Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip
Groundwater/Hydrology Section
FILE # # _ G - 17729
ROUTED TO: Water Rights . Mary
TOWNSHIP/
RANGE-SECTION: 73/37E - 9 cc
CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: [Jyes [] no
REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS:
Note: Same source issue noted and finding made
Reviewer: <u>Mike Lular</u> T

•

i

}

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

November 14,20 13

TO: Application G-<u>17729</u>

FROM: GW: <u>Mike Zwart</u> (Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

	YES	
Ø	NO	The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway
	YES	Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 71)
ত	NO	Use the Scenic waterway condition (Condition 75)

- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.
- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **unable** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; **therefore**, **the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway**.

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in ______ Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
				-							

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS												
TO:		Wat	er Rights S	ection				Dat	e <u>Nov</u>	<u>ember 14, 2</u>	013	
FRON	/ 1:	Gro	undwater S	ection		Mike Zwart						
SUBT	FCT·	۸ nn	ligation G	17720	_	Reviewer's Name						
SUDI	ECI	Арр	incation G-	1//29		Su	persedes	review or		Date of Re	eview(s)	
PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER OAR 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation. A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Wendt Family, LLC County: Baker A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 4.2 cfs from one well(s) in the Powder Basin,												
A2. A3.	subbasin Quad Map: Haines A2. Proposed use Irrigation, 337.4 acres S Seasonality: March 1 to October 31 A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid): Applicant's Proposed Location Location Location Meters and bounds e.g.											
Well	Logic	1	Well #	° Propos	sed Aquifer*	Rate	Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q)		-Q)	2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36		
1	Propos	ed	2	A	lluvium	4.	<u>4.2</u> 7S/39E-8 SW-S		/-SW	764' N, 396'	E fr SW (cor <u>S</u> 8
3		-										
4												
5 * Alluv	ium CRB	Bedro										_
Weli	Well Elev ft msl 3382	Firs Wate ft bl	t SWL er ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft) 200	Seal Interval (ft) 0-40	Casing Intervals (ft) 0-200	Liner Intervals (ft) None	Perforatio Or Scree (ft) 40-200	ons Well ns Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
Use dat	a from appl	lication	for proposed	wells								
A4. Comments: <u>Agent requested to perforate to 200 feet, which is deeper than specified on the application. This change</u> will not affect the findings of my review. The proposed well will develop the same source of groundwater as does <u>BAKE 83 (copy of log enclosed)</u> . This well is tied to the existing groundwater right (Permit G-2329, Certificate 34419) for the same lands.												
A5. 🛛	Provisions of the <u>Powder</u> Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water are, or X are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments:											

A6. Well(s) # _____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: ______

Comments: _____

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or annot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. **will not** or **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
 - d. **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) <u>7N</u>
 - ii. \Box The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. \Box The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2. a. Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;

- b. Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
- c. Condition to allow ground water production only from the _____ ground water reservoir between approximately_____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
- d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

B3. Ground water availability remarks: <u>The few nearby observation wells are non-current</u>. The water levels have been reasonably stable during the period of record.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Sand and gravel; alluvium and terrace gravels		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>The alluvial aquifer is typically unconfined to poorly confined.</u> <u>The</u> <u>proposed well construction does target all water-bearing zones from just below the proposed seal depth to the bottom of the well.</u>

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Warm Springs Creek	3370±	3370	6000		
1	2	Little Muddy Creek	3370±	3360	5600		
1	3	Powder River	3370±	3295	11000		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>The unconfined aquifer and the head relationship suggest an</u> <u>efficient hydraulic connection. There are some ditches (Mansfield and Williams) and other unnamed tributaries located</u> <u>closer to the well than those listed above. However, it is likely that these creeks may either be intermittent or have been</u> <u>in part channelized to convey ditch water. Due to this complex system, only the above named surface water sources</u> <u>were considered for this Division 9 review.</u>

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>POWDER R > SNAKE R - AB UNN STR (72191).</u>

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: <u>This section does not apply.</u>

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	stributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Welt Q	as CFS	_	_										
Interfere	ence CFS												
Distrib	utod Wall								_				
Well	SW#	s Ian	Feb	Mar	Anr	May	Iun	Inl	Ang	Sen	Oct	Nov	Dec
		<i>%</i>	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	with the second		with y		5 cm %	nug ø		<i>%</i>	<u></u>	<u>%</u>
Well O) as CFS	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			<i></i>	<i></i>	<i></i>	,,,	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		<i>,v</i>	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS							_					
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS							_					
		%	%	.%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS						_						
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}0$	tal Interf.						-						
(B) = 80	% Nat. O												
(C) = 1	% Nat. O												
	····· • •												
(D) = (A) > (C)	e.							'				
(E) = (A)	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: <u>This section applies. However, I believe that the local geology and the local surface water</u> system of ditches and creeks is too complex for an analytical model, such as the Hunt model, to adequately calculate interference with Warm Springs or Little Muddy creeks. The alluvial aquifer pinches out against the west flank of Hutchinson Hill, which would limit the potential for interference with the Powder River. Based on the proximity and configuration of the Mansfield Ditch, which is perhaps less than 100 feet from the proposed well, nearly all of the actual surface water interference would be with this ditch. Rick Lusk confirmed that this is an unlined ditch.

C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

i. The permit should contain condition #(s)____

ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions_____

References Used: <u>Geology of the Oregon Part of the Baker 1° by 2° Quad</u>, Brooks, 1976; OWRD Ground Water Report #6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with DOGAMI Regional Geologist and other OWRD staff; nearby recent reviews.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

DI.	Well #:	Logid:	1	
D2.	THE WELL does not appear to a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by	meet current well constru	ection standards based upon:	; ;
D3.	THE WELL construction deficie	ency or other comment is	described as follows:	

D4. 🗌 Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Water Availability Tables

ORIGINAL File Original, and Duplicate with the STATE ENGINEER, SALEM OREGON SALEM OREGON SALEM OREGON	LERS REPORT Do Not State Well No. 139-7 1 REGON State Permit No. 12 S G2473
(1) OWNER: Name W.C. MCALL 27/0 SB.50TH Address PORTLAND, COREGON	(10) WELL TESTS: Baker Urilling Was a pump test made? Yes D No If yes, by whom? Yield: gal./min. with ft. draw down after hrs.
(2) LOCATION OF WELL: County BAKER Owner's number, if any- B. F. D. or Street No. Bearing and distance from section or subdivision corner //285' N; //30' W, SE COR SEC. 7, 7.75 R.39 CUM THE SEV SEC. 7, 7.75 R. 39 EWM	""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""
, TYPE OF WORK (check): 	(11) WELL LOG: Diameter of well,, inches. Total depth / 50 ft. Depth of completed well / 50 ft. Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and show thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of the material in each structure penetrode with at least one entry for each character of the material in each
(4) PROPOSED USE (check): (5) EQUIPMENT: estic Industrial Municipal Rotary Iigation Test Well Other Dug Well	the to " 5 place top soil
(6) CASING INSTALLED: Threaded Welded Gage or Of Diameter from to of Bore ft. ft.	Clay for band at 35-3010
<u><u><u></u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	60 to Tit Sand Straw 75
Type and size of shoe or well ring Alfeld Size of gravel:	to 130 fine band at
(7) PERFORATIONS: Type of perforator used "E of perforations	
n n	
Give Manufacturer's Name, Model No. and Size (8) CONSTRUCTION: Was a surface agaitary agal provided? Yes Yi No. To what depth ft.	
Were any strata sealed against pollution? Yes Yes If yes, note depth of strata FROM ft. to ft.	Ground elevation at well site feet above mean sea level. Work started 19 Completed 19 Well Driller's Statement: This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is
(9) WATER LEVELS: Depth at which water was first found 30 ft.	true to the best of my knowledge and belief. <u>NAME</u> <u>Person, firm, or corporation</u> (Typed or printed)
Standing level before perforating ft. Standing level after perforating ft. Log Accepted by: [Signed] Dated 19	Adaress Je H
Owner	License No. Laka J. Dated III and 19 5 40

