

Water Right Conditions
Tracking Slip

Groundwater/Hydrology Section

FILE # # 6-17727

ROUTED TO: Water Rights

TOWNSHIP/
RANGE-SECTION: 35/1E-24

CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: [] yes [] no

REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS:
see conditions on p 2.

Reviewer: J. Hackett

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date November 5, 2013
 FROM: Groundwater Section J. Hackett
 Reviewer's Name
 SUBJECT: Application G- 17727 Supersedes review of _____
 Date of Review(s) _____

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525.* Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. **This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.**

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Jerry Laselle County: Clackamas

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.334 cfs from 2 well(s) in the Willamette Basin,
 _____ subbasin Quad Map: Canby

A2. Proposed use Irrigation Seasonality: April 1 - October 1

A3. Well and aquifer data (**attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid**):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	CLAC 50982	1	alluvium	0.334	3S/1E-24 SE-SW	250' N, 2271' E, fr SW cor S 24
2	Proposed	2	alluvium	0.334	3S/1E-25 NE-NW	50' S, 2271' E fr SW cor S 24
3						
4						
5						

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	240	89	137	9/8/1996	190	0-21	+1-125	115-190	130-190	50		A
2**	230											

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** ** The applicant did not provide any proposed well construction information for this well. However, the applicant did specify alluvium as the proposed aquifer. This review will assume the intended aquifer is alluvium.

A5. **Provisions of the Willamette** _____ Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water are, or are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)
 Comments: The applicant's wells produce from a confined aquifer, so the pertinent basin rules do not apply.

A6. **Well(s) #** _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.
 Name of administrative area: _____
 Comments: _____

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:

- a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
- d. will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7B, 7C;
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

- B2. a. Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
- b. Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
- c. Condition to allow ground water production only from the alluvial ground water reservoir ~~between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;~~
- d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

B3. Ground water availability remarks: _____

The applicant's wells are located in an area that contains mostly fine grained alluvial sediments that encase thin beds of sand and gravels from land surface to a depth of 400-600 feet. Wells in the area produce from the thin sand and gravel beds. These water-bearing zones are not likely to have extensive lateral continuity. The median yield for nearby alluvial wells is approximately 35 gpm. This suggests the possibility that the applicant may not be able to obtain the requested 150 gpm from two wells.

No local data is available to evaluate water-level trends over time in this area. This indicates a need for some water-level monitoring to assess the ongoing health of the groundwater system.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	alluvium	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	alluvium	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Nearby well logs show a thick sequence of silts and clays which encase a few thin sand and gravel beds that produce water to wells. This suggests that the productive water-bearing zones are likely to be confined.

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
						YES	NO	ASSUMED	YES	NO
1	1	Parrott Creek	150	80-130	3300	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2	1	Parrott Creek	150	80-130	3000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
1	2	Unnamed trib. to Parrott Creek	150	130-250	1650	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2	2	Unnamed trib. to Parrott Creek	150	130-250	1500	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: The perennial nature of Parrott Creek and its local tributary indicate a component of groundwater discharge that sustains surface water flows. Also, heads in nearby wells are coincident with or above the elevation of local streams. These facts indicate that the alluvial groundwater system is hydraulically connected to local streams. However, the high proportion of clay and silt in the alluvial sediments should reduce the efficiency of the connection.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: 181: Willamette R > Columbia R – At Mouth

C3a. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		<input type="checkbox"/>	4890.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	<<25%	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		<input type="checkbox"/>	4890.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	<<25%	<input type="checkbox"/>
1	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		<input type="checkbox"/>	4890.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	<<25%	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		<input type="checkbox"/>	4890.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	<<25%	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
			<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments: Because of uncertainty regarding the spatial distribution and occurrence of productive water-bearing zones, a model was not used to estimate the interference at 30 days. However, nearby well logs indicate that productive water-bearing zones are generally thin beds of sand and gravel that are encased in a thick sequence of silt and clays. These water-bearing zones are not likely to have extensive lateral continuity. Therefore, any given productive zone is likely to be vertically separated from nearby streams by many feet of clay or silt over most stream reaches. Models run in similar scenarios indicate impacts that are much less than 25% after 30 days.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
(A) = Total Interf.													
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q													
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q													
(D) = (A) > (C)													
(E) = (A / B) x 100		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: _____

C4b. **690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.**

C5. **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
i. The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. **SW / GW Remarks and Conditions** _____

References Used: _____
Leonard, A.R. and Collins, C.A., 1983, Ground water in the northern part of Clackamas County, Oregon: Oregon Water Resources Department Ground Water Report No. 29.
Trimble, D.E., 1963, Geology of Portland, Oregon and Adjacent Areas: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1119.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. Well #: _____ Logid: _____

D2. **THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:**

- a. review of the well log;
- b. field inspection by _____;
- c. report of CWRE _____;
- d. other: (specify) _____

D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:** _____

D4. **Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.**

Water Availability Tables

**WILLAMETTE R > COLUMBIA R - AT MOUTH
WILLAMETTE BASIN**

Water Availability as of 11/5/2013

Watershed ID #: 181 [\(Map\)](#)

Exceedance Level:

80% ▼

Date: 11/5/2013

Time: 4:28 PM

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second
Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	27,500.00	2,770.00	24,700.00	0.00	1,500.00	23,200.00
FEB	30,000.00	8,040.00	22,000.00	0.00	1,500.00	20,500.00
MAR	28,500.00	7,600.00	20,900.00	0.00	1,500.00	19,400.00
APR	25,400.00	7,210.00	18,200.00	0.00	1,500.00	16,700.00
MAY	20,700.00	4,460.00	16,200.00	0.00	1,500.00	14,700.00
JUN	11,000.00	2,430.00	8,570.00	0.00	1,500.00	7,070.00
JUL	6,280.00	2,370.00	3,910.00	0.00	1,500.00	2,410.00
AUG	4,890.00	2,130.00	2,760.00	0.00	1,500.00	1,260.00
SEP	4,930.00	1,760.00	3,170.00	0.00	1,500.00	1,670.00
OCT	5,990.00	736.00	5,250.00	0.00	1,500.00	3,750.00
NOV	12,700.00	1,030.00	11,700.00	0.00	1,500.00	10,200.00
DEC	24,800.00	1,420.00	23,400.00	0.00	1,500.00	21,900.00
ANN	19,700,000.00	2,510,000.00	17,200,000.00	0.00	1,090,000.00	16,100,000.00

Detailed Report of Instream Flow Requirements

Instream Flow Requirements in Cubic Feet per Second

Application #	Status	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MF181A	APPLICATION	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00
Maximum		1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00

Well Location Map

G-17727, Lasselle

1:24,000 scale

