TO:	Water Rights Section	April 27 1999
FROM:		Zwart
SUBJECT	T: Application G-14962	Reviewer's Name
1. PF	NDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERAT PER THE Basin rules, one or more o feet/mile of a surface water source (groundwater source hydraulically connected to the surface	f the proposed POA's is/is not within) and taps a
a. b.	ASED UPON 0AR 690-09 currently in effect, I have determined and the potential for substantial into the conditioned, adequately protect the interpretation of the permit should contain condition #(single iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii	erference with the nearest
3. BA a. .b.	NDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS ASED UPON available data, I have determined that ground in the amounts reconstructed in the amo	oundwater for the proposed use quested without injury to prior rights ource; or g rights or to the groundwater resource: 78
b. c. d.	below land surface; The permit should allow groundwater production below land surface; The permit should allow groundwater production below land surface; The permit should allow groundwater production groundwater reservoir between approximatelyWell reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one eOne or more POA's commingle 2 or more sources of source of water per POA and specify the proportion source.	on only from theft. on only from theft. below land surface; e or more of the above conditions. of water. The applicant must select one
REMA	ARKS:	
	and the control of the companion of the control of	
	(Well Construction Considerations of	n Reverse Side)

THE WELL which is the point of appropriation for this application does not meet current well 5. construction standards based upon: a.____review of the well log; b.___field inspection by _____ c.___report of CWRE _______ d.___other: (specify) _____ THE WELL construction deficiency: 6. a.___constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; b.___commingles water from more than one groundwater reservoir; c.___permits the loss of artesian head; d.___permits the de-watering of one or more groundwater reservoirs; e.___other: (specify) _____ THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows: 7. a.___was, or constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of 8. THE WELL b.___was not original construction or most recent modification. c. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction. RECOMMENDATION: A.___I recommend including the following condition in the permit: "No water may be appropriated under terms of this permit until the well(s) has been repaired to conform to current well construction standards and proof of such repair is filed with the Enforcement Section of the Water Resources Department." B.___I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Enforcement Section of the Water Resources Department. REFER this review to Enforcement Section for concurrence. THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL I concur in G/H's recommendation A or B above relating to conditioning or withholding the permit (Signature) I do not concur in G/H's recommendation A or B above relating to conditioning or withholding the permit for the following reasons: ______, 199__. (Signature)

WELL CONSTRUCTION (If more than one well doesn't meet standards, attach an additional sheet.)

OREGON WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT INTEROFFICE MEMO

To:

Groundwater files

Date: April 27, 1999

From:

Michael J. Zwart

Subject:

Application Review: G-14962, Fred D. Wallender

This application proposes to use about 4.09 cfs from two wells (UNIO 50174 (#2 on log) and UNIO 2599 (#3 on log)) for primary irrigation of 152.5 acres and supplemental irrigation of 174.7 acres. Well number 1 on the application map does not jibe with either of the logs, however. The wells are 350 and 375 feet deep, respectively, and produce water from a weakly semiconfined to locally unconfined aquifer developed in sand and gravel. The wells are both gravel packed from a depth of 20 feet to the respective bottoms. Well #3 was previously reviewed as part of file G-14236. That review is incorporated by reference here. Based on the well logs and the numbering in the earlier file, I believe that well #1 on the application map should be changed to well #3 to be consistent.

Well #3 is about 2800 feet from Ladd Creek. Well #2 is about 1500 feet from Ladd Creek. The aquifer penetrated is likely in hydraulic connection with the creek. There is no potential for substantial interference with the creek, based only on the distance to it.

I recommend permit conditions 7B and 7J.