Water Right Conditions ' Tracking Slip	
Groundwater/Hydrology Section	
FILE # # <u>G-17778</u> ROUTED TO: <u>Water Rights</u> TOWNSHIP/ RANGE-SECTION: <u>IN/13E-27</u> CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: [Jyes [] no	
REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS: <u>see conditions on p2.</u>	
Reviewer: J. Hacket	

• *

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT MEMO

December 22, 2014

TO:	Application G- 17778
FROM:	J. Hackett - Groundwater Section
SUBJECT:	Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation
YES	The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway
YES	Use the Scenic Waterway condition (condition 7J)
Per O with s	ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is able to calculate groundwater interference surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference

distribution is provided below. _____Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate groundwater interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE Calculate interference as the monthly fraction of the annual consumptive use and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.839, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing the Water Rights Section that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in the ______ Scenic Waterway by the following amounts, expressed as a proportion of the annual consumptive use pumped from the well.

Monthly Fraction of Annual Consumptive Use

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Water	Rights Se	ection				Ι	Date12	2/22/20)14		
FROM SUBJI	1: ECT:	Groun Applic	dwater Se ation G	ection		<u>Josh F</u> Revie Suj	Hackett / ewer's Nam persedes	Michael T ne review of	homa				
PUBL OAR 6 welfare to deter the pre A. GE	IC INTE 90-310-13 <i>e, safety and</i> rmine whet sumption c NERAL	REST 0 (1) <i>Th</i> <i>d health</i> ther the riteria. '	PRESUM ne Departn n as descri presumpti This revie RMATIC	MPTION ment shall p bed in ORS on is estab w is based ON: A	; GROUNI presume that 5 537.525. De lished. OAR l upon availa applicant's N	DWATE <i>a propose</i> epartment 690-310- able infor ame:	R staff rev 140 allow mation a John and	dwater use w iew groundw vs the propos and agency j d Karen Ca	<i>till ensure t</i> vater applic sed use be r policies in rter	<i>he press</i> ations u nodifiec place at	Date of Re ervation of under OA d or cond t the time County:	view(s) of the pub R 690-31 itioned to e of evalu Wasco	olic 0-140 o meet aation .
A1.	Applican	it(s) see hreemile	k(s) <u>0.89</u> e Cr	cfs fro	om <u>l</u>	well(subba	s) in the ₋ asin	<u>Hood R</u> Quad Map:_	iver The Dalle	s South	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		_ Basin,
A2. A3.	Proposed Well and	l use aquifer	Sup data (att a	plemental l ach and nu	Irrigation Imber logs fo	Seas or existin;	onality: g wells; i	March 1 mark propo	– October sed wells a	31 s such	under log	gid):	
Well	Logid		Applicant' Well #	s Propo	sed Aquifer*	Prope Rate(osed (cfs)	Loca (T/R-S	tion QQ-Q)	Loca 2250	tion, mete)' N, 1200'	es and bou E fr NW (nds, e.g. cor S 36
1	WASC 512	246	Barnhill		CRB	0.8	i9	01N/13E-2	7 NE-NW	99	0' <mark>S, 925' V</mark>	W fr N ¼ co	or S 27
2						 							
- 3										+			
* Alluvi	um, CRB, B	Bedrock		ł		4				1			
	Well	First	SWL	SWL	Well	Seal	Casing	Liner	Perfor	ations	Well	Draw	Test

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	705	319	575	02/26/2004	1190	0-815	+2-815			140		Air

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. Comments: Driller's log identified two separate water bearing zones: a shallow zone from 319-330 ft bls and a deep zone from 980-1150. The SWL was 240 ft bls (465 ft amsl) in the shallow zone and 575 ft bls (130 ft amsl) in the deep zone. The driller's log indicates the well is cased and sealed below the upper zone and produces only from the lower zone.

A5. Provisions of the Hood River Basin (OAR 690-504) Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water \Box are *or* \boxtimes are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments: _____

A6. Well(s) # _____, ____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: ______

Comments: _____

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
 - a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or annot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. **will not** or **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. **will not** or **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
 - d. X will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 i. X The permit should contain condition #(s) <u>7N</u>
 - ii. \Box The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately______ft. and ______ft. below land surface;
 - d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Groundwater availability remarks: <u>The applicants' proposed POA (WASC 51246 – see attached log) is a recent well</u> drilled to 1190 ft that is cased and sealed to 815 ft bls (elevation at bottom of seal is -110 ft amsl). Most other wells in the area are shallower than the proposed POA and drilled to elevations higher than 200 ft amsl (i.e. 300 ft shallower than the top of the open interval of the proposed POA). The SWL in the proposed POA was 130 ft amsl when drilled which is sufficiently different from SWL measurements in nearby wells (generally 600-1000 ft amsl) which indicates that it is likely producing from a separate water-bearing zone and should not cause significant interference. Water level measurements have been made on many of the nearby shallower wells and data show stable to declining trends. However, the interpretation that the proposed POA is producing from a separate aquifer means that it should not cause or contribute to declines in these other wells.

The proposed POA is located 900 ft outside the southern boundary of The Dalles Critical Groundwater Area (CGWA). This critical area was created to protect against further groundwater declines in two regionally extensive aquifers referred to as "The Dalles Groundwater Reservoir" (DGWR) – identified by Geraghty and Miller (1988) as the top of the Sand Hollow Unit of the Frenchman Springs Member in the area within and around the city of The Dalles, and the "Threemile Groundwater Reservoir" – a CRB aquifer (i.e. interflow zone) above the DGWR and underlying the Threemile Canyon area at a depth of 300-400 ft BLS. Based on nearby wells where stratigraphy has been correlated to geologic units, regional geologic structure (Newcomb, 1969), and SWL data from the proposed POA and wells within the CGWA, we conclude that the proposed POA is producing from units deeper than the Threemile Groundwater Reservoir and from units deeper than the Threemile Groundwater Reservoir and from units deeper than the DGWR. Based on this information there should not be significant impacts to groundwater resources within either reservoir in The Dalles CGWA.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	CRB		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>The well is cased and sealed to 815 ft BLS and the static water level is more than</u> 500 ft below the elevation of any nearby surface water sources

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Threemile Cr.	130	590-880	225		\Box
1	2	Fivemile Cr.	130	880-1010	8600		
	· ·						

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Threemile Cr. is mapped as intermittent for > 4 mi upstream and</u> downstream of Well #1. Fivemile Cr. is perennial. Both creeks drain into the Columbia R. < 5 miles from Well #1 at an elevation of ~ 74 ft AMSL. Well #1 is cased and sealed to below an elevation -110 ft amsl and the well log lists a SWL that is > 400 ft below any nearby surface water elevations. Based on this, the well is not likely hydraulically connected to nearby surface water.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Threemile Cr > Columbia R-at mouth (ID# 30410534)

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

3

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw 5 cf	> Instream > Water s? Right ID	n Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comments:								

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Well	s											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}_{0}$	tal Interf												
(n) = 10	W. Not O												
(B) = 80	70 Mat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = ((A) > (C)												
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

_	
6	90-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the W Rights Section.
	If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
	ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
Refe Facil	rences Used: <u>Geraghty and Miller, Inc., 1988. Preliminary Remedial Investigation Report, Martin Marietta Reduction</u> ity, The Dalles, Oregon.
New Grou	comb, R. C. 1969. Effect of the tectonic structure on the occurrence of ground water in the basalt of the Columbia River p of The Dalles Area, Oregon and Washington. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 383-C.
	S – Columbia River Basalt Stratigraphy in the Pacific Northwest. http://or.water.usgs.gov/projs_dir/crbg/data/index.htm
JSG Acce	

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

THE WEI	LL does not appear to m	eet current well construction :	standards based upon:	
a. 🗌 re	view of the well log;			
b. 🗌 fi	eld inspection by			
c. 🗌 re	port of CWRE			
d. 🗌 of	her: (specify)			
THE WE	L construction deficien	cy or other comment is descri	bed as follows:	

D4. D4. Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.





Page

Application G-17778