Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip Groundwater/Hydrology Section Q-17735 FILE # # __ Water Rights - Mary? ROUTED TO: _ TOWNSHIP/ RANGE-SECTION: 65/39 E-36 dc CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: [] yes [] no REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS: Reviewer: Mike Zwart

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

November 26,20 13

TO: Application G-<u>17735</u>

FROM: GW: Mike Zwart (Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

YES	
NO	The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway

	YES	
1		Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)
U/	NO	

- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.
- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **unable** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; **therefore**, **the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.**

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in ______ Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		_									

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

.

TO:		Wate	er Rights Se	ection				Dat	e <u>No</u> v	vember	<u>26, 2</u>	013	
FROM	:	Grou	undwater Se	ection		Mike	Zwart						
SUBIE	CT.	۸ nn	lication G	17725		Rev	iewer's Nam	e					
SODIL	ж т.	Арр		1//35		Su	persedes	review or		Date	te of Re	view(s)	
PUBL OAR 6 welfare, to deter the pres	IC INT 90-310-1 safety a mine who umption	ERES 30 (1) nd hea ether th criteria	T PRESUN The Departm Ith as descrime presumpti a. This revie	MPTION: ment shall p bed in ORS on is establ w is based	GROUN resume that 537.525. D ished. OAR upon avail	DWATE t a propos epartment . 690-310- able infor	R ed ground t staff revi 140 allow r mation a	dwater use will new ground wat was the proposed nd agency pol	ensure the er applicat use be mo icies in pla	e preserva tions und odified or ace at the	er OA condi condi e time	of the pub R 690-3 tioned to of evalu	<i>lic</i> 10-140 meet ation .
A. <u>GE</u>	<u>NERAL</u>	<u>, INF(</u>	DRMATIO	<u>N</u> : A	pplicant's N	lame:	<u>Colwell</u>	<u>Ranches</u>		Cou	nty:	<u>Baker</u>	
A1.	Applica	nt(s) s	eek(s) <u>3.24</u>	cfs from	m <u>one</u>	well((s) in the _	Powder					_ Basin,
A2. A3.	Propose Well an	ed use_ d aquii	Irri fer data (atta	gation, 25 Ich and nu	58.8 acres mber logs f	Seas	sonality: ig wells; r	<u>March 1</u> nark proposed	to Octobe wells as s	er 1 such und	ler log	gid):	
Well	Logic	4	Applicant's	Propos	ed Aquifer*	Prop	osed	Location		Location,	, mete	s and bou	nds, e.g.
I	Propos	ed	<u>1</u>	Alluv/	Bedrock**	3.2	24	6S/39E-36 S	W-SE	1150' N,	2500'	W fr SE	cor S 36
2													_
4													
5	CDD				_								
* Alluvit	im, CRB,	Bedroc	ĸ										
Well	Well Elev ft msl 3250	First Wate ft bls	r SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft) 300±	Seal Interval (ft) 0-25	Casing Intervals (ft) 0-298±	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforati Or Scree (ft) 198-298	ions W ens Y (g 8±	Vell 'ield gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
			_										
Use data	from appl	lication	for proposed	wells.]
A4.	Comme <u>(likely l</u> <u>depende</u> and a p	ents: _* <u>pasalt,</u> ent on ropose	*The applic andesite or the aquifer ed bedrock y	ation prop granite). proposed. vell as 1B.	ooses to dev Given this, These find	elop eithe please no lings will,	er an allu te that th where a	vial (sand and ere will be div opropriate, ref	gravel) ac ergent fin fer to a pr	guifer or dings for oposed a	<u>a bec</u> r this i Illuvia	lrock ag review I well as	uifer 1A
A5. 🛛	Provisi manage (Not all Comme	ions of ment o basin i nts:	the <u>Powder</u> f ground wat rules contain	er hydrauli such provi	cally conne sions.)	cted to sur	Basin	rules relative to r are , or b	o the deve	lopment, activated	classi d by th	fication a is applic	ation.
A6. 🗌	Well(s) Name o Comme	# f admin nts:	nistrative are	a: , .	,	, ,	,	tap(s) an aquife	er limited I	by an adn	ninistr	ative res	triction.

2

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or annot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. **will not** or **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
 - d. **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N
 - ii. \Box The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit for well 1B should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. a. Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - b. Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - c. Condition to allow ground water production only from the ______ ground water reservoir between approximately______ ft. and ______ ft. below land surface;
 - d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Ground water availability remarks: <u>There are no nearby current State Observation Wells</u>. The nearest non-current well is an alluvial well (BAKE 79) and water levels were reasonably stable during the period of record.

Special permit condition language for well 1B: The well shall be cased and sealed into competent bedrock.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1A	Quaternary alluvium (Qal), sand and gravel		
<u>1B</u>	Bedrock, likely Tertiary basalt, andesite (Tab) or granite		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>Well 1A proposes a near-minimal well seal and will likely develop an</u> <u>unconfined to poorly confined aquifer. Bedrock aquifers in the general vicinity are usually confined based on well logs.</u>

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUM	1ED	Potentia Subst. Int Assume YES	l for terfer. ed? NO
1A	1	Powder River	3220±	3232	1650				X
1B	1	Powder River	3200±	3232	1650				\times
			_						

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>The alluvial aquifer likely has an efficient hydraulic connection with</u> the nearby reach of the river. The bedrock aquifer is likely well below the elevation of the nearby reach of the river and is inefficiently hydraulically connected with the river. See additional comments at C6 below.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>Powder R > Snake R above unnamed stream (72191)</u>.

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1A	1			72191	25.0	\square	72.7		<25%	\boxtimes

÷

ę

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #	Qw> 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comme	ents:								

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	istributed	Wells	-										
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
_ Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
Distrik		-					53		**************************************		<u> </u>		
Wall	SW#	S Ian	Feb	Mor	4.55	Mou	Tum	Tul	A 11 m	San	Oat	Nou	Dee
W CII	3 10 #	Jall	reo	Iviai ~	Api ~	Iviay	Juli	Jui	Aug	Sep	00		Dec
Well C		<u>%</u>	%0	%	%	%0	<u>%</u>	%	%	%	%	%	%
Interfer	as CFS		-										
		~		~	~	~	~	~					
		%	%	%	%	<u>%</u>	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Venc	as CFS	_											
Interier	ence CFS												_
		<u>%</u>	%	%	<u>%</u>	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	<u>%</u>	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}_{0}$	tal Interf			and a straight of the state	and all the second second		COLOR 1987						11.636.71
$(\mathbf{n}) = 10$													
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q		1 (1 P 2012)										
(D) = (A) > (C)	ware concerned	✓ 100 000 00000000000000000000000000000	a Canal Alexandra V	✓					v	 ✓		
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

.

.

 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Warkinghts Section. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water of under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below; SW / GW Remarks and Conditions This application is ambiguous in proposing to develop one or the other of two available aquifers. I reviewed both scenarios and made separate findings for each one. The proposed alluvial well was found to have the potential to cause substantial interference with the Powder River. The proposed bedrock well avoids such findings. Therefore, unless the applicant disputes the findings made for a proposed alluvial well, I strongly recommend that he pursues development of a bedrock aquifer and properly constructs that well to cause and seal off the 	emarks and uifers. I rev ve the poten is. Therefor	n be regulate mit should cc mit should cc Conditions viewed both tial to cause e. unless the sues develop	This application substantial interest of a bed	ondition(s) as ind ondition(s) as ind on is ambiguous made separate f terference with to outes the finding rock aquifer and	in proposing to indings for each he Powder Rive s made for a property const	the water: the develop one of the one. The proper- ter. The proper- tructs that we	or the other of t posed alluvial v sed bedrock we al well, I strong Il to case and se	wo vell was ll avoids ly al off the
 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Warkinghts Section. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water of under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 	The ner	n be regulated mit should co	a II II IS found to antain condition	b substantially in #(s)	erfere with surfa	ice water:		
	040 (5) (b) ts Section. erly condition	The potenti	ial to impair or	detrimentally a	ffect the public	interest is to	be determined t	by the Wa

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.	THE WELL does not a. review of th b. field inspect c. report of CV d. other: (specie)	of appear to meet current well construction standards based use well log; ion by/RE	upon: ; ;
D3.	THE WELL constru 	iction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:	

D4. 🔲 Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Water Availability Tables

.

Water Availability Analysis Detailed Reports

POWDER R > SNAKE R - AB UNN STR POWDER BASIN

Water Availability as of 11/26/2013

Watershed ID #: 72191 (Map) Date: 11/26/2013 Exceedance Level: 80% ~ Time: 12:56 PM

Water Availability Calculation	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Instream Flow Requirements	Reservations
Water	Rights	Watershed C	haracteristics

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream	Consumptive Uses and	Expected Stream	Reserved Stream	Instream Flow	Net Water
	Flow	Storages	Flow	Flow	Requirement	Available
JAN	65.90	89.00	-23.10	0.00	25.00	-48.10
FEB	103.00	108.00	-5.36	21.30	30.00	-56.60
MAR	203.00	193.00	10.10	62.40	40.00	-92.20
APR	456.00	352.00	104.00	260.00	40.00	-195.00
MAY	714.00	843.00	-129.00	153.00	40.00	-323.00
JUN	593.00	995.00	-402.00	0.00	40.00	-442.00
JUL	204.00	529.00	-325.00	0.00	25.00	-350.00
AUG	107.00	313.00	-206.00	0.00	25.00	-231.00
SEP	72.70	240.00	-167.00	0.00	25.00	-192.00
ост	70.30	90.10	-19.80	0.00	25.00	-44.80
NOV	75.10	71.30	3.82	0.00	25.00	-21.20
DEC	77.90	82.90	-5.00	0.00	25.00	-30.00
ANN	241,000,00	236,000.00	47,100.00	29,900.00	22,000.00	4,190.00

Download Data (Text - Formatted , Text - Tab Delimited , Excel)



.