WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

МЕМО								And	146+1	<u>4</u> ,20_	13		
TO: FROM:		Applio	GW: Mike Zwart (Reviewer's Name)										
SUBJ	ECT: S	cenic V	Vaterwa	ay Intei	ference	Evalua	ation						
	YES NO	The so	urce of	appropr	iation is	within	or abov	e a Scei	nic Wat	erway			
	YES Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J) NO												
	Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.												
	Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.												
Calcula calculai	DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.												
Water	way by	the follo		mounts		e month ed as a j	•			imptive	Scenic use by		
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec		

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	er Rights S	ection		Date August 14, 2013							
FROM	1:	Grou	ındwater S	ection			Zwart						
SUBJ	ECT:	Appl	ication G-	17681			ewer's Name persedes	review of			Date of Re	view(s)	
OAR (welfare to determine the pre-	590-310-1 e, safety a rmine who sumption	30 (1) nd hea ether th criteria	The Depart Ith as descr ne presumpt	ibed in ORS ion is establ ew is based	resume that 537.525. D ished. OAR upon avail	epartment 690-310- able infor	ed ground staff revi 140 allow mation a	water use will ew ground water s the proposed nd agency police. Helms	er applica use be m icies in p	ations odified lace at	under OA d or condi t the time	R 690-3 tioned to of evalu	10-140 meet ation.
A1.	Applica	int(s) so	eek(s) 0.9	7 cfs from	m <u>one</u>	well(Malheur Quad Map: A					_Basin,
A2. A3.	Propose Well an	ed use_ d aquit	Irr fer data (att	igation, 77 ach and nu	'.2 acres mber logs f	Seas	sonality: _	March 1 t	to Octol	oer <u>31</u>	under log	gid):	
Well	Logid Applicant's Well # HARN 51886 one		Propos	Proposed Aquifer* Valley Fill		osed (cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q) 27S/34E-7 NE-NE		Location, metes and bounds, 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 1243' S, 1263' E fr NE cor			cor S 36	
3					-					_			
5				_	_	-							
* Alluv	ium, CRB,	Bedroc	k										
Weil	Well Elev ft msl 4123	First Water ft bls 27	r SWL	SWL Date 09/26/12	Well Depth (ft) 169	Seal Interval (ft) 0-42	Casing Intervals (ft) 0-118 168-176	(ft) 108.5-118.5	Perfora Or Scr (ft) 118.5-1 157.5-1	eens 48.5	Well Yield (gpm) 300	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type Air
Lice date	a from ann	ication	for proposed	wells									
A4.	• •												
A5. 🛭	manage (Not all	ment o basin i	f ground wa rules contai	ater hydrauli n such provi	ically conne sions.)	cted to sur	rface wate	rules relative to	are no	t, activ	ated by th	nis applic	eation.
A6. 🗌	Name o	f admi	nistrative ar	ea:				tap(s) an aquife					triction.

Version: 07/26/2013

Application G-17681 Date: August 14, 2013

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

Base	ed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
b.	□ will not or □ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
c.	\square will not or \square will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
d.	Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.
	Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
Gro	und water availability remarks: Condition 7N is typically used in the Malheur Lake Basin.
The	nearby State Observation Wells have quite stable water levels. HARN 1408 (SOW 183) is the closest of these.
_	

Page

2

3

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Interbedded clay, sand and gravel		\square
	(Qal and possibly Tvs of GW Report 16)		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: semiconfined.	The basin-fill sediments are regionally unconfined, but may be locally

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Malheur Lake	4089	4090±	24000		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Virginia Valley does not appear to be a surface water source that should be considered under Division 9.</u> The head in the aquifer suggests that there is no local ground-water contribution to baseflow. Malheur Lake is a regional groundwater discharge area.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: No WAB data in this area.

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Version: 07/26/2013

4

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

ID (ght Q ISWR?	Flow (cfs)	Natural Flow?	(%)	Interfer. Assumed?

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	_ %	%	%	%	%
Well Q	Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Well	6									_		
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (as CFS												
	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
- Well Q	Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
$(A) = T_0$	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = ((A) > (C)												
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

5

Application G-17681

Date: August 14, 2013

Page

6

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

DI.	Well #:1	_ Logid: _	HARN 51886	
D2.	THE WELL does not appea. review of the well		vell construction standards based upon:	
		•		
	b. I field inspection by			;
	c report of CWRE _			;
	d. dother: (specify)			
D3.	THE WELL construction	deficiency or other co	omment is described as follows:	
D4.	Route to the Well Constru	action and Compliance	ce Section for a review of existing well construction.	
Wate	r Availability Tables			

