WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT August 13,20 13 **MEMO** Application G- 17677 TO: GW: Mike Zwart (Reviewer's Name) FROM: **SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation** YES The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway 17 NO YES Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J) NO П Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below. Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway. DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in ______ Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	er Rights S	ection		Date <u>August 13, 2013</u>								
FROM	:	Grou	ındwater S	ection		Mike	Zwart							
SUBJE	ECT:	Appl	ication G-	17677			iewer's Nam persedes		iew of			Date of Re	•	
												Date of Re	view(s)	
OAR 69 welfare, to deter the pres	90-310-1. safety and mine when umption	30 (1) nd head ether the criteria	The Depart Ith as descr ne presumpt	MPTION; ment shall p ibed in ORS ion is establ ew is based ON: A	resume that 537.525. D ished. OAR	<i>a propos</i> epartment 690-310- able info r	ed ground t staff rev 140 allow rmation a	iew g /s the i nd a	ground wate e proposed gency poli	er applica use be me cies in pl	tions of the control	inder OA for cond the time	R 690-3 itioned to e of evalu	10-140 meet aation.
A1.				3 cfs from										Basin,
		<u>Silver</u>	Creek			subb	asin	Quac	d Map: St	inking l	Lake	,		
A2. A3.				igation, 74 ach and nu								ınder log	gid):	
Well	Logid	id Applicant's		's Propos	Proposed Aquifer*		Proposed		Location (T/R S OO O)		Location, metes and bou 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW			
1	HARN 1085 1		Alluv	Alluv./Volcanics		Rate(cfs) 7.97		(T/R-S QQ-Q) 25S/29E-29 NE-SW		1300' S, 2680' W fr E 1/4 c				
2	HARN 1				/Volcanics	7.9			5S/29E-29 NI			S, 1320' E		
3 4	Propose Propose		4	3 Alluv/Volcanics 4 Alluv/Volcanics		7.9			5S/29E-28 SV 5S/29E-29 NI		1320	0' S, 1320' 0' S, 1320'	EfrE¼C	or S 29
5	Propose		5		/Volcanics	2.0			6S/29E-18 NI			'S, 1320'		
6	Propose		6		/Volcanics	2.6			6S/29E-18 NI		13	20' S, 0' W	fr E ¼ co	r S 18
7	Proposed 7 Alluv/Volcanics				/Volcanics	1.	71	2:	5S/29E-32 NV	V-SE	0,	S, 1865' W	fr E ¼ co	r S 32
* Alluvii	ım, CRB,	Bedroc	k											
Well	Well Elev	First Water	, SWL	SWL	Well Depth	Seal Interval	Casing Interval		Liner Intervals	Perforat Or Scre		Well Yield	Draw Down	Test
	ft msł	ft bls	ft bls	Date	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(ft)	(ft)		(gpm)	(ft)	Туре
1	4128	35 8	6	6/4/73	338	0-20	0-170	\perp	None	Non		1278	97	Pump
3	4128 4128		6	8/20/78	457	0-25 0-70	0-200	-	None	60-12	:U	700	35	Pump
4	4126				400	0-70							-	
5	4119				400	0-40±		_				_		
7	4117 4141				400 400	0-40± 0-40±		_						
		lication	for proposed	wells	400	0-401								
A4.				wens.										
A5. 🛚	manage (Not all	ment o basin 1	rules contai	ur ater hydrauli n such provi	sions.)	cted to su	rface wate	er 🗀] are, or 🛚] are not	, activ	ated by th	nis applic	eation.
A6. 🗌	Name o	f admii	nistrative ar	ea:,										striction.

Version: 07/26/2013

Application G-17677 Date: August 13, 2013 Page

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

Bas	Based upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:								
a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;								
b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;								
c.	\square will not or \square will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or								
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N ;								
	 ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below; 								
a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;								
b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;								
c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the								
	Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;								
	Describe injury —as related to water availability— that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):								
Gr	ound water availability remarks: <u>Condition 7N is typically used in this part of the Malheur Lake Basin.</u>								
wea yea dis ava disi to c else pos trig	s application is about seven miles west of the western part of an area of the Malheur Lake Basin, known as the aver Springs area, for which the Department has concerns about the groundwater resource. As a result, several responsible are playing year-to-year water-level declines. As a result, the Department is currently finding that groundwater is not illable within the capacity of the resource in that area. The positive findings here are based on the significant cance from that area of the basin and on the lack of more local water-level data with an adequate period of record conclude whether or not water levels are stable. However, given the documented water-level declines there, and where in the basin, and the fact that several of the permitted groundwater rights there are not yet developed, it is sible that the proposed use here will result in water level declines that will eventually exceed one or more of the agers in the measurement condition that is being recommended.								
loca	cial Permit Condition: The permittee shall construct one minimum six-inch diameter observation well to etrate the same aquifer as the production wells. The well shall meet the Department's minimum well construction and shall be cased and sealed to the same depth as the production wells. The well shall be constructed at a action approved by the Department for the purpose of instrumentation with continuous water-level monitoring								
loca equ mo	ecial Permit Condition: The permittee shall construct one minimum six-inch diameter observation well to netrate the same aquifer as the production wells. The well shall meet the Department's minimum well construction and shall be cased and sealed to the same depth as the production wells. The well shall be constructed at a								

2

Date: August 13, 2013

3

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
All	Basin-fill sediments (Qal and Tvs of GW Report #16)		\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>Groundwater in the basin fill is generally unconfined and hydraulically connected to surface water, including Malheur and Harney Lakes.</u>

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO		
5	1	Silver Creek	4110±	4112	4000				
6	1	Silver Creek	4110±	4112	3800				

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Wells 1-4 and 7 are not within one mile of any surface water source. The likely head relationship with Silver Creek and proposed wells #5 and #6 suggests an efficient hydraulic connection.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Silver Cr > Harney Lk ab unn stream (31200408).

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	sw #	Well < ¹ / ₄ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
5	1						2.22	$oxed{\boxtimes}$	<25%	$\qquad \boxtimes ___$
6	1						2.22	\boxtimes	<25%	\boxtimes

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
		_						
							_	

Comments: The application states that proposed well #6 will be a backup well only. Therefore, the proposed rate for								
wells #5 and #6 should not be considered >5 cfs for the above table.								
	_							

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Dis	stributed	Wells						_					
Well	SW#	Jan_	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
Dictrib	uted Well	le.											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS											70	
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS							_					
Interfere	ence CFS							_					
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	- %	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	- %	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS												_
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS										_		
Interfere	ence CFS												
(A) = Tot	tal Interf.								_				
	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1.9	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (A)$	A) > (C)												
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A}/$		- %		%	%	- %		%	%	%	%	%	%

Version: 07/26/2013

5

	lluation:
690-09-040 (5) (b) Rights Section.	The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the V
under this permit ca	ioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water an be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: ermit should contain condition #(s)
ii. 🔲 The pe	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
W / GW Remarks an	d Conditions
	al well logs; local recent reviews; GW Report 16, by Leonard, 1970; Greene, Walker, and Corce of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-680; Mem
972, Geologic Map of	al well logs; local recent reviews; GW Report 16, by Leonard, 1970; Greene, Walker, and Corce of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-680; Mem Stream Assessment for Division 9 Review in the Malheur Lakes Basin.
972, Geologic Map of	f the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-680; Mem

Application G-17677

Date: August 13, 2013

Page

6

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:		
D2.	a. review of b. field inspe c. report of C d. other: (spe	not appear to meet current well construction stathe well log; ction by CWRE cify)	·	_;
D3.			d as follows:	
D4. [Route to the Well	Construction and Compliance Section for a revi	ew of existing well construction.	
Water	· Availability Tables			_

Water Availability Analysis Detailed Reports

SILVER CR > HARNEY L - AB UNN STR MALHEUR LAKE BASIN

Water Availability as of 8/7/2013

Watershed ID #: 31200408

Date: 8/7/2013

Exceedance Level:

Time: 8.29 AM

Water Availability Calculation	Consumptive Uses a	nd Storages In	nstream Flow Requirements	Reservations	
A 4000000000000000000000000000000000000		manning a company of the company of		E -moor is an original theory of the control of the	al .
Water	Rights		Watershed C	haracteristics	

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream	Consumptive Uses and	Expected Stream	Reserved Stream	Instream Flow	Net Water
	Flow	Storages	Flow	Flow	Requirement	Available
JAN	5 66	2 96	2 70	0.00	0.00	2 70
FEB	13 40	7 60	5 80	0 00	0 00	5 80
MAR	40 90	34.20	6.72	0 00	0.00	6.72
APR	115 00	89 00	26 00	0.00	0 00	26 00
MAY	44.70	141.00	-96 10	0 00	0.00	-96 10
JUN	20 90	109.00	-88.10	0 00	0 00	-88 10
JUL	5 33	36 10	-30 80	0.00	0 00	-30 80
AUG	2 26	14.60	-12 40	0 00	0 00	-12 40
SEP	2 22	7 79	-5.57	0.00	0 00	-5 57
OCT	2 91	4 27	-1 36	0.00	0.00	-1 36
NOV	4.24	1.15	3.09	0 00	0.00	3.09
DEC	5.11	1.77	3.34	0 00	0.00	3.34
ANN	38,500.00	27,200 00	21,800 00	0 00	0.00	21,800 00

Download Data (Text - Formatted , Text - Tab Delimited , Excel)



