Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip Groundwater/Hydrology Section FILE # # G-177 43 ROUTED TO: Water Right Kim TOWNSHIP/ RANGE-SECTION: 235/26£ - 10 ca CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: Myes [] no REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS: Reviewer: Mike Zwayt

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEM	O							Dece	mber	6,20 1	3_		
TO:		Applic	ation G	_ 17	743		_						
FRO	M:	GW: Mite Zwart (Reviewer's Name)											
SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation													
	YES NO	The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway											
	YES NO	Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)											
	Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.												
	Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.								refore, idence				
DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.													
Water	ise of th way by surface	the follo	wing a	mounts					e consu		Scenic use by		
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec		

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	er Rights S	Section		Date <u>December 16, 2013</u>								
FROM	:	Grou	ndwater S	Section		<u>Mike</u>	Zwart_							
SUBJE	CT:	Appl	ication G-	17743			ewer's Name persedes	review of			Date of Re	view(s)		
OAR 69 welfare, to determ	90-310-1 safety a mine who	30 (1) nd head ether th	The Depara lth as descr ne presump	ribed in ORS tion is establi	resume that 537.525. Dished. OAR	a propose epartment 690-310-	ed ground staff revi 140 allow	water use will ew ground wat s the proposed nd agency pol	er applica use be m	e prese ations u	ervation of under OA lor condi	of the pub R 690-3	10-140 meet	
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL	INFO	<u>ORMATI</u>	<u>ON</u> : A _l	pplicant's N	ame:	Singhose	Land and C	Cattle Co	<u>),</u> (County:	Harney		
Al.	Applica	ınt(s) se	eek(s) <u>0.7</u>	75_ cfs from	n <u>one</u>	well((s) in the _	<u>Malheur</u>	<u>Lake</u>				_ Basin,	
		<u>Silver</u>	Creek _			subb	asin (Quad Map: <u>R</u>	<u>iley</u>					
A2. A3.			fer data (at	tach and nui		or existin	g wells; n	April 1 to	wells as	such ı				
Well	Logic	i [Applicant Well #		ed Aquifer*	Prop Rate	osed (cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36					
1 2	HARN	243	1	Volce	nic Seds.	0.		23S/26E-10 N		2178' N, 2205' E fr SW cor S				
3														
4														
5 * Alluvii	ım, CRB,	Bedroc	k			<u></u>								
				,										
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	ftbls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perfora Or Scr (ft)	eens	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type	
1	4302	200	149	09/04/1979	550	0-18.5	0-257.5	None	Non		2000		Rep.	
<u> </u>						· 		 -				<u>-</u>		
Use data A4.	••		for proposed Vell yield 1		owner.									
A5. 🛚	Provisions of the Malheur Lake Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water are, or are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments:													
A6. 🗌	Name o	f admii	nistrative a	rea:				tap(s) an aquif						

Version: 07/26/2013

2

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1.	Base	ed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:									
	a.	is over appropriated, ☐ is not over appropriated, or ☐ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;									
	b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;									
	c.	will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or									
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: i.									
B2.	a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper thanft. below land surface;									
	b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;									
	c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the									
		Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;									
		to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):									
В3.	exar rech indi- lake wate deve deve	und water availability remarks: This well is located in an area of the basin where there are no current or non- mple of over-appropriation of the groundwater resource and it has a similar climate, underlying geology and large as the area here. Review of local well logs and the November 2012 draft Harney Basin Groundwater Study cates that the regional groundwater flow direction in this area is to the southeast toward Malheur and Harney s. Therefore, this area is likely ungradient of the Weaver Springs area. This is significant, given the documented er-level declines in the Weaver Springs area and the fact that many existing groundwater rights there are yet to b eloped. Thus, the documented water-level declines are likely to increase in time as these rights are more fully eloped. This well is about a mile to the west of a much larger permit application, G-17581, which was required to struct a dedicated observation well. Given the much smaller proposed rate here, I believe that the recommended dition above is sufficient to protect the resource and senior water users.									

3

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Interbedded volcanic, sedimentary and volcaniclastic rocks		
	·		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>Local well logs</u>, including the subject well, typically report static water levels that are somewhat above the depth that groundwater was first encountered. However, well HARN 51867 reports the water-bearing zone and the static water level to be the same. The regional aquifer is likely unconfined to poorly confined.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	•	Iydrauli Connec NO A	Potentia Subst. Int Assume YES	erfer.
$\overline{1}$	1	Silver Creek	4153	4278	3400		\boxtimes		\boxtimes
	<u></u>				L				$-\Box$
					<u> </u>				
				<u> </u>					
L									
<u> </u>				_					

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Based on the head relationship</u>, the hydraulic connection with the nearby reach of the creek is poor and it does not appear that the groundwater developed is providing baseflow locally.

Wate	r A	vailahility	Racin t	he well(c) are	located within:	71471 Silver Ck	> Harney Lake ah Miller Ca	an.

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ½ mile?		Qw > 5 cfs?		Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	1	w > % VR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?		Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
					<u> </u>				+		┼	-			╃—
					,					 -					
								<u> </u>							_
				_	<u> </u>				┥-		-	+			+-

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

	istributed			_									
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	<u>May</u>	Jun	Jul_	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
			%	%	%	%		- %_	%_	%	%	%	9
	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
Dietwik	uted Well												
Well	SW#	s Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	<u>%</u>	%	<u>%</u>	%	9
Well Q	as CFS									 			
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	- %	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q	as CFS	1											
Interfere	ence CFS					_							
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%		%	9
Well Q	as CFS								·				
Interfere	ence CFS		_										
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q	as CFS										_		_
Interfere	ence CFS												
	tal Interf.												
	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{C}) = 1$	% Nat. Q												
(D) = ((A) > (C)	V	√	1	1	√	√	√	7	 	√	V	1
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A})$	/B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	 %	%	%	%	

-	Basis for impact evaluation:
_	•
-	
-	
_	
_	
_	
-	
-	
-	
_	
	690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Rights Section.
	If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s)
	ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
_	
	_
_	
_	
Ref	erences Used: Local well logs; local recent reviews; review of water level data collected at wells in the Weaver Sp
ire Ore	a; GW Report 16, by Leonard, 1970; Greene, Walker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangegon, USGS Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-680; Harney Basin Groundwater Study, DRAFT Repor
ore Ore	a; GW Report 16, by Leonard, 1970; Greene, Walker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangegon, USGS Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-680; Harney Basin Groundwater Study, DRAFT Reportuseo, LLC for the Harney County Watershed Council, November 2012; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008; Stream
ore Ore	a; GW Report 16, by Leonard, 1970; Greene, Walker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangegon, USGS Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-680; Harney Basin Groundwater Study, DRAFT Report
are Or Aq	Gerences Used: Local well logs; local recent reviews; review of water level data collected at wells in the Weaver Sp. a; GW Report 16, by Leonard, 1970; Greene, Walker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangegon, USGS Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-680; Harney Basin Groundwater Study, DRAFT Reportuaveo, LLC for the Harney County Watershed Council, November 2012; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008; Stream sessment for Division 9 Review in the Malheur Lakes Basin.
are Or Aq	a; GW Report 16, by Leonard, 1970; Greene, Walker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangegon, USGS Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-680; Harney Basin Groundwater Study, DRAFT Reportuaveo, LLC for the Harney County Watershed Council, November 2012; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008; Stream

Application G-17743

Date: December 16, 2013

Page

6

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

DI.	Well #:1	Logid: _	HARN 243
D2.	 a. review of the well log b. field inspection by c. report of CWRE 	.,	vell construction standards based upon:
D3.	atam dan da	•	omment is described as follows: The well construction appears to meet
D4.	Route to the Well Construct	ion and Compliance	ce Section for a review of existing well construction.
Water	r Availability Tables		

