	contribut below. Section contributiat ther bly red	tes to a is una tes to a e is a pi uce the	Scenic ble to cascenic vereponde surface	Waterwalculate vaterwa erance e water	ground y; there of evide flows	water efore, ence
Calcula ealculai enformi Exerci Watery	ite the per ted, per c ing Water se of th way by	rcentage riteria in Rights th is permi	INTER of consum 390.835, out the De	nptive use do not fil partment sulated t mounts	e by mont ll in the to is unable o reduc	able but c to make e month	heck the a Prepor ly flows	"unable" derance s in	option a of Eviden	bove, thu ice findin	s g. Scenic
vhich an	surface Feb	water f	low is re	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	100	11141	, .p.	11145	5411		1145	505		1,07	200

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:	W	ater Rights Sect	ion		Date L	ıly 10, 2013
		8			Datejt	ny 10, 2013
FRO	M: Gi	oundwater Sect	ion <u>Marc No</u>	rton		
				Pavianas' Na	ne	
SUB.	IECT: A	oplication G- <u>1</u>	7604	Supersede	s review of	
				Supersede	s review of	Data of Davis and
						Date of Review(s)
PUB!	LIC INTERI	EST PRESUMI	<u>PTION; GROUNI</u>	OWATER		
\overline{OAR}	690-310-130 (1) The Departmen	nt shall presume that	a proposal arous	duatar waa will amaana t	he preservation of the public
welfan	re safety and l	valth as described	d in OPS 527 525 D	a proposea groun	iawaiei use will ensure ii	ne preservation of the public
to date	re, sujery unu n	eum as aescribea	: III OKS 337.323, DC	epartment stan rev	new groundwater applies	ations under OAR 690-310-140
to dete	emine whethe	the presumption	is established. OAR	690-310-140 allov	ws the proposed use be m	odified or conditioned to meet
the pr	esumption crite	ria. This review	is based upon availa	ible information :	and agency policies in p	lace at the time of evaluation.
4 (01		DODLE I MICOL				
A. <u>G</u>	<u>ENERAL IN</u>	<u>FORMATION</u>	: Applicant's N.	ame: <u>NF</u> Lan	d LLC	County: <u>Umatilla</u>
A1.	Applicant(s) seek(s) 6.47	_ cfs from 7	well(s) in the	<u>Walla Walla Rive</u>	r Basin,
			alla River			
	1101	II FULK WAIIA W	ana Kiver	subbastii	Quad Map: Bowlus H	ili & Peterson Ridge
A2.	D 1	. I	517.7	0	37	20
	Proposed us	e <u>irrigation of</u>	51/./ acres - prima	ry Seasonality:	March 1 - Noveml	ber 30
A3.	well and ac	uner data (attach	and number logs fo	or existing wells;	mark proposed wells as	s such under logid):
W 11		Applicant's		Proposed	Location	Location metes and bounds, e.g.
Well	Logid	Well#	Proposed Aquifer*	Rate(cfs)	(T/R-S QQ-Q)	2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	Proposed	1	CRBG	6.47	05N/36E-24 SE NW	1060' N, 885' W fr C of S 24
2	Proposed	2	CRBG	6.47	05N/36E-24 NE NW	620' S, 60' W fr N 1/4 cor S 24
3	Proposed	3	CRBG	6.47	05N/36E-13 SW SW	45' N, 1200' E fr SW cor S 13
4	Proposed	4	CRBG	6.47	05N/36E-13 SW SE	1200' N, 900' E fr S 1/4 cor S 13
5	Proposed	5	CRBG	6.47	05N/36E-13 SE SW	470'N, 250' W fr S 1/4 cor S 13
6	Proposed	6	CRBG	6.47	05N/36E-24 NW NE	360' S, 1270' E fr N ¼ cor S 24
7	Proposed	7	CRBG	6.47	05N/36E-13 SE SW	1240' N, 300' W fr S 1/4 cor S 13
8	Proposed	8	CRBG	6.47	05N/36E-23 SW NE	695' S, 125' E fr 1/4 N cor S 23
9	Proposed	9	CRBG	6.47	05N/36E-24 NW NW	1250' S, 260' E fr NW cor S 24
10	Proposed	10	CRBG	6.47	05N/36E-23 NW NW	720' S, 215' E fr NW cor S 23
11	Proposed	11	CRBG	6.47	05N/36E-24 NW NW	375' S, 825' E fr NW cor S 24
12	Proposed	12	CRBG	6.47	05N/36E-23 NW NE	725' S, 1190' E fr N 1/4 cor S 23
13	Proposed	13	CRBG	6.47	05N/36E-23 NE NW	585' N, 580' E fr S ¼ cor S 14
14	Proposed	14	CRBG	6.47	05N/36E-23 SE NE	895' S, 370' W fr NE cor S 23

UMAT 57199

15

CRBG

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	1627				500	0 - 300	0 - 500		300 - 500			
2	1773				625	0 - 400	0 - 625		400 - 625			
3	1807				625	0 - 400	0 - 625		400 - 625			
4	2032				900	0 - 400	0 - 900		600 - 900			
5	2056				900	0 - 400	0 – 900		600 - 900			
6	2094				950	0 - 400	0 - 950		650 - 950			
7	2185				1000	0 - 400	0 - 1000		700 - 1000			
8	1540				350	0 - 50	0 - 50		50 - 350			
9	1590				410	0 - 50	0 - 50		0 - 410			
10	1520				320	0 - 50	0 - 50		50 - 320			
11	1720				520	0 - 50	0 - 50		50 - 520			
12	1560				380	0 - 50	0 - 50		50 - 380			
13	1700				510	0 - 50	0 - 50		50 - 510			
14	1580				410	0 - 50	0 - 50		50 - 410			
15	1660				305	0 - 20	+1 - 20			100		Air

6.47

05N/36E-23 NE NW

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. Comments: The proposed well construction will need to be modified so that when complete there will only be 100 feet of open borehole unless additional work is done to show that there is only one aquifer being developed. Well #15 was constructed by the prior owner in 1980.

Requested discharge rate is 2,904 gpm = 6.47 cfs.

245'N, 340' E fr S 1/4 cor S 14

^{*} Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Applica	ation	on G- 17604	Date: 07/10/2013	Page 2					
A5. 🗌	Provisions of the Basin rules relative to the development, classific management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water are, or are not, activated by this a (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments:								
A6. 🛚	Well(s) #								
в. <u>GR</u> (<u>DUN</u>	NDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 6	90-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070						
B1.	Bas	sed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the	proposed use:						
	a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundetermination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;	be determined to be over appropriated dundwater portion of the over-appropriation	ıring any					
	b.	will not or ☐ will likely be available in the amounts requested is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination.							
	c.	\boxtimes will not or \square will likely to be available within the capacity of	the groundwater resource; or						
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundw i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7B - Interfe Well Tag, 7T - Measuring Tube, Large measuring a ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated.	rence, 7N - Annual WL (February/Marc nd reporting with flow meter on each we below.						
B2.	a.	☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper tha	n ft. below land surfa	ice;					
	b.	☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower	han ft. below land surfa	ice;					
	c.	Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. land surface;	and1	ît. below					
	d.	Condition to allow production only from a single aquifer in the	Columbia River Basalt groundwater reserve	oir;					
	e.	Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. It issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is file Groundwater Section.	Without reconstruction, I recommend withh	rolding					
		Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):		ence w/					
В3.	Gra 517	roundwater availability remarks: The applicant is requesting 6.47 7.7 acres. Wells 1 – 14 are PROPOSED, well #15 has been constru	cfs (2900 gpm) from 15 wells for irrigat	ion of a Water					

Groundwater availability remarks: The applicant is requesting 6.47 cfs (2900 gpm) from 15 wells for irrigation of 517.7 acres. Wells 1 - 14 are PROPOSED, well #15 has been constructed but the well constructer did not file a Water Well Report. Wells 1 - 7 are located outside of the five-mile radius from City of Milton-Freewater wells and wells 8 - 15 are within the five-mile radius. Aquifers within the Columbia River Basalt Group are capable of producing 2900 gallons per minute in many areas of the Umatilla Basin. The problem is that these same aquifers cannot sustain that amount of development without declines occurring. Declines have been documented in basalt aquifers in the Umatilla Basin and in the Walla Walla sub-basin. An example would be UMAT 55891, a well that develops water from an aquifer in the Columbia River Basalts. See attached hydrograph. UMAT 55891 is the POA for permits G-15862 and G-16445. The permits are for irrigation of 104.7 and 104.9 acres respectively. Use of the well began in 2008. From

Date: 07/10/2013

February 2008 to February 2013, the groundwater level has declined 24 feet. Average pumpage was 1.7 acre-feet per acre for the first couple of years as only half of the land was irrigated. The groundwater resource cannot sustain the requested rate and quantity requested by this application. Groundwater levels in the many other wells in the area have significant groundwater level declines.

A permit could be issued if the amount of water for this project was reduced to 210 acre feet annually. The proposed well construction will need to be modified so that when complete there will only be 100 feet of open borehole unless additional work is done to show that there is only one aquifer being developed.

Aquifer/Well information for Groundwater Application G-17604 - Proposed

Well #	Well Head Elevation	Well Depth	Seal Depth	Top of Open Interval	Bottom of Open Interval
1	1627	500	300	300	500
2	1773	625	400	400	625
3	1807	625	400	400	625
4	2032	900	400	400	900
5	2056	900	400	400	900
6	2094	950	400	400	950
7	2185	1000	400	400	1000
8	1540	350	50	50	350
9	1590	410	50	50	410
10	1520	320	50	50	320
11	1720	520	50	50	520
12	1560	380	50	50	330
13	1700	510	50	50	460
14	1580	410	50	50	360
15	1660	305	20	20	305

Well #	Elevation	Seal Depth	Elev. At Top Open Interval	Open Interval		Elev. At Bottom
1	1627	300	1327		200	1127
2	1773	400	1373		225	1148
3	1807	400	1407		225	1182
4	2032	400	1632		500	1132
5	2056	400	1656		500	1156
6	2094	400	1694		550	1144
7	2185	400	1785		600	1185
8	1540	50	1490		300	1190
9	1590	50	1540		360	1200
10	1520	50	1470		270	1200
11	1720	50	1670		470	1180
12	1560	50	1510		280	1180
13	1700	50	1650		410	1190
14	1580	50	1530		310	1170
15	1660	20	1640	285		1355

There is a basalt-well nearby that encountered groundwater at an elevation of about 1332 feet. Current well construction requirements are that the wells only have 100 feet of open interval to minimize the opportunity for comingling groundwater from different aquifers.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	CRBG		
2	CRBG	T N	
3	CRBG		
4	CRBG	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	
5	CRBG		
6	CRBG		
7	CRBG		
8	CRBG		
9	CRBG	\boxtimes	
10	CRBG		
11	CRBG		
12	CRBG	<u> </u>	
13	CRBG		
14	CRBG		
15	CRBG	⊠	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>UMAT 57199 – water was encountered at 265' and rose to a level of 185'.</u> This is normal for wells completed in the CRBG.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	North Fork Walla Walla River	1460	1565	700		
2	1	North Fork Walla Walla River	1460	1565	2170		
3	1	North Fork Walla Walla River	1460	1545	2220		
4	1	North Fork Walla Walla River	1460	1560	4100		
5	1	North Fork Walla Walla River	1460	1565	3000		
6	1	North Fork Walla Walla River	1460	1595	2950		
7	1	North Fork Walla Walla River	1460	1570	3700		
8	1	North Fork Walla Walla River	1450	1490	580		
9	1	North Fork Walla Walla River	1450	1550	550		
10	1	North Fork Walla Walla River	1440	1470	600		
11	1	North Fork Walla Walla River	1460	1570	1650		
12	1	North Fork Walla Walla River	1450	1510	920		
13	1	North Fork Walla Walla River	1450	1495	2050		
14	1	North Fork Walla Walla River	1460	1520	850		
15	1	North Fork Walla Walla River	1450	1495	1580		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Groundwater elevations are below surface water elevations. The	
aquifer is even deeper.	
Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within:	

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare

the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked \boxtimes box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 efs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

C4a.	690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a
	percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This
	table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use
	additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#_	Jan	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	Apr	May	Jun	<u>Jul</u>	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%		%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS										-		
Interfere	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Wells	s					<u>-</u>						_
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS					_			_				
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	- %	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CES												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%		%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q) as CFS												
	ence CFS	_											, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS							_					

Interference CFS	Application G- 17604								Date: 07/10/2013			
(A) = Total Interf.												
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q										<u> </u>		
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q												ļ. <u></u>
(D) = (A) > (C)												
$(E) = (A / B) \times 100$	%	%	%	%	%	%	%		%	%	%	%
24b. 690-09-04 Rights 5	Section. ly conditio	oned, the s	surface w	ater source	e(s) can h	e adequat	ely protec	ted from i	nterferen			
i ii	The peri	mit should	I contain	special co	ndition(s)	as indica	ted in "Re	marks" be	elow;			
6. SW / GW Ren	narks and	Condition	ons									

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

DI.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.	a. revide revide repo	does not meet current well construction standards based upon: ew of the well log; inspection by rt of CWRE r: (specify)	;
D3.	a.	construction deficiency: titutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; mingles water from more than one groundwater reservoir; tits the loss of artesian head; tits the de-watering of one or more groundwater reservoirs; (specify)	
D4.		construction deficiency is described as follows:	
D5.		 a. was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification. b. don't know if it met standards at the time of construction. Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction be Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Groundwater Section.	1
		D BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL tion deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:	
D8.		, 200_orcement Section Signature) ter Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).	·

Water Level Elevation (Feet Above Sea Level)

Date: 07/10/2013

Casper Irrigation Lithology: basalt Aquifer: Columbia River Basalt Land surface elevation: 1050'

WALLA WALLA SUB-BASIN - UMATILLA BASIN OWRD LOGID UMAT 55891 06N/035E-16DDC



