Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip
Groundwater/Hydrology Section
FILE # # G-17776
ROUTED TO: Water Rights - Kim
TOWNSHIP/ RANGE-SECTION: 235/32.5 E - 21
CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: [4 yes [] no
REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS:
Reviewer: Mike Zwart

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEM	0							Mari	L 12	,20	14
TO:		Applic	ation G	177	76	-	_				
FROM	M:	GW: _	Mike (Review	er's Name	want						
SUBJ	ECT: S	cenic V	Vaterwa	ay Inter	ference	Evalua	ation				
	YES NO	The so	urce of	appropr	iation is	within	or abov	e a Scer	nic Wate	erway	
□ □ ⁄	Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J) NO										
	interfe	rence v	vith sur	face w	ater tha	at contr				ground /aterwa	
	Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.									refore, idence	
Calcula calcula	ite the per ted, per	criteria i	of consun n 390.83.	nptive use 5, do not	e by mont t fill in t	he table	but chec	k the "ui	nable" op	ference co otion abo ce finding	ve, thus
Water	way by	is permi the follo water fl	owing a	mounts			•	_	e consu	ımptive	Scenic use by
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Water	Rights Se	ection					Dat	e <u>M</u> a	rch 1	2, 2014		
FROM	1 :	Groun	ndwater Se	ection			Zwart							
SUBJI	ECT:	Appli	cation G	17776			ewer's Nan persedes		w of			Date of Re	view(s)	
OAR 6 welfare to deter	90-310-1 c, safety armine who sumption	30 (1) 7 nd healt ether the criteria.	The Departr th as descri e presumpti	nent shall p bed in ORS on is estable w is based	GROUNI resume that 537.525. D ished. OAR upon avail	epartment 690-310- able infor	ed ground staff rev 140 allow mation a	iew grows the pand ago	ound wat proposed ency pol	er applica use be m icies in p	tions odified	inder OA l or condi	R 690-3 tioned to of evalu	10-140 meet ation.
A1.	Applica	int(s) se	ek(s) <u>3.33</u>	cfs from	m <u>one</u>	well(Lake inemile	Sloug	h		_Basin,
A2. A3.	Propose Well an	ed use_ d aquife	Irri er data (att	gation, 20 ach and nu	0 acres mber logs f	Seas	onality:	M	arch 1	to Octob	oer 31		gid):	
Well	Logid Applicant's Well #		S Propos	ed Aquifer*		Proposed Rate(cfs)		Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)		Location, metes and bounds, e.g 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 30				
1 2	Proposed 1		Va	lley Fill		3.33		23S/32.5 E-21 NW-NE		40' S, 2283' W fr NE cor S 21				
3														
5		-+												
* Alluvi	um, CRB,	Bedrock	:											
Well 1	Well Elev ft msl 4125	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft) 200±	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Interva (ft)	, I	Liner ntervals (ft)	Perfora Or Scre (ft)	eens	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
Use data	a from app	lication f	or proposed	wells.										
A4.					iction infor	mation w	as provi	ded, ex	cept for	the prop	osed d	lepth.		
A5. 🛛	manage (Not all	ment of basin ru	ules contair	ter hydrauli such provi	cally conne sions.)	cted to sur	rface wat	er 🔲 a	are, or 🏻	are not	t, activ	ated by th	fication and a signification of the signification o	and/or ation.
Аб. 🗌	Name o	f admin	istrative are	ea:	, ,									triction.

Version: 07/26/2013

Date: March 12, 2014

2

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

	ed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:		
a.	is over appropriated, ☐ is not over appropriated, or ☒ cannot be determined to be period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;		
b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to pr is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OA		* This finding
c.	will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water re-	source; or	
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the i. The permit should contain condition #(s) _7N ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below		ource:
	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than		surface
a.			
b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than	ft. below land	surface;
c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below lar	nd surface;	ground
	issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Departm Ground Water Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well resenior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):	econstruction (in	terference w/
	und water availability remarks: Condition 7N is typically used in this part of the M		
are bee app add tha	no reasonably close wells with sufficient water-level records. This application is in a great deal of recent development of the groundwater resource. Therefore, the poropriate. However, based on recent applications received, it appears that this area vitional proposed development. Given the documented water-level declines elsewhere the proposed use here will also result in water level declines that may exceed one or	n area where the sitive findings h vill also be subje e in the basin, it	ere has not ere are ct to is possible
are bee app add tha	no reasonably close wells with sufficient water-level records. This application is in a great deal of recent development of the groundwater resource. Therefore, the poropriate. However, based on recent applications received, it appears that this area vitional proposed development. Given the documented water-level declines elsewhere	n area where the sitive findings h vill also be subje e in the basin, it	ere has not ere are ct to is possible
are bee app add tha	no reasonably close wells with sufficient water-level records. This application is in a great deal of recent development of the groundwater resource. Therefore, the poropriate. However, based on recent applications received, it appears that this area vitional proposed development. Given the documented water-level declines elsewhere the proposed use here will also result in water level declines that may exceed one or	n area where the sitive findings h vill also be subje e in the basin, it	ere has not ere are ct to is possible
are bee app add tha	no reasonably close wells with sufficient water-level records. This application is in a great deal of recent development of the groundwater resource. Therefore, the poropriate. However, based on recent applications received, it appears that this area vitional proposed development. Given the documented water-level declines elsewhere the proposed use here will also result in water level declines that may exceed one or	n area where the sitive findings h vill also be subje e in the basin, it	ere has not ere are ct to is possible
are bee app add tha	no reasonably close wells with sufficient water-level records. This application is in a great deal of recent development of the groundwater resource. Therefore, the poropriate. However, based on recent applications received, it appears that this area vitional proposed development. Given the documented water-level declines elsewhere the proposed use here will also result in water level declines that may exceed one or	n area where the sitive findings h vill also be subje e in the basin, it	ere has not ere are ct to is possible
are bee app add tha	no reasonably close wells with sufficient water-level records. This application is in a great deal of recent development of the groundwater resource. Therefore, the poropriate. However, based on recent applications received, it appears that this area vitional proposed development. Given the documented water-level declines elsewhere the proposed use here will also result in water level declines that may exceed one or	n area where the sitive findings h vill also be subje e in the basin, it	ere has not ere are ct to is possible
are bee app add tha	no reasonably close wells with sufficient water-level records. This application is in a great deal of recent development of the groundwater resource. Therefore, the poropriate. However, based on recent applications received, it appears that this area vitional proposed development. Given the documented water-level declines elsewhere the proposed use here will also result in water level declines that may exceed one or	n area where the sitive findings h vill also be subje e in the basin, it	ere has not ere are ct to is possible
are bee app add tha	no reasonably close wells with sufficient water-level records. This application is in a great deal of recent development of the groundwater resource. Therefore, the poropriate. However, based on recent applications received, it appears that this area vitional proposed development. Given the documented water-level declines elsewhere the proposed use here will also result in water level declines that may exceed one or	n area where the sitive findings h vill also be subje e in the basin, it	ere has not ere are ct to is possible
are bee app add tha	no reasonably close wells with sufficient water-level records. This application is in a great deal of recent development of the groundwater resource. Therefore, the poropriate. However, based on recent applications received, it appears that this area vitional proposed development. Given the documented water-level declines elsewhere the proposed use here will also result in water level declines that may exceed one or	n area where the sitive findings h vill also be subje e in the basin, it	ere has not ere are ct to is possible
are bee app add tha	no reasonably close wells with sufficient water-level records. This application is in a great deal of recent development of the groundwater resource. Therefore, the poropriate. However, based on recent applications received, it appears that this area vitional proposed development. Given the documented water-level declines elsewhere the proposed use here will also result in water level declines that may exceed one or	n area where the sitive findings h vill also be subje e in the basin, it	ere has not ere are ct to is possible

3

Date: March 12, 2014

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Basin-fill sediments (Qal and Tvs of GW Report #16)		\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Groundwater in the basin fill is generally unconfined and hydraulically connected to surface water, including Malheur and Harney Lakes.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than 1/4 mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Groundwater likely is discharging to lower reaches of Ninemile Slough, Malheur Slough and/or Malheur Lake. Ninemile Slough and Malheur Slough are dry in most years and therefore not considered for Division 9 reviews, per memo by Ivan Gall, January 15, 2008.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Rattlesnake Cr > Ninemile Sl at mouth (31200104).

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked \(\subseteq \text{box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw>5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Application G-17776

Date: March 12, 2014

Page

4

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw 5 cf	/>	nstream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
		┽┼-			_		- - - -		<u> </u>
		╬┼┼						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Comments:	This section doe	es not a	apply.						

C4a.	690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a
	percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins.
	This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use
	additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di Well	istributed SW#	Wells Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Wen	3W#	7an %	%	Wiai	Apr	wiay	3 411	% %	Aug %	%	%	%	<u> </u>
Wall C	as CFS	76	70	76	76	76	76	70	70	76	70	76	70
	ence CFS												
interier	ence Cra					XY 334-54244	687 (Mar 1966 CA				21 12 2		985 (96) (C
Distrib	uted Well	s											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
a Tracket		I			Re 100	- HC 32 (4734)		Profession (Profession)					
	otal Interf.												
$(\mathbf{B}) = 80$	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) =	(A) > (C)	-	✓	-	√	√	1	V	√	✓	1	1	_/
	/B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

5 Date: March 12, 2014 Page Application G-17776 (A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage. Basis for impact evaluation: C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section. C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s)_ ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below; C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions References Used: Local well logs; local recent reviews; GW Report 16, by Leonard, 1970; Greene, Walker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-680; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008, Stream Assessment for Division 9 Review in the Malheur Lake Basin.

Application G-17776 Date: March 12, 2014

Water Availability Tables

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

Version: 07/26/2013

Page

