WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEN	10							_5	ept.	9_,	, 20 1 <u>4</u>
TO:		Appi	ication	G- <u>17</u>	904						
FRO	M:	GW:	Mih	e Z	wart Name)						
SUB	JECT:		,-		,	nce Ev	aluatio	n.			
	YES										
	NO	The s	ource of	f approp	riation	is withi	n or abo	ve a Sc	enic Wa	iterway	
	NO								. •		
/	YES										
	NO	Use th	ne Sceni	c Water	rway co	ndition	(Condit	ion 7J)			
											:
	interfe calcul Per O interfe the D that t	erence vated into RS 390. erence v epartm he prop	vith surferences 835, the vith surferent is uno seed us	face wat the is dist the Groun face wat nable to se will n	ter that of tributed d Water ter that of find the neasura	Section below. Section contribution there is the red wing characters.	tes to a is una tes to a e is a p uce the	ble to conscenic verepond	Waterw alculate vaterwa erance e water	ground y; there of evide	water efore, ence
Calcula calcula informi Exerci Water	te the pe ted, per o ng Water se of th way by	rcentage riteria in Rights th is permithe follo	390.835, act the De it is calc owing a	nptive use do not fi epartment culated t mounts	e by mont ll in the to is unable to reduc	h and fill able but o e to make e month ed as a	heck the a Prepor	"unable" iderance s in	option a of Evider	bove, thu ace findin	s g. Scenic
			low is r								
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	i	1	1		i						

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS TO: Water Rights Section Date September 16, 2014 FROM: Groundwater Section _____ Mike Zwart Reviewer's Name Supersedes review of_____ SUBJECT: Application G- 17904 **PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION: GROUNDWATER** OAR 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation. A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Prairie City Cemetery County: Grant Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.12 cfs from one well(s) in the John Day Basin, A1. subbasin Quad Map: Prairie City Proposed use Irrigation, 9.9 acres (S) Seasonality: March 1 to October 31 A2. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid): A3. Location Applicant's Proposed Location, metes and bounds, e.g. Logid Well Proposed Aquifer* Well# Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q) 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 **Proposed** Basalt? 1 0.12 13S/33E-11 SW-NE 870' N, 710' E fr C 1/4 cor S 11 2 3 4 5 Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock Well First Well Seal Casing Liner Perforations Well Draw **SWL SWL** Test Well Elev Water Depth Interval Intervals Intervals Or Screens Yield Down ft bls Date Type ft msl ft bls (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (gpm) 3562 0-20 0-200 None 160-200 Use data from application for proposed wells. Comments: The proposed well construction is similar to nearby well GRAN 51033, application G-17789. That well A4. possibly penetrates Columbia River Basalt. A5. Provisions of the John Day Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or

management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water \square are, or \boxtimes are not, activated by this application.

Well(s) # _____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.

Name of administrative area: ______

(Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Comments:

Comments: _____

Version: 07/26/2013

2

Date: September 16, 2014

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

Base	ed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
b.	□ will not or □ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
c.	☐ will not or ☒ will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) _7J; ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
	water reservoir between approximatelyft. andft. below land surface;
	Ground Water Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
Spe	und water availability remarks: There are no nearby observation wells. The proposed use is relatively minor.
con inte pac are con	undwater production shall be limited to a single aquifer in the Columbia River Basalt Group. The well shall be tinuously cased and continuously sealed to within 100 feet of the bottom of the open borehole. A larger open rval may be approved by the Department if the permittee can demonstrate to the Department's satisfaction, using ker tests or other suitable methods, that the hydraulic heads of water-bearing zones in the proposed open interval equivalent or that the open interval is part of a continuous zone of interconnected porous materials. This dition shall not apply if the proposed well develops an aquifer within rocks other than the Columbia River Basalt oup, such as the Rattlesnake Formation.
_	
_	
-	

3

Page

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

CI.	690-09-040	(1):	Evaluation	of	aquifer	confinement:
-----	------------	-------------	------------	----	---------	--------------

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Columbia River Basalt (Tcr) or		
	possibly Rattlesnake Formation (QTr)		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Nearby well GRAN 51033 is flowing artesian and the proposed well here is to be similarly constructed.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than 1/4 mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	John Day River	3550±	3524	950		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: The targeted water-bearing zone is well below the nearest reach of the river. Hydraulic connection may be at a downstream reach of the river at an undetermined distance greater than one mile, where bedrock may be exposed in the bed of the river.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: 30620124, John Day R > Columbia R ab unn stream.

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked \(\subseteq \text{box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Date: September 16, 2014 Page

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comments: This se	ection does no	ot apply.						

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

	istributed	Wells								-			
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		12 km, 44 650	and the second second		1000	* 27 1997			1.000002		a Amerika		
	outed Well		Ech	Mor	A	May	Jun	Jul	A 110	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr		Juli %		Aug	<u>зер</u> %	%	WOV %	- DCC - %
W. II .	CEC	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	- %	96
	Q as CFS												
Interier	ence CFS			~	~	~	~	~		61	67	61	
187.11.7) CFC	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS						-				-		
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	Q as CFS			<u> </u>								<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Interfer	ence CFS							<u> </u>					
	<u> </u>	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
	L	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
(A) = T	otal Interf.		MONTH POR								Tari		
	% Nat. Q												
	% Nat. Q												
	- A. 1 1 A 2 2 2 7		Y			Section 1			7780 FY 138				7
(D) =	(A) > (C)	4	1	*	√	1	V	1	/	✓	1	1	1
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A}$	/B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Page

	luation:
690-09-040 (5) (b) Rights Section.	The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Wa
Rights Section.	
under this permit confidence i. The permit confidence is the permit confidence in the permit confidence in the permit confidence is the permit confidence in the permit co	ioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use the regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: rmit should contain condition #(s) 7J
ii. The pe	rmit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
SW / GW Remarks an	d Conditions
References Used: Loc Northeastern Oregon,	al well logs; local reviews, especially G-17789; Geologic Map of the Canyon City Quadrangle, by Brown and Thayer, 1966; Preliminary Geologic Map of the Mt. Vernon Quadrangle, Oregon,
References Used: Loc Northeastern Oregon, Thayer, 1956.	al well logs; local reviews, especially G-17789; Geologic Map of the Canyon City Quadrangle, by Brown and Thayer, 1966; Preliminary Geologic Map of the Mt. Vernon Quadrangle, Oregon,
Northeastern Oregon,	al well logs; local reviews, especially G-17789; Geologic Map of the Canyon City Quadrangle, by Brown and Thayer, 1966; Preliminary Geologic Map of the Mt. Vernon Quadrangle, Oregon,
Northeastern Oregon,	al well logs; local reviews, especially G-17789; Geologic Map of the Canyon City Quadrangle, by Brown and Thayer, 1966; Preliminary Geologic Map of the Mt. Vernon Quadrangle, Oregon,

Application G-17904

Date: September 16, 2014

Page

6

Di.	Well #:	Logid:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
D2.	a. review b. field is c. report	does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon: w of the well log; inspection by t of CWRE (specify)	
D3.	THE WELL c	construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:	
D4. [Route to the \	Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.	
Water	Availability Tal	bles	

Version: 07/26/2013

