

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Application G- 1774 FROM: J. Hackett - Groundwater Section SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation											
L	YES	Т	he sourc	e of app	ropriatio	on is with	nin or ab	ove a Sc	enic Wa	terway	
- V	YES	Ü	Ise the So	cenic W	aterway	conditio	n (condi	tion 7J)			
	with su	AS 390.83 orface wa oution is p	ter that c	contribut				_			
	interfer Departr use will	S 390.83 ence with ment is un l measura er of a sc	n surface nable to ably redu	water the find that sice the si	nat contr there is	ibutes to a prepor	a scenic derance	waterw of evide	ay; there	efore, the	posed
Calcula If interfo "unable	te interfe erence co e" option	ON OF IN erence as annot be o above, th of Eviden	the month calculated us inforn	hly fraction I, per crit Ining the V	on of the teria in 3!	90.839, d	o not fill	in the tab	ole but ch	eck the	
Waterw pumped	vay by the difference of the d	s permit i he follow he well.	ing amo	ounts, ex	pressed a	-			ual cons		cenic use
Monthl Jan	y Fractio Feb	n of Anni Mar	ual Consu Apr	ı mptive l May	Jse Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	r Rights S	ection				DateDecember 11, 2014						
FROM	:	Grou	ndwater S	ection				Mike Thoma						
SUBJE	СТ	A nol	ication G-	17774			ewer's Name	review of						
SODIL	CI.	Appi	ication G-	1///4		Su	perseues	ieview oi			Date of Re	view(s)		
PUBLI	C INT	ERES'	T PRESU	MPTION;	GROUN	DWATE	R							
OAR 69 welfare, to determ	00-310-1 safety as mine who	30 (1) nd head ether th	The Depart th as descr e presumpt	ment shall p ibed in ORS ion is establ	resume that 537.525. D ished. OAR	a propose epartment 690-310-	ed ground staff revie 140 allows	water use will of ew groundwate s the proposed nd agency poli	r applicat use be mo	ions u	nder OAl l or condi	R 690-31 tioned to	0-140 meet	
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL	INFO	ORMATIC	<u>DN</u> : A	pplicant's N	Jame:	Hat Rock	Water Co.		_ (County:	Umatill	a	
A1.	Applica	nt(s) se	eek(s) 1.0	cfs from	n <u> </u>	well	(s) in the _	Umatilla					_Basin,	
				a Plateau				Quad Map: H				,		
A2.	Propose	d use	Oue	sci-Municin	a1	Seasonality: Year-Round								
A3.								nark proposed		such ı	ınder log	gid):		
Well	Logic	id Applicant's Proposed Aquifer			ed Aquifer*		osed	Location		Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36				
1	Propos	well#			lt - CRBG	Rate(cfs)		(T/R-S QQ-Q) 05N/29E-15 SWNE			' N, 1200' 'N, 685'E			
3														
4														
5 * Alluviu	ım, CRB,	Bedroc	k											
					W-11	C1	L		D. C.		37.11	D		
Well	Well Elev	First Wate	r SWL	SWL Date	Well Depth	Seal Interval	Casing Intervals		Perforat Or Screen	ens	Well Yield	Draw Down	Test Type	
1	ft msl 429	ft bls 254*			(ft) < 800	(ft) 100	(ft) 100	(ft)	(ft)		(gpm) 450	(ft)	1,700	
Use data	from app	lication	for proposed	wells.										
A4.				from nearby										
								g source of wa be open to on						
				case and sea										
A5. 🛛								rules relative t						
				ter hydraulion n such provi		cted to sur	face water	are, or 🛚	are not	activa	ited by th	is applic	ation.	
	•				,	and seale	d into a sir	ngle CRBG aqu	uifer as co	nditio	ned beloy	<u>w, will no</u>	ot be	
	<u>hydraul</u>	ically c	connected to	surface wa	ter.									
_		-07										Av	,	
A6. 🗌	Well(s)	# f admi:	nictrative ar	·,	,-	,	,	tap(s) an aquife	er limited	by an	administ	rative res	triction.	
	Comme	ents:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										

Version: 08/15/2003

2

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

Bas	ed upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
c.	will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N ; ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
a.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
b.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
c.	Condition to allow groundwater production only from the Group groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
d.	Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.
	Describe injury -as related to water availability- that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
The fron thin porce zone	capplicant's proposed well is located in an area that contains basalt flows of the Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG) in land surface to depths of several thousand feet. Within the CRBG, most water occurs in confined aquifers that occupy trubble zones (interflow zones) at the contacts between lava flows. The interiors of the basalt flows generally have low osity and permeability and act as confining beds. This geometry generally produces a stack of thin aquifers (interflow es) separated by thick confining beds (flow interiors). The low permeability of the basalt flow interiors probably limits the
	ficial geologic mapping (Madin and Geitgy, 2007) and geologic cross-sections (Wozniak, 1995) indicate that the posed well should encounter the Umatilla Member of the Saddle Mountains Basalt Formation from land surface to a depth

Driller's logs for nearby wells report multiple water-bearing zones (WBZs) in the Frenchman Springs Member (see logs for UMAT 5255, UMAT 55889, and UMAT 57027). An upper WBZ is found between elevations of 100 and 200 feet above msl and a lower WBZ is found between elevations of 100 and 200 feet below msl. Production from the upper WBZ is limited to 10-40 gallons per minute (gpm), while wells producing from the lower WBZ report yields ranging from 150-400 gpm.

The applicant has proposed a well that will be cased and sealed to a depth of 100 feet and will not exceed a total depth of 800 feet and requested maximum pumping rate is 450 gpm (~1 cubic foot per second). Both the proposed construction and the requested rate raise several concerns. First, the proposed construction will not meet current OWRD well construction standards as it will allow commingling of the upper and lower WBZs. Also, the requested maximum pumping rate will not likely be available from the upper WBZ as no wells currently completed in the upper WBZ report yields greater than 40 gpm, and some wells report yields of less than 10 gpm. In order to protect the groundwater resource and nearby groundwater users, I recommend the following conditions:

Special Condition #1:

Groundwater production in any well drilled under this permit shall be limited to a single aquifer in the Columbia River Basalt Group lavas. The well(s) shall be cased and sealed into hard basalt below an elevation of approximately 100 feet below mean sea level or cased and sealed to sufficient depth to ensure that the open interval is no shallower than the deeper water-bearing zone in the Frenchman Springs Member of the Columbia River Basalt Group. The open interval in the well(s) shall be no greater than 100 feet except as noted below. Open interval means the total length of borehole that is not behind sealed casing. The borehole above the open interval shall be continuously cased and sealed to land surface. A larger open interval may be approved by the Department if the applicant can demonstrate, using packer tests or other suitable methods, that the hydraulic heads of water-bearing zones in the proposed open interval are equivalent or if the applicant can demonstrate that the open interval is part of a continuous zone of interconnected porous materials such as a sequence of pillow lavas or a hyaloclastite complex.

Special Condition #2:

The permittee shall instruct the well constructor to contact the Ground Water Section of the Water Resources Department prior to drilling the well to arrange for the collection of drill cuttings.

Version: 08/01/2014

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Columbia River Basalt*	\boxtimes	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: CRBG aquifers are generally under confined conditions in this area, particularly aquifers in deeper basalt flows that do not outcrop nearby. Well logs from nearby CRBG wells show static water levels much higher than depths were water is encountered (see UMAT 55889) indicating confined conditions.

* This evaluation assumes that the well will be constructed as listed in the conditions B2(c).

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than 1/4 mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Columbia River	360	340	1000		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: The proposed well will be conditioned to pump from a single CRBG aguifer that will be several hundred feet below the base of the Columbia River and so not hydraulically connected.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: None

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked \(\subseteq \text{box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
					_					

5

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	Right ID	Right Q (cfs)	1% ISWR?	Natural Flow (cfs)	of 80% Natural Flow?	@ 30 days (%)	for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comments:							

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
D1 . 11	1 1 1 1 1											-	
Well	outed Well SW#	s Jan	Feb	Mor	A	May	Jun	Jul	A 110	Con	Oct	Nov	Dec
WEII	3 ** #	7a11 %	7-CU %	Mar %	Apr %	May %	3un %		Aug %	Sep	%	Nov %	Dec %
Wall (Q as CFS	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	rence CFS												
Interier	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	%	%	%	%	%	- %	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well	Q as CFS	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	76	70	7/0	76	70
	rence CFS					-							
mene	l clice CF3	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Wall (Q as CFS	%	76	76	76	76	76	76	70	76	70	76	70
	rence CFS												
Interior		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
	rence CFS												
1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS	, n			, n	~			70	π		70	
	rence CFS												
	1	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS					-							
	rence CFS	AT-11-11-11											
	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) =	(A) > (C)	4	./	8 P		v'		νŤ					
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A}$	/B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

ication G-17774		Date	December 11, 2014	Page
(D) = highlight the checkmark	= WAB calculated natural flow at for each month where (A) is great on:	ater than (C); (E) = total interfer	ence divided by 80% flow	as percentage.
690-09-040 (5) (b) The Rights Section.	e potential to impair or detri	mentally affect the public in	terest is to be determi	ned by the V
under this permit can be	, the surface water source(s) c regulated if it is found to subs should contain condition #(s)_	an be adequately protected fr tantially interfere with surfac	om interference, and/or e water:	groundwater
i. The permit s	should contain special condition	on(s) as indicated in "Remark	s" below;	
ii. The permit s	should contain special condition			
ii. The permit s	should contain special condition			
ii. The permit s	should contain special condition			
ii. The permit s	should contain special condition			
ii. The permit s	should contain special condition			
ii. The permit s	should contain special condition			

References Used: Madin, I. P. and R. P. Geitgey, 2007. Preliminary Geologic Map of the Umatilla Basin, Morrow and Umatilla Counties, Oregon. Open-File Report O-07-17. State of Oregon – Dept. of Geology And Mineral Industries.

"Columbia River Basalt Stratigraphy in the Pacific Northwest". USGS – Oregon Water Science Center website.
http://or.water.usgs.gov/projs_dir/crbg/. Accessed Sept. 2014

Wozniak, K.C., 1995 Chapter 2: Hydrogeology of the Lower Umatilla Basin. In Grondin G.H. et al., Hydrogeology, Groundwater Chemistry and Land Uses in the Lower Umatilla Basin Groundwater Management Area, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, 601 p.

Application G-17774

Date: December 11, 2014

Page

7

D. V	VELI	. CC)NS'	TRU	CTION	, OAR	690-200
------	------	------	------	-----	-------	-------	---------

D1.	Well #: _	Logid:	_
D2.	a.	ELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon: review of the well log; field inspection by report of CWRE other: (specify)	.,
D3.		ELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:	
			-
D4.	Route to	o the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.	

Water Availability Tables

Date: December 11, 2014

