U/194#/6

WATERMASTER DIVISION 33 APPLICATION WORK SHEET

Recommendations for Water Right Applications that may affect the Habitat of Sensitive, Threatened or Endangered Fish Species, OAR 690-33-310 through 340.

Application # G-15647

21 day Deadline Date: June 25, 2002

Date: June 4, 2002

DESCRIPTION OF THE SOURCE: A W 2 ((A spring, well, sump, exempt pond, unnamed stream, etc.) 1) If from surface water, does the water at the proposed diversion location flow into another water body? YES	SOURCE OF WATER:	GROUNDWATER	☐ SURFACE WATER	☐ STORAGE
1) If from surface water, does the water at the proposed diversion location flow into another water body? YES	DESCRIPTION OF THE	SOURCE: A WEll	•	
YES	(A spring, well,	sump, exempt pond, unnamed stream	am, etc.)	•
If sometimes, describe the time period, Between:	1) If from surface water, d	loes the water at the proposed diver	sion location flow into another water bo	dy? N/A
2) Does the source ever go dry in the area of the proposed diversion? YES	-			
□ YES	If sometimes, de	escribe the time period, Between:	and	·
3) To your knowledge, has the requested source of water been regulated because of insufficient flow to satisfy existing water riginal including instream water rights? YES	2) Does the source ever go	o dry in the area of the proposed div	version?	
including instream water rights? YES	☐ YES	X NO, not to	my Knowledge	
If YES, please explain: 4) Do you agree with the water availability recommended period of use? YES			n regulated because of insufficient flow	to satisfy existing water right
4) Do you agree with the water availability recommended period of use? YES	☐ YES	NO		
If NO, why do you disagree with the recommended period of use and what period do you recommend?	If YES, please e	xplain:		
If NO, why do you disagree with the recommended period of use and what period do you recommend?				
If NO, why do you disagree with the recommended period of use and what period do you recommend? Solution Solution	4) Do you agree with the v	water availability recommended per	riod of use?	
5) Did you meet with staff from another agency to discuss this application? YES NO Who:	YES	□ NO □ I DON	'T KNOW	
Who: Agency: Date:	If NO, why do y	ou disagree with the recommended	period of use and what period do you re	ecommend?
Who: Agency: Date: 6) Is mitigation an option? YES				
Who: Agency: Date:	5) Did you meet with staff	from another agency to discuss the	is application?	
6) Is mitigation an option? UYES UNO W/A If YES, please explain: Comments: No site visit	☐ YES	K NO		
Orith Comments: NO N/A If YES, please explain: Comments: No site visit	Who:	A	gency:	Date:
If YES, please explain: Comments: No site visit	6) Is mitigation an option?			
Comments: No site visit	□ YES	□ NO N/A	•	
	If YES, please e	xplain:		
			and the state of t	
	Comments: No Si	te visit		
Name: Bill FET 625 Date: 8.27. 2002 Title: WM 16				
Name: 130// FET 628 Date: 8.27. 2002 Title: WM 16				
Name. Date.	Name: BUIFE	Date: 8	27.202 Title: WM 16	
		78-8455 ext: 324 / Fax: 503-37 review\forms 2002\wmform 04-12	78-6203 / e-mail: cory.c.engel@wrd.	or.state.us Re