## WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEN	10							An	gust ?	<u>21</u> ,20_	13	
TO:		_	cation (									
FRO	M:	GW:	GW: Mike Zwirt  (Reviewer's Name)									
SUBJ	ECT: S	Scenic V	Vaterwa	ay Inte	rference	e Evalu	ation					
	YES NO	The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway										
□ ☑	YES NO	Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)										
	Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is <b>able</b> to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.											
	Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.								refore, idence			
DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.												
Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in							Scenic use by					
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	

### PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	r Rights S	Section					Dat	eAu	igust	<b>21, 2013</b>	<u> </u>	
FROM	:	Grou	ndwater S	Section		Mike	Zwart							
SUBJE				17699		Reviewer's Name						Data of Pa	winu(c)	
OAR 69 welfare, to determ	<b>90-310-1</b> safety a mine wh	1 <b>30 (1)</b> 7 2nd heal ether the	The Depar th as descr e presump	tment shall pribed in ORS tion is estab	GROUNI oresume that 5 537.525. D lished. OAR upon avail	a propos epartmen 690-310-	ed ground t staff rev 140 allov	iew grou vs the pr	ise will and wat oposed	ensure the er applica use be m	<i>e pres</i> ations odifie	ervation of under OA	of the put AR 690-3 itioned to	10-140 meet
A. <u>GE</u> I	NERAL	INFO	RMATI	<u>ON</u> : A	pplicant's N	ame:	Tom an	d Fawr	ı Kern	IS	(	County:_	<u>Baker</u>	
A1.					m <u>four</u>			Poy	wder					_ Basin,
		<u>Salmoi</u>	1 Creek			subb	asin	Quad M	ap: <b>V</b>	Vingville	<u> </u>			
A2. A3.	Propose Well an	ed use_ id aquif	Irı er data ( <b>at</b> ı	rigation, 43 tach and nu	34.6 acres mber logs f	Seas	sonality:	<u>Ma</u> nark pr	rch 1	to Octob wells as	oer 31 such	under lo	gid):	
Well	Logic	d	Applicant Well #	's Propos	sed Aquifer*	Prop	osed (cfs)		Location		Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36			
1	Propos	ed	1	A	lluvial	7.			(T/R-S QQ-Q) 8S/39E-23 NE-NW		70' S, 2084' E fr NW cor S 23			
2	Propos		2		lluvial	7.24			E-14 SI		83' N, 2040' E fr SW cor S 14			
3	Propos		3		<u>lluvial</u>	7.24 8S/39E-23 SW-NE					' S, 2032'			
5	Propos	ed	4	A	<u> Iluvial                                     </u>	7.2	7.24 8S/39E-23 SW-NW			V-NW	248	3' S, 35' E	fr NW c	or S 23
* Alluviu	m, CRB, Well	Bedrock First	<del></del>	CWI	Well	Seal	Casing		iner	Perfora	tions	Well	Draw	
Well	Elev ft msl	Water ft bls	ft bls	SWL Date	Depth (ft)	Interval (ft)	Interval (ft)	s Inte	ervals (ft)	Or Ser		Yield (gpm)	Down (ft)	Test Type
1 2	3347 3347				500	0-40	0-500 0-500		lone lone	100-5 100-5				-
3	3348				500	0-40	0-500		lone	100-5				
4	3364				500	0-40	0-500	N	lone	100-5	00			
Use data	from app	lication 1	or proposed	d wells.										
A4.														
A5. 🛚	(Not all	basin r	ules contai	n such prov	ically connectisions.)									
A6. 🗌	Name o	f admin	istrative ar	rea:	,									

Version: 07/26/2013

Page

Date: August 21, 2013

2

# B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

Base	ed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:										
a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;										
b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;										
c.	$\square$ will not or $\square$ will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or										
l <b>.</b>	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:  i.   The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N, 7K (100 feet; shallower water-bearing zones)  ii.   The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.  iii.   The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;										
	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;										
	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;										
	Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;										
d.	Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withhold issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.										
	<b>Describe injury</b> —as related to water availability— that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):										
wes	ound water availability remarks: <u>I recommended a deeper casing and seal for an application about one mile to the t (G-16943). Here, the elevation is lower, so the above recommended seal depth should be sufficient to limit raulic connection with nearby reaches of surface water sources.</u>										

Date: August 21, 2013

#### C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
All	Interbedded silt, clay, sand and gravel		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Regionally, the aquifer is likely unconfined, but locally some deeper water-bearing zones may be semi-confined to confined below significant low-permeability beds. In this case, the proposed wells will have relatively deep casing and seal depths. Some local wells describe one or more significant clay beds up to 97 feet in thickness (e.g. BAKE 1855). Low-permeability beds are unlikely to be very extensive in this area, based on the geology and lithology as described in well logs. The depth of seal proposed here will increase the chances, but will not ensure, that a clay layer will be sealed into, preventing development of any shallower water-bearing zones.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Salmon Creek	3330±	3343	1900		
2	1	Salmon Creek	3330±	3343	1850		
3	1	Salmon Creek	3330±	3345	1200		
4	1	Salmon Creek	3335±	3345	4000		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Ground water flow direction and heads suggest discharge to surface water sources at lower elevation reaches of the nearby creeks.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Salmon Cr > Powder R at mouth (30920329).

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Application G-17699

Date: August 21, 2013

Page

4

C3b.	690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically
	connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same
	evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

	istributed	Wells								_	_		
Well	SW#	<u>Jan</u>	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	) as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS						_						
Distrib	outed Well					_							
Well	SW#	s Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
****	B ** "	%	<u>%</u>	%	/\pi	%	%	%	7 tug		<u> </u>	%	<u> </u>
Well (	Q as CFS	70		70	7.0	70	70	70	70	- 10	70	70	70
	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	Q as CFS												
	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	Q as CFS												
	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	Q as CFS			_									
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
(A) = Tc	otal Interf.												
	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q				_		_						
	(1) (0)												
	(A) > (C)												
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A}$	/B) x 100	%	_%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Page

	reaches of Salmon Creek.
	690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the V Rights Section.
	If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:  i.   The permit should contain condition #(s)
	ii. The permit should contain condition #(s)
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
	ferences Used: <u>Geology of the Oregon Part of the Baker 1° by 2° Quad, Brooks, McIntyre and Walker, 1976; OW</u> cound Water Report #6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Traug
<u>Gr</u> Gr	ound Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; Nearby well logs and
<u>Gr</u> Gr	ound Water Report #0; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trade ound Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; Nearby well logs and plication reviews.
<u>Gr</u> Gr	ound Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; Nearby well logs and
<u>Gr</u> Gr	ound Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; Nearby well logs and

Application G-17699 Date: August 21, 2013 Page 6

#### D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

DI.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.	<ul> <li>a.  review of t</li> <li>b.  field inspect.</li> <li>c.  report of C</li> <li>d.  other: (spect.)</li> </ul>	etion by	
D3.	THE WELL const		as follows:
D4. [	Route to the Well	Construction and Compliance Section for a review	ew of existing well construction.
Water	Availability Tables		

Version: 07/26/2013

