WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

February 25, 2015

TO: Application G-<u>17969</u>

FROM: GW: <u>A. Bouchier / K. Lite</u>

(Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference & General/Local Surface Water Evaluation for Deschutes Ground Water Study Area

The source of appropriation is within or above the Deschutes Scenic Waterway

Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J).

PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE FINDING UNDER ORS 390.835:

Department has found that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use of ground water will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of the <u>Deschutes</u> Scenic Waterway in quantities necessary for recreation, fish and wildlife.

LOCALIZED IMPACT FINDING

The proposed use of ground water will have a localized impact to surface water in the River/Creek Subbasin.

If the localized impact line above is checked, then the water use under any right issued pursuant to this application is presumed to have a localized impact on surface water within the identified subbasin. Mitigation of the impact, originating from within the Local Zone of Impact identified by the Department, will be required before a permit may be issued for the proposed use.

If the localized impact line above is not checked, then the water use under any right issued pursuant to this application is presumed to have a general (regional) impact on surface water. Mitigation of the impact, originating anywhere within the Deschutes Basin above the Madras gage, will be required before a permit may be issued for the proposed use.

<u>PUBI</u>	LIC INT	ERE	ST REVII	EW FOR	<u>GROUN</u>	ND WATH	E <mark>R APPL</mark>	ICATIO	<u>NS</u>				
TO: Water Rights Section						Date	e <u>02/24</u>	/2015					
FROM: Ground Water/Hydrology Section			A. Boud	chier / K. I	Lite								
SUBJECT:		Appli	cation G-	17969		Review Supe	er's Name rsedes revi	ew of					
						Ĩ				Date of Revie	ew(s)		
PUBL OAR 6 welfare to deter the pres	IC INT 90-310-1 <i>safety al</i> rmine who sumption	EREST 30 (1) 7 nd heal ether the criteria INFO	T PRESUM The Departm th as describ e presumptic . This review PRMATIO	IPTION; ent shall p ed in ORS n is establi v is based N: Aj	GROUNI resume that 537.525. D ished. OAR upon avail pplicant's N	DWATER a proposed epartment st 690-310-14 able inform	groundwate aff review g 0 allows the ation and a orothy Mc	er use will e ground wate proposed gency poli	ensure the part er applicatio use be modi cies in place	reservation of ns under OAR fied or conditi e at the time o County:]	the publ. 690-310 oned to r of evalua effersor	ic)-140 meet ntion. n	
$A1 \qquad Ann ligent(c) cack(c) 30 af from 1$			well(s)	in the 1	Deschutes		· · · · ·		Rasin				
Δ1.	Applicant(s) seek(s) <u>3.0</u> cfs from <u>1</u>					subbasi	in the <u>1</u>	Map: M	adras West			Dasin,	
A2. A3.	Propose Well an	ed use: d aquif	Irrig er data (atta	ation on 2 ch and nu	40 acres mber logs f	Seasor	nality: wells; mark	<u>April 1 – C</u> proposed	october 30 wells as su	ch under logi	d) :		
Well	Logi	id	Applicant's Well #	Pro	oposed mifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)		ocation -S OO-O)	Loca 225	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36			
1	not y drille	vet ed	1	Desch	nutes Fm	3.0	115/13	11S/13E-06 NE-SE		"W, 1220' S fi	· E ¼ cor	S 6	
2													
<u> </u>													
5			····			<u> </u>							
* Alluvi	ium, CRB,	Bedrock	K										
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type	
1	2450				Prop 1,000	Not proposed	Not proposed			1346 gpm proposed			
			+ +						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Use data	a from app	lication	for proposed v	vells.	l		<u></u>				L		
A4.	Commo	ents: <u>T</u>	he well will	likely be c	onstructed	into water	bearing zon	es within (the base of	the Deschutes	Format	tion.	

Groundwater flow is likely towards the confluence of Willow Creek and Lake Simtustus, about 2.3 miles to the northwest of the well. The well is located within the Deschutes Groundwater study area and subject to Division 690-505-0500 to 0620.

Provisions of the <u>Deschutes</u> Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water \bigotimes are, or \square are not, activated by this application. A5. Provisions of the Deschutes (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments: Within USGS Study Area Boundary.

A6. Well(s) # _____, ____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: ______ Comments: _____

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, *or* cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. **will not** or **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
 - d. **Will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:
 - i. \square The permit should contain condition #(s) <u>7B</u>,
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. a. Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - b. Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - c. Condition to allow ground water production only from the ______ ground water reservoir between approximately______ ft. and ______ ft. below land surface;
 - d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Ground water availability remarks: <u>The nearest state observation well is obs well 1307 (Jeff 435), about 1.4 miles</u> to the southwest of the well. It has been monitored periodically since 1985. State observation well 1307 shows a steady rise during the entire period of record. The water level has risen about 21 feet during the period of record, likely mostly as a result of increased recharge from Lake Billy Chinook.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
lasis for	aquifor confinement evaluation		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
			it mar	it mot			YES NO	

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: ___

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within:

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🛛 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comme	ents:								

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	stributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q a	as CFS												
Interfere	nce CFS												
Distrib	uted Well	S	F 1	M		Maria	Terre	T. 1	A	C	0.4	Nau	Daa
well	<u>SW#</u>	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jui	Aug	Sep		NOV Ø	Dec
	050	%	%	%	%		*/0		-70	-70	-70	70	70
Well Q a	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS	67			<i>6</i> /	61	67	07	01	01	07	07	07.
		%	%	%0	%	%	%	-/0		-/0	-70	70	70
Well Q a	as CFS		·										
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q a	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q a	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q a	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q a	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
(4) 75													
(A) = 10	tal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1 9	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (\mathbf{A})$) > (C)												
(E) = (A)	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

;

Basis for impact evaluation:								
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

- i. The permit should contain condition #(s)_
- ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions_



References Used: <u>USGS WRI Report 00-4162; USGS WRI Report 02-4015; USGS WRI Report 03-4195; USGS SIR</u> 2013-5092; DOGAMI GMS-45; Madras West and Culver quadrangle maps; application File G-17969; well reports Jeff 429 (nearby), Jeff 435/433/432 (State Obs Well), and other nearby wells; division 690-505.

DI.	Well #: Logid: Not Yet Drilled
D2.	THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon: a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency: a. constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; b. commingles water from more than one ground water reservoir; c. permits the loss of artesian head; d. permits the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; e. other: (specify)
D4.	THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:
D5.	THE WELL a. was , <i>or</i> was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.
	b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.
D6. [Route to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.
THIS	SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL
D7. [Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:
	(Enforcement Section Signature)
	(Enterement been on Dignature)



G-17969: Madras West and Culver Quadrangles

