Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip Groundwater/Hydrology Section
FILE # # G - 17 7 8 8
ROUTED TO: Water Rights
TOWNSHIP/
RANGE-SECTION: 105/2W-17
CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: [Y yes [] no
Reviewer: Karl Wornig K

i,

د .

,

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

Scptamber 23, 200 2014/

TO: Application G-_17788____

FROM:

GW: Karl Woania K (Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT:

Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

YES 🖌 NO

The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway

YES V NO

Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)

Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.

Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is **unable** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
						•					

and the second second second

.

.

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

۲.												
VI:	Grou	undwater Se	ection		Karl V	Vozniak						
					Revi	ewer's Name						
ECT:	App	lication G-	17788		Su	persedes 1	review of					
	••	-				•				Date of Re	view(s)	
LIC INTI 690-310-1 <i>e, safety an</i> ermine whe esumption	ERES 30 (1) <i>nd hea</i> ether ther criteri	T PRESU The Departa lth as descri- ne presumpt a. This revie	MPTION; ment shall p ibed in ORS ion is establi ew is based	GROUNI resume that 537.525. D shed. OAR upon avail	DWATE a propose epartment 690-310- able infor	R ed grounds staff revie 140 allows mation ar	water use will w groundwate the proposed ad agency poli	ensure the er applica use be me icies in pl	e press tions u odifiéd lace a t	ervation of inder OA i or condi t the time	of the put R 690-31 itioned to e of evalu	blic 0-140 o meet 1ation .
<u>ENERAL</u>	INF	ORMATIC	<u>DN</u> : Al	oplicant's N	lame:	Avinelis			(County:	Linn	
Applica	nt(s) s	eek(s) <u>2.4</u>	2cfs from	n <u>4</u>	well((s) in the	Willamette					_ Basin
11	North S	Santiam Riv	er		subb	asin Q	uad Map: <u>C</u>	rabtree				
Propose Well an	ed use_ d aqui	Irrigation &	& Temperatu ach and nui	ne <u>Control</u> mber logs f	Seas or existin	onality: _ g wells; m	March 1 – ark proposed	Oct 31 wells as	such	under log	gid):	
Logic	4	Applicant	's Propos	ad Aquifer*	Prop	osed	Location	1 I	Loca	tion, mete	s and bou	inds, e.g.
Logic	1	Well #	Flopos		Rate	(cfs)	(T/R-S QQ	-Q)	2250)' N, 1200'	E fr NW	cor S 36
LINN 41	66	1	A	luvium	2.4	42	10S/02W-17 S	W/NW	14	25' S, 775'	E fr NW c	or S17
LINN 61	004	2	AI	luvium	2.4	42	10S/02W-17 N	E/NW	27	5' S, 1810'	E fr NW c	or S17
LINN 41	65	3	A	luvium	2.4	42	10S/02W-17 N	W/NW	4	57' S, 770'	E fr NW co	or S17
LINN OU	557	4	AI	luvium	2.4	+2	105/02w-17 N	<u>w/Sw</u>	23	/ 5,48/ 1	c ir w 1/4 c	or 517
vium, CRB,	Bedroo	:k										
Well	Firs			Well	Seal	Casing	Liner	Perfora	tions	Well	Draw	
Elev	Wate	SWL	SWL	Depth	Interval	Intervals	Intervals	Or Scr	eens	Yield	Down	Test
ft msl	ft bl	ft bls	Date	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	• •	(gpm)	(ft)	Туре
260		17	06/30/1940	21	?	0-21			1.11	?	<u><u> </u></u>	
262				21	?	0-21				?		
260		14	02/20/1962	27	?	0-27		21-2	:6	600	3	Pump
252	20	5	09/03/2013	60	0-18	0-59		32-5	9	600		Air
												<u> </u>
to from one	ligation	for proposed	wells									
Comme <u>1858; W</u> the irrig and pos he woul	ents: Vell 2 (ated a sibly 3 Id reco	* Constructi (LINN 6100 cres propose d, do not like nstruct the y	on data for V 4) is also a I ed for this rig ty meet curr wells or repla	Vell 1 is fro POA on GR ght are alreated ent well co ace them as	om GR-18 -1859); W idy listed of nstruction needed.	58 registra /ell 3 (LIN on other rig standards.	tion form. We N 4165) is als ghts or claims The owner ha	II 1 (LINI o a POA served by as indicate	N 4160 on cer the sa ed on t	5) is also tificate 33 ame wells he applic	a POA o 8850. Mo Wells ation for	n GR- ost of and 2, m that
	ECT: LIC INTI 690-310-1 e, safety at ermine who esumption ENERAL Applica Propose Well an Logic LINN 41 LINN 60 vium, CRB, Well Elev ft msl 260 262 260 252 ta from app Comme 1858; W the irrig and pos he woul	ECT: App LIC INTERES 690-310-130 (1) e, safety and hea ermine whether the sumption criteria ENERAL INFO Applicant(s) s North S Proposed use Well and aqui Logid LINN 4166 LINN 4166 LINN 4166 LINN 61004 LINN 4165 LINN 60537 vium, CRB, Bedrood Well First Elev Wate ft msl ft bls 260 262 260 252 20 Linn 4165 LINN 60537 Vium, CRB, Bedrood Kell First Elev Wate ft msl ft bls 260 262 260 252 20 Linn 4165 LINN 60537 Vium, CRB, Bedrood Kell First Elev Wate ft msl ft bls 260 262 260 252 20 Linn 4165 LINN 60537 Vium, CRB, Bedrood Kell First Elev Wate ft msl ft bls 260 262 260 252 20 Linn 400 Linn 4165 LINN 60537 Vium, CRB, Bedrood Kell First Elev Wate ft msl ft bls 260 262 260 252 20 Linn 400 262 262	ECT: Application G- LIC INTEREST PRESU 690-310-130 (1) The Depart e, safety and health as descri- ermine whether the presumpt esumption criteria. This revie ENERAL INFORMATIC Applicant(s) seek(s) _2.4 	ECT: Application G17788 LIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall p. 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall p. e, safety and health as described in ORS ermine whether the presumption is establic establic esumption criteria. This review is based ENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant(s) seek(s)242 cfs from	ECT: Application G	ECT: Application G17788	ECT: Application G	ECT: Application G17788 Supersedes review of	ECT: Application G	ECT: Application G17788 Supersedes review of	Reverse Name ECT: Application G17788 Supersedes review of	ECT: Application G17788 Supersedes review of

management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water i are, or i are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments: The wells are greater than 1/4 mile from a surface water source so the pertinent rules (OAR 690-502-0240) do not

apply.

A6. Well(s) #

Well(s) # _____, ____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: ______

Comments:

2

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
 - a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. **will not** or **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
 - d. **Will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) Large water use reporting
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii.
 The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;

- b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
- c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately______ft. and ______ft. below land surface;
- d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Groundwater availability remarks: <u>The area beneath the proposed irrigated lands and wells is underlain by 60-70 feet of coarse-grained Holocene floodplain deposits associated with the North Santiam River. The water table occurs at shallow depths and groundwater levels approximate the stage of adjacent reaches of the river. The alluvial floodplain aquifer is unconfined and highly permeable. Groundwater level data is sparse in the area but water levels are likely to be stable since well production will be buffered by capture from the adjacent stream. Domestic and irrigation well densities are quite low in the surrounding area. Most of the nearby irrigation wells are at least ¼ mile away from the proposed wells on this permit. Because the aquifer is unconfined and reasonably thick and well density is relatively low, interference from the proposed wells is unlikely to be excessive.</u>

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvial		\boxtimes
2	Alluvial		\square
3	Alluvial		X
4	Alluvial		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: _____The wells produce from coarse-grained Holocene alluvium. General knowledge indicates that the aquifer is unconfined.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	North Santiam River	240	235-260	2330		
2	1	North Santiam River	240	235-260	2650		
3	1	North Santiam River	240	235-260	1970		
4	1	North Santiam River	240	235-260	1890		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Published water table contour maps show that groundwater flows</u> toward, and discharges into, the North Santiam River. The floodplain aquifer and the streambed are largely composed of permeable sands and gravels so groundwater should be able to move freely between the stream and the aquifer.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: N Santiam R > Santiam R - At Mouth

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1			MF141	430		267		33	\boxtimes
2	1			MF141	430		267		30	X
3	1			MF141	430		267		37	X
4	1			MF141	430		267		38	Ø

3

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

c valuatio	in and	minutations	uppij us	III Cou abor	0.					
	SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: The Hunt99 (Hunt, 1999) model was used to estimate stream interference after 30 days of pumping. A value of 1000 ft/day was used for the hydraulic conductivity of the Holocene floodplain sediments based on the high yields and high specific capacity of nearby wells and field observations and mapped descriptions that show the unit to be unconsolidated sand and gravel. Streambed conductivity was assumed to be 1 feet per day, the equivalent of a silty sand. A sand and gravel streambed is more likely based on field observations in other areas. The model parameters and results for the closest well, MARI 60537, Well 4) are included at the end of this review.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	stributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
Distrik	wheel Well												
Well	SW#	S Tan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Iun	Tul	A 110	Sen	Oct	Nov	Dec
wen	344#	Jan ø	100	Iviai 07		iviay	Jun	<u>Jui</u>	Aug	or	<u></u>	110V	Dec ø
Well C				-70	70	-70	-70	-70	-70	-70	-70	-70	-70
Interfer	as CFS												
Interfere						~	6			~	~	67	67
Wall C		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	<u> %</u>	%	%	%	%
Interfor													
Interfere	ence CFS	~	~	~	~	~	~		~	~	~	~	
Wall C		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well C	as CFS												
Interfere		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
W.II.C		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
wen C	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
well C	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS	~	~	~	~	~		~			~	~	~
Wall C		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS		Sec. 1. Sec. 1.			n w sin					35.5.5. E		
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}0$	tal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat O												
	M N-4 O												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q					N. 2012			Aby Million				
(D) = ((A) > (C)	1	1. A.	1	÷.	s. ²	s.	2	1	e e	:	$\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$,
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

690-0 Ri	99-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the W ghts Section.
If pr unde	operly conditioned , the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater r this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: The permit should contain condition $\#(s)$
	ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
5W / GV	V Remarks and Conditions
6W / GV	V Remarks and Conditions
5W / GV	V Remarks and Conditions
SW / GV	V Remarks and Conditions
SW / GV	V Remarks and Conditions
W / GV	V Remarks and Conditions
W / GV	V Remarks and Conditions
W / GV	V Remarks and Conditions
SW / GV	V Remarks and Conditions
W / GV	V Remarks and Conditions
W / GV	V Remarks and Conditions
SW / GV	V Remarks and Conditions
W / GV	V Remarks and Conditions
W / GV	V Remarks and Conditions
Reference Conlon, T Gannett, J.S. Geo	V Remarks and Conditions
Reference Conlon, Control of Cont	V Remarks and Conditions
Reference Conlon, - Gannett, J.S. Geo Hunt, B., D'Conno Paper 16	V Remarks and Conditions
Reference Conlon, 7 Ground-v Gannett, J.S. Geo Iunt, B., O'Conno aper 167	W Remarks and Conditions
Reference Conlon, 7 Ground-V Gannett, J.S. Geo Junt, B., O'Conno Paper 167 Voodwa	V Remarks and Conditions

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

Well #: _____1, 2, & 3_____ D1.

Logid: LINN 4166, LINN 61004, & LINN 4165

THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon: D2.

- a. \boxtimes review of the well log;

- d. ____ other: (specify) ______

D3. THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows: No apparent or likely seal in Well 1 and Well 2. No documented seal in Well 3.

D4. 🛛 Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Water Availability Tables

Watershed ID #: Time: 2:28 PM	141	N SAN	FIAM R > SANTIAM R - Basin: WILLAMET	AT MOUTH TE	Excee Di	dance Level: 80 ate: 09/22/2014
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirements	Net Water Available
		Storage is t	Monthly values a the annual amount at	re in cfs. 50% exceedance i	n ac-ft.	
JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC ANN	2,330.00 2,670.00 2,540.00 2,500.00 2,590.00 1,500.00 858.00 661.00 627.00 694.00 1,380.00 2,540.00	482.00 1,490.00 1,320.00 1,480.00 436.00 333.00 320.00 297.00 267.00 268.00 269.00	1,850.00 1,180.00 1,220.00 1,020.00 1,790.00 1,060.00 525.00 341.00 330.00 427.00 1,110.00 2,270.00	0.00 0.00	4 30. 00 4 30. 00 3 30. 00 4 30. 00	1,420.00 749.00 790.00 587.00 1,360.00 634.00 94.70 -88.60 -100.00 -2.79 682.00 1,840.00

DETAILED REPORT ON THE WATER AVAILABILITY CALCULATION

6

7



G-17788, Avinelis (Quiet Meadows Farm)

8



Transient Stream Depletion (Jenkins, 1970; Hunt, 1999)

Jenkins s2	Hunt s1	Hunt s2
lenking s2 residual	Hunte3	Hunts2

 Hunt	s2	residual

Output for Hunt Stream Depletion, Scenerio 2 (s2):					Time pump on = 360 days							
Days	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300	330	360
Qw, cfs	2.420	2.420	2.420	2.420	2.420	2.420	2.420	2.420	2.420	2.420	2.420	2.420
Jenk SD %	0.680	0.771	0.812	0.837	0.854	0.866	0.876	0.884	0.891	0.896	0.901	0.905
Jen SD cfs	1.646	1.865	1.965	2.025	2.066	2.096	2.120	2.139	2.155	2.169	2.181	2.191
Hunt SD %	0.377	0.509	0.580	0.627	0.661	0.687	0.708	0.725	0.740	0.752	0.763	0.772
Hunt SD cfs	0.912	1.231	1.404	1.518	1.600	1.663	1.714	1.755	1.790	1.820	1.846	1.869

Parameters:		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Units cfs	
Net steady pumping rate	Qw	2.42	2.42	2.42		
Distance to stream	a	1890	1890	1890	ft	
Aquifer hydraulic conductivity	K	1000	1000	1000	ft/day	
Aquifer thickness	b	70	70	70	ft	
Aquifer transmissivity	T	70000	70000	70000	ft*ft/day	
Aquifer storage coefficient	S	0.2	0.2	0.2		
Stream width	WS	150	150	150	ft	
Streambed hydraulic conductivity	Ks	1	1	1	ft/day	
Streambed thickness	bs	3	3	3	ft	
Streambed conductance	sbc	50	50	50	ft/day	
Stream depletion factor (Jenkins)	sdf	10.206	10.206	10.206	days	
Streambed factor (Hunt)	sbf	1.35	1.35	1.35		