Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip
Groundwater/Hydrology Section
FILE # # <u>6-17835</u>
ROUTED TO: Water Righty
TOWNSHIP/
RANGE-SECTION: 65/2W-32
CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: [Yyes [] no
REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS:
Reviewer: Karl Worniak

• *

Ŀ

,

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

Saytember 25,20 2014

TO: Application G-17835

FROM:

GW: Kar/ Wozniak (Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

YES NO NO

The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway

YES NO

Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)

_Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.

Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in ______Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBL	IC INT	ERES	ST REVIE	W FOR C	GROUND	WATER	R APPLI	CATIONS					
TO:		Wat	er Rights S	ection				Da	te <u>Se</u>	ptemb	er 25, 20	014	
FROM	[:	Grou	undwater S	ection	and the	Karl V	<u>Nozniak</u>	-	=				
SUBJ	ECT:	App	lication G-	17835		Su	ewers Nam nersedes	review of					
50201		••••		11000		5u	perseues				Date of Re	view(s)	
PUBL OAR 6 welfare to deter the pres	IC INT 90-310-1 90-30-10	ERES 30 (1) nd hea ether the criteria	T PRESU The Depart of the as descr the presumpt a. This revio ORMATIC	MPTION; ment shall p ibed in ORS ion is establ ew is based ON: A	GROUNI <i>tresume that</i> <i>537.525.</i> D ished. OAR upon avail pplicant's N	DWATE a proposi epartment 690-310- able infor	R ed ground staff revi 140 allow mation a Kieth Ol	dwater use will iew groundwat is the proposed and agency po lson	<i>ensure th</i> ter applica l use be m licies in p	e prese tions u odified lace at	ervation d nder OAI l or condi t the time County:	of the pub R 690-31 tioned to of evalu Marion	olic 0-140 meet nation.
A 1	A		-1	2 - C - C - C	1	11/		XX7:11					D
AI.	Applica	int(s) s	eek(s) = 0.6	2 cfs from	m <u> </u>	well((s) in the _	Willamett	e				_ Basin,
]	Little I	Pudding			subb	asin	Quad Map:	Gervais				
A2.	Propose	ed use	Irri	gation		Seas	sonality:	Mar 1 – C	oct 31				
A3.	Well an	d aqui	fer data (att	ach and nu	mber logs f	or existin	g wells; i	mark propose	d wells as	such u	under log	gid):	
Wall	Laci	Applicant's Dependent Aquifest					posed Location			Loca	tion, mete	s and bou	nds, e.g.
1	Bronog	1	Well #	Fropos		Rate	Rate(cfs)		Q-Q)	2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36			cor S 36
2	Fropos	eu	1	A	nuviun	0.02		005/02 - 32	NE/SE	495 S, 1670 E ff C S32			32
3													
5								11 J					
* Alluvi	um, CRB,	Bedro	ck										
	Well	Firs	t our	011/1	Well	Seal	Casing	Liner	Perfora	tions	Well	Draw	
Well	Elev	Wate	r SwL ft bls	Date	Depth	Interval	Interval	s Intervals	Or Scr	eens	Yield	Down	Type
1	186		S		200	0-50	0-200	(11)	130-2) 200	(gpm)	(11)	
Use data	from app	lication	for proposed	l wells.									
A4.	Comme	ents:	Applicant se	eks 0.62 cfs	for the irrig	ation of 4	9.7 acres	from one pror	osed well				
A5. 🗌	A5. □ Provisions of the Willamette Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water □ are, or ⊠ are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments: The well will produce from a confined aquifer so the pertinent rules (OAR 690-502-0240) do not apply.												
										A MARINE W			
A6. 🗌	Well(s) Name o Comme	# of admi ents:	nistrative an				,	tap(s) an aqui	fer limited	l by an	administ	rative res	triction.

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
 - a. **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, *or* **cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. **will not** or **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
 - d. **Will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7c, large water use reporting
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;

- b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
- c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately______ft. and ______ft. below land surface;
- d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Groundwater availability remarks: <u>The proposed well site is underlain by an alluvial aquifer that consists of about 190</u> feet of productive sands and gravels. The aquifer is confined by the overlying Willamette Silt which is about 70 feet thick in the area and is saturated below depths of about 10 feet. Much of surrounding land is irrigated with groundwater so irrigation well density is relatively high. Domestic wells are sparse in the immediate area of the proposed well but some rural subdivisions occur just over ¼ mile to the northwest. Water level data from state observation well 618 (MARI 6564) and other nearby wells show stable trends over time (see attached plot). Seasonal declines are probably not more than 20 feet in the area. Stable water levels, relatively small seasonal declines, and a thick productive zone indicate that the aquifer probably has additional capacity for development. However, nearby shallow wells that do not fully penetrate the aquifer could be adversely affected by this additional development. The OWRD well log database shows 33 irrigation wells in sections 32 and 33. Yields vary from 60 to 840 gpm with a median value of about 350 gpm. This suggests that the aquifer has the capacity to supply the requested rate.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvial		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: ______General knowledge indicates that the aquifer is confined in the area. Storativity is likely to be around 0.0001.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Unnamed Tributary to Little Pudding River	160	150- 160	2550		
1	2	Little Pudding River	160	140- 145	4780		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Published water table maps show that groundwater flows toward, and discharges into the Little Pudding River and its tributaries.</u>

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Pudding R > Molalla R - AB Mill Cr

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw> 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1						67.3		<<25	
1	2						67.3		<<25	

3

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

s	sw #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 80% 1% Natural ISWR? Flow (cfs)		Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?			

Comments: Stream interference was not estimated using a model but modeling in similar circumstances shows that the presence of saturated Willamette Silt between the stream bed and the aquifer is likely to result in interference that is much less than 25% after 30 days of pumping.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	stributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
Distail	wed Well					, NACH SAN AND		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Well	SW#	Is Ian	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Iun	Tul	Δug	Sen	Oct	Nov	Dec
	0,011	5 dii 0%	100 Ø	With 0%		TVILLY 0%	Jun Ø	5 UI	nug ø		<i>0</i> 00	110V	04
Well C	as CES	70	70	70	-70	70	70	70	-70	-70	-70	-70	-70
Interfer	ance CES												·
Interier		Ø.	67-	07.	07.	07.	07.	07.	07.	07.	07	07	07
Well C	as CFS	-70	70	-70	-70	-70	-70	70	70	-70	~/0	-70	~/0
Interfer	ance CES												
Interier		07.	07-	07.	67	67	67	67				67	67
Well C	as CES	70	-70	-70	-70	-70		70	~/0		%0	70	70
Interfer	as CFS												
Interier		07.	07-	07.	07.	07	07	67.		<i>m</i>	67		<i>67</i>
Well C		-70	-70	-70	-70	70	70	70	70	- 70	-70	~⁄0	~/0
Interfer	ence CES												
Interier		67.	07-	07.	07.	07.	(7				(7)	67	67
Well C	as CES	10	10	70	70	70	-70	-70	70	-70	-70	-70	70
Interfer	ence CES												
		0%	Ø,		0%	0%	07,	07,	0%	Ø7.	07_	07-	07.
Well C	as CES	<i></i>	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<i></i>	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		70	70	70	-710	710	-70
Interfer	ence CES												
	18 - 18 J		an an th		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.				el provincio de la composi- Compositor de la compositor de la composito	e zajeli			
(A) = To	tal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (\mathbf{D})$	(h) > (C)						د بر د بر د			2			
(D) = ($A_j > (U_j$				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~ ~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~	~	~		ं ~	
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A})$	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Date: 09/25/2014 Page 5 (A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage. Basis for impact evaluation: C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water **Rights Section.** C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s)_____ ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below; C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions The nearby Little Pudding River and its tributaries do not fully incise the Willamette Silt. The silt unit will therefore buffer short term interference with these streams. **References Used:** Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168. Gannett, M.W. and Caldwell, R., 1998, Geologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A, 32 p. Iverson, J., 2002, Investigation of the hydraulic, physical, and chemical buffering capacity of Missoula flood deposits for water quality and supply in the Willamette Valley of Oregon: Unpublished M.S. thesis, Oregon State University, 147 p.

Woodward, D.G., Gannett, M.W., and Vaccaro, J.J., 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B, 82 p.

Page

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.	THE WELL does not appear to meet of a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by	current well construction standards based upon:	;
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency o	or other comment is described as follows:	

D4.
D4.
Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Water Availability Tables

Watershed ID #: Time: 1:59 PM	151	PUDDI	Excee D	Exceedance Level: 80 Date: 09/25/2014								
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirements	Net Water Available						
		Storage is	Monthly values a the annual amount at	are in cfs. t 50% exceedance i	in ac-ft.							
JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC	1,040.00 1,180.00 1,010.00 787.00 425.00 224.00 109.00 71.00 67.30 91.60 363.00 957.00	125.00 115.00 79.90 55.70 52.70 72.90 113.00 93.30 54.50 14.00 48.60 119.00	915.00 1,070.00 930.00 731.00 372.00 151.00 -4.01 -22.30 12.80 77.60 314.00 838.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	36.00 36.00 36.00 36.00 36.00 36.00 36.00 36.00 36.00 36.00 36.00 36.00	879.00 1,030.00 894.00 695.00 336.00 115.00 -40.00 -58.30 -23.20 41.60 278.00 802.00						

DETAILED REPORT ON THE WATER AVAILABILITY CALCULATION





......

Page

8

