# Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip Groundwater/Hydrology Section FILE # # \_\_\_ G - 17753 ROUTED TO: Water Right: : Kim TOWNSHIP/ RANGE-SECTION: 255/31E - 15 CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: Wes [] no REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS:

Reviewer: Mike Zwart

## WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT February 5,20 14 **MEMO** Application G-17753 TO: GW: Mike Zwart (Reviewer's Name) FROM: **SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation** YES The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway NO YES Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J) NO Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below. Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway. DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding. Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in \_\_ Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced. Mar Jul Oct Nov Jan Feb May Jun Aug Sep Dec Apr

# PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:	Water Rights Section						Date February 5, 2014							
FROM	:	Grou	undwater Se	ection										
SUBJE	ст	Ann	lication G	17753			ewer's Name persedes	e review o	f					
CODIL		' ipp			-	54	persecus	1011011				Date of Re	view(s)	
oar 69 welfare, to determ the pres	safety armine who	30 (1) and head ether the criteri	T PRESULT The Department of the second the presumption a. This review ORMATIO	nent shall p bed in ORS on is estable w is based	resume that 537.525. Doished. OAR	a propose epartment 690-310- able infor	ed ground staff revi 140 allow mation a	ew groun s the prop nd agenc	d wat oosed y pol	er applica use be m icies in p	ations odified lace at	inder OA l or condi the time	R 690-31 tioned to of evalu	meet nation.
A1.	Applica	nt(s) s	seek(s) 3.9	cts from	m <u>two</u>							~		_Basin,
						subb	asin	Quad Map	): <u> </u>	edess				
A2. A3.	Propose Well an	d use_ d aqui	Irr fer data (att	igation, 30 ach and nu	9.8 acres mber logs f	Seas	onality: .	"Irri nark pro	gatio posed	on Seaso I wells as	n" such	under log	gid):	
Well	Logic	i	Applicant'	s Propos	ed Aquifer*	Prop			cation		Location, metes and bounds, e.g			
1	No Log		Well #		lley Fill	Rate 3.		(T/R-S QQ-Q) 25S/31E-15 NW <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>		2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 3 1300' N, 1320' W fr Ctr S 15				
2	Propos		2		lley Fill	3.			25S/31E-15 NE <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>		1350' N, 1320' E fr Ctr S 15			
3						<del> </del>								
5														
* Alluvit	ım, CRB,	Bedro	ck											
Well	Well Elev ft msl	Firs Wate ft bl	r SWL	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft) 250	Seal Interval (ft) 0-18	Casing Interval (ft)		vals	Perfora Or Scr (ft)	eens	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
2	4102				250	0-18								
Use data	from appl	licatior	ı for proposed	wells.	<u>.                                    </u>							L	-	
A4.														
A5. Provisions of the Malheur Lake  Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water are, or are not, activated by this application.  (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)  Comments:														
A6. 🗆	6. Well(s) #,, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.  Name of administrative area:  Comments:													

Date: February 5, 2014

# B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1.	Base	sed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:									
	a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;									
	b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;									
	c.	$\square$ will not or $\square$ will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or									
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the gri.  The permit should contain condition #(s) _7N  The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.  The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;	round water resource:								
B2.	a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than	ft. below land surface;								
	b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than	ft. below land surface;								
	c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land	ground surface;								
	d.	Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are like to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withhol issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.									
		<b>Describe injury</b> -as related to water availability- that is likely to occur without well received senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):	construction (interference w/								
В3.	has the disp area not	und water availability remarks: Condition 7N is typically used in the Malheur Lake at four to five miles northeast of a part of the Malheur Lake Basin known as the Weavexperienced fairly intensive development of the groundwater resource for irrigation in Department has selected about 15 wells for quarterly water-level monitoring. Most of laying year-to-year water-level declines. The positive findings here are based on the solof the basin and on the lack of local water-level data with an adequate period of reconvater levels are stable. However, given the documented water-level declines there and ible that the proposed use here, in combination with other nearby permitted uses, will ines that may exceed one or more of the triggers in the measurement condition that is	ver Springs area. This area n recent years. As a result, f those wells are clearly significant distance from that ord to conclude whether or d elsewhere in the basin, it is I result in water-level								
	_										

3

Date: February 5, 2014

### C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1,2	Basin-fill sediments (Qal and Tvs of GW Report #16)		$\boxtimes$

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Groundwater in the basin fill is generally unconfined and hydraulically connected to surface water, including Malheur and Harney Lakes.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than 1/4 mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO	
									`	

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: There are no surface water sources within a mile of the applicant's wells. The West Fork Silvies River is about five miles to the east and Harney Lake is over eight miles to the south.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: No WAB data in this part of the basin.

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	sw #	Well <		Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
			1						

Version: 07/26/2013

Date: February 5, 2014

Page

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: This section does not apply.	

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Dis	stributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	nce CFS												
Distrib	ited Well	•							APP 2.27		Amber 193		
Well	SW#	s Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
T	5777	%	%	%	- 1.p1 %	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CES	- 70	~~~~	- ~		- ~		~					
Interfere													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	nce CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	nce CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	nce CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	nce CFS											S. 2000 - 11 12	
(A) = Tot	tal Interf.				**			0.2574					154 (B004) 100
	% Nat. Q												
	% Nat. Q												
(D) = (	A) > (C)				<b>√</b>		<i>√</i>	<b>✓</b>	/		√ ·		W. 1860 (18)
$\frac{\mathbf{(D)} = \mathbf{(A/(E)} = (A/$		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	- <del>"</del>	%	%
(E) = (A)	D) X 100	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	/6	70

otal interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of a (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference Basis for impact evaluation:    690-09-040 (5) (b)	February 5, 2014	Page
Rights Section.  If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface with su	ce divided by 80% flow	v at 80% exceed. as v as percentage.
Rights Section.  If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface with su		
Rights Section.  If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface with su		
Rights Section.  If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface with su		
Rights Section.  If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface with su		
under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface we i. The permit should contain condition #(s) ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" b  W/GW Remarks and Conditions  W/GW Remarks and Conditions  eferences Used: Local well logs; local recent reviews, especially G-17708; GW Report Valker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS reestigations Map I-680; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008, Stream Assessment for Divis	rest is to be determ	ined by the Wa
W / GW Remarks and Conditions	vater:	ground water us
eferences Used: Local well logs; local recent reviews, especially G-17708; GW Report Valker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS avestigations Map I-680; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008, Stream Assessment for Divis	below;	
Valker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS avestigations Map I-680; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008, Stream Assessment for Divis		
Valker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS avestigations Map I-680; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008, Stream Assessment for Divis		
Valker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS avestigations Map I-680; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008, Stream Assessment for Divis		
Valker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS avestigations Map I-680; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008, Stream Assessment for Divis		
Valker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS avestigations Map I-680; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008, Stream Assessment for Divis		
Valker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS avestigations Map I-680; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008, Stream Assessment for Divis		
Valker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS avestigations Map I-680; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008, Stream Assessment for Divis		
Valker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS avestigations Map I-680; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008, Stream Assessment for Divis		
Valker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS avestigations Map I-680; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008, Stream Assessment for Divis		
asin.	Miscellaneous Geo	ologic

Application G-17753

Date: February 5, 2014

Page

6

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR
---------------------------

D1.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.	a. review of field instance. report of	oes not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon: of the well log; aspection by of CWRE (specify)	
D3.	THE WELL co	onstruction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:	
D4 [	Route to the W	Vell Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction	
	· Availability Tabl		

Version: 07/26/2013

## **ZWART Mike J**

From:

John Short <johnshort@usa.com>

Sent:

Monday, February 03, 2014 7:38 AM

To:

Mike Zwart

Subject:

Broken R Ranch Application G-17753

Hello Mike,

Per our conversation, this email further explains / confirms that well #1 on application G-17753 Broken R Ranch is an old, unmarked well. Applicant intends to deepen or replace it for irrigation use.

Thanks for your help.

Sincerely, John

John A. Short CCB# 197121

541-389-2837

Water Right Services, LLC PO Box 1830 Bend, OR 97709 johnshort@usa.com oregonwater.us

