# Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip Groundwater/Hydrology Section FILE # # G-17832 ROUTED TO: Water Rights - Kim TOWNSHIP/ RANGE-SECTION: 325/40 E -10,11,15 CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: [Wes [] no REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS: Reviewer: Mike Zwart

# WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT April 15,2014 **MEMO** Application G-17832 TO: GW: Mike Zwart (Reviewer's Name) FROM: **SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation** YES The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway NO YES Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J) NO $\Box$ Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below. Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway. DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in \_\_\_\_\_\_ Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

### PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS TO: Water Rights Section Date April 28, 2014 FROM: Groundwater Section \_\_\_\_\_ Mike Zwart Reviewer's Name Application G-\_\_17832\_\_\_\_ Supersedes review of April 15, 2014 SUBJECT: Date of Review(s) PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER OAR 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation. Applicant's Name: Berrett Ranches, Inc. County: Malheur A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant(s) seek(s) 8.0 cfs from three well(s) in the Owyhee Basin, A1. \_\_\_\_subbasin Quad Map: Burns Junction Proposed use Irrigation, 625.6 acres Seasonality: March 1 to October 31 A2. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid): A3. Applicant's Proposed Location Location, metes and bounds, e.g. Well Logid Proposed Aquifer\* Well# Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q) 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 Proposed Sedimentary Rx. 1 2.667 32S/40E-11SW-SE 214' N, 2815' E fr NE cor S 15 Proposed 2 Sedimentary Rx. 2.667 32S/40E-15 NE-NE 33' N, 1305' W fr NE cor S 15 3 Proposed 3 Sedimentary Rx. 2.667 32S/40E-10 SE-SE 395' N, 288' W fr NE cor S 15 4 5 Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock Well First Well Seal Casing Liner Perforations Well Draw **SWL SWL** Test Well Elev Water Depth Interval Intervals Intervals Or Screens Yield Down ft bls Date Type ft msl ft bls (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (gpm) (ft) 3730 700 2 3742 3742 700 Use data from application for proposed wells. A4. Comments: Well 2 and 3 are transposed on the map versus their metes and bounds. The above metes and bounds reflect the map locations. There was no proposed construction information provided except for the depth. A5. Provisions of the Malheur Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water $\square$ are, or $\boxtimes$ are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments:

A6.  $\square$  Well(s) # \_\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.

Name of administrative area:

Comments:

Version: 07/26/2013

Application G-17832

Page

Date: April 28, 2014

2

# B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

31.	Base	ed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
	a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	c.	will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:  i.   The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N; WELL #1: 7K (145 feet, shallower water-bearing zones)*;  ii.   The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.  iii.   The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
2.	a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
	b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
	c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
		water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
	d.	<ul> <li>Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.</li> <li>Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):</li> </ul>
3.	*Ba test	ound water availability remarks: This area has not been developed for large-scale irrigation from groundwater.  Beer are only two well logs on file in the area.  Based on telephone conversations with the applicant on 04/28/2014, and a copy he provided of a rough well log for a hole at the site of proposed well #1, I am recommending condition 7K above for well #1 only. Proposed wells #2 #3 are not within one mile of Crooked Creek and therefore are not found to have potential for substantial erference.
	************	
	-	

Date: April 28, 2014

### C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1.	690-09	·040 (	<b>(1)</b> :	Evaluation	of ac	uifer	confinement
-----	--------	--------	--------------	------------	-------	-------	-------------

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
All	Sedimentary rocks and interbedded basalt flows (Qs)	$\boxtimes$	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>The rough log provided indicates that the aquifer is confined, with a water level about 114 feet below land surface, which is 41 feet above the depth where groundwater was first reported.</u>

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name  GW SW Elev ft msl  ft msl		Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO	
1	1	Crooked Creek	3616±	3630	3500		
2	1	Crooked Creek	3616±	3650	5850		T A
3	1	Crooked Creek	3616±	3670	6350		
	ļ			~~~			
				******			

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Based on the provided rough log, it appears reasonable to conclude that Crooked Creek is incised below the shallower water-bearing zones of the aquifer that is proposed to be developed. The likely head relationship also suggests that groundwater discharges to the creek at a distance of greater than one mile from the proposed wells. See comments at C6.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Owyhee R > Snake R at mouth (31111001).

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
					***************************************					
			Ē							
								Ä		

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
	<u> </u>							

Comments: This section does not apply.	
	····

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di Well	stributed \ SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
WCII	31111	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Wall O	as CFS	76	76	76	76	76	, v						
	ence CFS												
Interret	ance ca 5			223							7 10 20 10		AND ROOM
Distrib	uted Wells										-		_
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												_
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q	as CFS												_
Interfere	ence CFS												_
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
	as CFS												_
Interfen	ence CFS												SIMSSIS
(A) = To	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = (	(A) > (C)	7	1	1	1	1	/	V	/	V	/	V	1
	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

g)-w

anary trear	model is judged to not likely be appropriate in this setting for calculation of potential interference.
***************************************	
and a shift a single shift a s	
690-09-04 Rights	0 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Section.
under this	ly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground wat permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
	The permit should contain condition #(s)
ii.	The permit should contain condition #(s) The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
ii. [ V/GW Rer ne rough log	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will appure
ii. [ W / GW Rer he rough log	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of
ii. [ W / GW Rer he rough log	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will appure
ii. [ W / GW Rer he rough log	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will appure
ii. [ W / GW Rer he rough log	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will appure
ii. [ W / GW Rer he rough log	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will appure
ii. [ W / GW Rer he rough log	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will appure
ii. [ W / GW Rer he rough log	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will appure
ii. [ W / GW Rer he rough log	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will appure
ii. [ W / GW Rer he rough log	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will appure
ii. [ W / GW Rer he rough log	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will appure
ii. [ W / GW Rer he rough log	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will appure
ii. [ W / GW Rer he rough log	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will appure
ii. [ W / GW Rer he rough log	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will appure
ii. [ W / GW Rer he rough log	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will appure
ii	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will ensure nection with Crooked Creek is greater than one mile from the proposed well site.
ii W / GW Rer he rough log ydraulic con	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  marks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will ensure nection with Crooked Creek is greater than one mile from the proposed well site.
ii W / GW Rer he rough log ydraulic con	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  narks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will ensure nection with Crooked Creek is greater than one mile from the proposed well site.
ii W / GW Rer he rough log ydraulic con	The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  marks and Conditions The prior finding for well #1 was based on a lack of proposed well construction of of the test hole allows a minimum casing and seal depth (145 feet) to be recommended that will ensure nection with Crooked Creek is greater than one mile from the proposed well site.

Page

5

## D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

DI.	Well #:	Logid:				
D2.	THE WELL does not a	ppear to meet current well co	struction standard	ls based upon	*	
DZ.	a. review of the w			*		
		by				
	d.  other: (specify)					
D3.	THE WELL constructi	on deficiency or other comme	nt is described as fo	ollows:		
D4.	Route to the Well Con	struction and Compliance Sec	tion for a review of	existing well	construction.	
						-

Water Availability Tables

