# WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEM	0	Date: 26 September 2014							
TO:		<b>Application</b> G- <u>17940</u>							
FRO	M:	GW: <u>Gerald H. Grondin</u> (Reviewer's Name)							
SUBJ	ECT: S	Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation							
	YES NO	The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway							
	YES NO	Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)							

- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.
- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **unable** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; **therefore**, **the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway**.

## DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in \_\_\_\_\_\_ Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

# PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:	Water Rights Section	Date_	26 March 2015	
FROM:	Groundwater Section	Gerald H. Grondin		
SUBJECT:	Application G- 17940	Reviewer's Name Supersedes review of		
			Date of Review(s)	

## **PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER**

**OAR 690-310-130 (1)** The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.

A. <u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u> :	Applicant's Name: Gerrit & Patricia Jager & John & Karen Simmons	
	County: Harney	_

- A1.
   Applicant(s) seek(s) <u>1.274 cfs (572 gpm)</u> cfs from <u>2</u> well(s) in the <u>Malheur Lakes</u> Basin,

   Harney-Malheur Lakes
   subbasin
   Quad Map: <u>Adobe Flat</u>
- A2. Proposed use <u>Primary & Supplemental Irrigation (101.95 acres total, 53.44 acres primary, 48.51 supplemental)</u> Seasonality: <u>1 March to 31 October (245 days)</u>

# A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	HARN 50135	Well 1	Basin-Fill	0.523	T27S/R33E-sec 12 ABA	20' S, 1302' E fr N qtr cor S 12
2	HARN 50151	Well 2	Basin-Fill	0.750	T27S/R33E-siec 12 BAA	9' S, 48' W fr N qtr cor S 12
3						
4						

\* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	4111	16	16	02/24/1997	300	0 - 22	+2 - 98	None	None	1100	34	Р
2	4135	30	30	04/19/1997	514	0 - 20	+2 - 128	None	None	30	3	B

Use data from application for proposed wells.

## A4. Comments:

Groundwater permit application G-17940 pages 4 to 6 contained conflicting and/or inconsistent proposed well and water use information. This review used what is consistent with what is typically allowed and with available well ID and location information.

The proposed maximum pumping rate of 1.274 cfs (572 gpm) is what is typically allowed for 101.95 acres. The proposed maximum allowable annual volume was specified as 3 ac-ft. That is equal to 305.85 ac-ft/yr (3.00 ac-ft per acre), the typical maximum volume allowed for 101.95 acres.

<u>The proposed wells are located at the mouth of Malheur Gap – Virginia Valley in southeastern Harney Valley; they are about 2.3 miles south of New Princeton. The water well reports for the two proposed POA wells (HARN 50135 and HARN 50151) indicate the wells are completed in the predominantly basin-fill sedimentary unit that overlies the predominantly volcanic-basalt rock and sediment unit in the valleys.</u>

T.

Piper (1939) geologically maps the as Qal described as alluvium and/or valley fill composed of clay, silt, sand, gravel, and some pumice and ash. Greene and others (1972) geologically map the area as Os described as sedimentary deposits composed of unconsolidated silt and clay with some sand and gravel. Brown and McLean (1980) geologically map the area as Qs/Qal described as undifferentiated alluvium and sedimentary deposits composed of silt, sand, and gravel. The surrounding nearby geology is variable. Greene and others (1972) geologically map rhyodacite (Trd), tuffaceous sedimentary rock (Tts), and various basalt (Qb, Tb, and Tdw) south, west and east of the proposed POA wells, and additionally, the geology east of the proposed POA wells includes welded tuff (Tdv). Brown and McLean (1980) geologically map rhyodacite (Tmrd), ash-flow tuff (Tmtd), tuffaceous sedimentary rocks (Tmst<sub>3</sub>), and basalt and andesite (Tmba) south, west and east of the proposed POA wells.

A5. Provisions of the Malheur lake Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water  $\Box$  are, or  $\boxtimes$  are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments:

Rule OAR 690-512 states: "(1) Except as provided in section (3) of this rule, the Department shall not accept an application for permit, or issue a permit, for any use of surface water, or of groundwater the use of which has the potential to substantially interfere with surface water, in the Malheur Lake Basin unless the applicant shows, by a preponderance of evidence, that unappropriated water is available to supply the proposed use at the times and in the amounts requested. The evidence provided shall be prepared by a qualified hydrologist or other water resources specialist and shall include:

(a) Streamflow measurements of gage records from the source or, for use of groundwater, the stream in hydraulic connection with the source; or

(b) An estimate of water availability from the source or, for use of groundwater, the stream in hydraulic connection with the source which includes correlations with streamflow measurements or gage records on other, similar streams and considers current demands for water affecting the streamflows."

The rule OAR 690-512 is likely NOT activated given NO potential for substantial interference with surface water was found. There are no surface water availability calculations for the portion of Harney Valley.

\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. A6.  $\square$  Well(s) # N.A. ..... Name of administrative area:

**Comments:** 

Currently, no administrative area.

#### **Application G-17940**

-

#### B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that ground water\* for the proposed use:
  - a. Is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. \* This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - b. will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. \* This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - c. will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
  - d. will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:
    - i. The permit should contain condition #(s)
    - ii. \_\_\_\_ The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
    - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2. a. Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;

- b. Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;
- c. Condition to allow ground water production only from the \_\_\_\_\_\_ ground water reservoir between approximately\_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. and \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;
- d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

**Describe injury** -as related to water availability- that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

## B3. Ground water availability remarks:

Groundwater is determined to be over-appropriated and the proposed use is not within the capacity of the resource. The proposed well(s) is within, near, or surrounded by an area of observed groundwater level decline (see attached map). The decline is evidence that demand for groundwater is exceeding the average annual recharge. A preliminary analysis by the Department indicates the volume of groundwater permitted for annual use exceeds the average annual volume of recharge to groundwater. The Department estimates 30 to 40 percent of the total permitted acreage have yet to be developed. Their development will likely worsen the groundwater level decline.

If a permit is issued, the following conditions are recommended:

**7B: Interference Condition** 

7F: Proposed Well location Condition

7N: Annual Measurement and Decline Condition

7P: Well Tag Condition

7T: Dedicated Measuring Tube Condition for all POA wells

Flow meter condition: Use the water rights "large" permit condition requiring a totalizing flow meter at each well and reporting

## C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Predominantly Basin-Fill Sediment Unit		$\square$

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:

Available data, including Piper and others (1939), Leonard (1970), and water well reports indicate groundwater in the basin fill is generally unconfined and hydraulically connected to Malheur and Harney Lakes. Some local confinement can occur where discontinuous low permeability layers are present. Leonard (1970) indicates confined groundwater occurs at depth in the basin in deep basin fill sediments and underlying Tertiary volcanic and sedimentary rocks. Hubbard (1975) indicates the groundwater contribution to flow into Malheur Lake is small with the lake perched above ground water in most areas.

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Malheur Lake	4100	4098	31,320		
2	1	Malheur Lake	4100	4098	32,030		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation:

This review considers perennial surface water only.

Groundwater and perennial surface water are generally hydraulically connected in the Harney Valley. The groundwater elevation is derived from data from the proposed POA wells and from state observation well 183 (well HARN 1408) located about 2.6 miles northwest of the proposed POA wells. The surface water elevation for Malheur Lake is derived from USGS quadrangle contour maps. The maps show the lake elevation varying from 4093 feet elevation in 1975 to 4098 feet elevation in 1983.

There are a number of intermittent streams in the area. Nearby surface water rights appear to depend on run-off.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>No WAB calculated for this area</u>

٩.

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	V	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
			-						

Comments:

No analysis. The proposed POA wells are more than one-mile from the nearest perennial surface water body.

#### **Application G-17940**

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1	1 ·	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Well	s											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS											_	
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
-		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
(A) = To	tal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = 0	$(A) \geq (C)$												
(E) = (A)	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: \_

Analysis was conducted. The proposed POA wells are more than one-mile from the nearest perennial surface water body (Malheur Lake).

The table above is not used because no surface water availability has been calculated for surface water in the vicinity of the POA wells and the closest perennial surface water body identified by this review is Malheur Lake.

Seasonal groundwater level drawdown at at Malheur Lake was estimated using the Theis drawdown equation. The calculation used a transmissivity of 7,500 ft2/day, which is within the 1,000 to 15,000 ft2/day transmissivity range for Eastern Oregon basin-fill as noted by Gonthier (1985). The value used was derived from specific capacity data from well HARN 50135 (owner well 1, proposed POA well 1). Additionally, the calculation used an assumed intermediate storage coefficient (0.001).

The estimated seasonal groundwater level drawdown at Malheur Lake ranged from about 0.21 feet at the end of 30 days to about 1.80 feet at the end of 245 days for continuous pumping at the full proposed rate. The estimated drawdown using a lower pro-rated pumping rate (total volume divided by total days) ranged from about 0.10 feet at the end of 30 days days to about 0.89 feet at the end of 245 days.

C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.
C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s);
ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions
Groundwater is determined to be over-appropriated and the proposed use is not within the capacity of the resource. The
proposed well(s) is within, near, or surrounded by an area of observed groundwater level decline (see attached map). The
Department indicates the volume of groundwater permitted for annual use exceeds the average annual volume of recharge
to groundwater. The Department estimates 30 to 40 percent of the total permitted acreage have yet to be developed.
Their development will likely worsen the groundwater level decline.
NO potential for substantial interference with surface water was found. There are no surface water availability
calculations for the portion of Marney Vaney.
If a permit is issued, the following conditions are recommended:
7B: Interference Condition
TE. Deserved Well leasting Condition
/F: Froposed wen location Condition
7N: Annual Measurement and Decline Condition
7P: Well Tag Condition
7T: Dedicated Measuring Tube Condition for all POA wells
Flow meter condition: Use the water rights "large" permit condition requiring a totalizing flow meter at each well and reporting

.

References Used:

Oregon Administrative Rules: OAR 690-512

Piper, A.M., Robison, T.W., and Park C.F. 1939. Geology and Ground Water Resources of the Harney Basin, Oregon. USGS Water Supply Paper 841.

Leonard, A.R. 1970. Ground-Water Resources in Harney Valley, Harney County, Oregon. Ground Water Report 16, Oregon Water Resources Department, Salem, Oregon.

Greene, R.C., Walker, G.W., and Corcoran, R.E. 1972. Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon. USGS Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-680.

Hubbard, Larry. L. 1975. Hydrology of Malheur Lake, Harney County, Southeastern Oregon. USGS Water Resources Investigation 75-21.

Walker, G.W. 1979. Revisions to the Cenozoic Stratigraphy of Harney Basin, Southeastern Oregon. USGS Bulletin 1475.

Brown, D. E., McLean, G.D., and Black, G.L., 1980, Preliminary geology and geothermal resource potential of the northern Harney Basin, Oregon: Portland, Oreg., Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries Open-File Report O-80-6, scale 1:62,500.

Brown, D. E., McLean, G. D., and Black, G. L. 1980, Preliminary geology and geothermal resource potential of the southern Harney Basin, Oregon: Portland, Oreg., Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries Open-File Report O-80-7, Plates 2 and 4, scale 1:62,500.

Gonthier, J.B. 1985. A Description of Aquifer Units in Eastern Oregon. USGS Water Resources Investigations Report 84-4095.

OWRD water well reports, water level data, and/or hydrographs: HARN 1408 (state observation well 183), HARN 50135, and HARN 50151

USGS Quadrangle Maps (1:24,000 scale): Adobe Flat, New Princeton, and Malheur Lake East, Oregon

3

,

# D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:         1         Logid:         HARN 50135
	Comments: None
D2.	THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:         a.       review of the well log;         b.       field inspection by;         c.       report of CWRE;         d.       other: (specify);
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:
D4.	<b>Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.</b>
D1.	Well #:         2         Logid:         HARN 50151
	Comments <u>: None</u>
D2.	THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:         a.       review of the well log;         b.       field inspection by;         c.       report of CWRE;         d.       other: (specify);
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:
D4.	□ Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.
Wate	er Availability Tables

No water availability tables for this area.



# Groundwater Permit Application G-17940 Gerrit & Patricia Jager & John & Karen Simmons





# Greater Harney Valley Groundwater Level Trends

# Plate Greater Harney Valley Area

Gerald H. Grondin Oregon Water Resources Department March 2015

# Legend

- Harney\_Valley\_GW\_Trend\_Wells\_post\_1990s\_data\_decline
- Hamey\_Valley\_GW\_Trend\_Wells\_post\_1990s\_data\_no\_decline
- Hamey\_Valley\_GW\_Trend\_Wells\_post\_1990s\_data\_uncertain\_trend
- Hamey\_Valley\_GW\_Trend\_Wells\_pre\_2000\_data\_only
- Located Wells

Harney\_Valley\_wab\_areas

Harney\_Valley\_hydro\_unit\_areas\_(no\_wab)

•		h	arn	M			U	WELL I.D.#	L050	941		
	STATE OI WATER SUI	FOREGON 5	0135 EPORT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AR - 7 RESOL	' 1997 JRCES I	DEPT		2.1054		ng ng mananan kanana kala ka	
	(as required by Instructions fo	y ORS 537.765) or completing this re	eport are on	the last pa	LEM O	REGON		(START CARD) #_	W 201	10		
	(1) OWNER:		v	Vell Numb	er		(9) LOCATION OF	WELL by legal desc	ription:			
	Name Roge	er Har	10/Th			County Harner Latitude Longitude						
	Address P.O.	Box 30 3	10			Township 2-7	N or Range	33	E or V	W. WM.		
	City Prince	Ten	State 0/		Zip9	Section 12	1/4	NE	1/4			
	(2) TYPE OF V		ation (manist		.)["] Abar	Tax Lot 400 I	.ot Block	§	ubdivision -	16		
	(3) DRILL ME	THOD:		recondition		Street Address of Well (or nearest address) - M. 10 South OF Old PrinceTon						
	Rotary Air	Rotary Mud	Cable	Auger		(10) STATIC WATE	R LEVEL:		•	- 11 - 17		
	Other	DUSE			14 14 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10			ow land surface.	minch I	Date <u>1 -</u>	24-4/	
		Community	Industrial	Mini	gation		(11) WATER BEARI	NG ZONES:				
~	Thermal	Injection	Livestock	01	10r							
()	(5) BORE HO	LE CONSTRUC	TION:				Depth at which water was	first found	-e e7			
	Special Construct	ion approval [] Yes	No Dept	h of Comp	leted Well	<u>300</u> ft.					1 1	
	Explosives used	Yes 🗙 No Typ	ØE41	Amo	ount		From	To	Estimate	d Flow Rate	SWL	
	HOLE	To Matori	SEAL	To	Sackr or #			87'	<u> </u>	-pM	10	
$\frown$	19 <sup>11</sup> 0	1221 Cemer	57 - 2'	221	ວ./ ວ./		176'	264'	500	GP M	16	
f	22'						264-	276'	200	D OPM	16	
	12" 22'	300										
						and a	(12) WELL LOG:					
	How was seal plac	ced: Method		Ів По		E No.	Ground	Elevation				
	Other Backfill placed from	om ft. to	ft.	Material			Materia	1	From	То	SWI	
	Gravel placed from	m ft. to	ft.	Size of g	ravel		Sandy	LOAM	0	3		
	(6) CASING/L	INER:					Brown	Clar	3	64	16	
	Diameter	From To C	Jauge Steel	Plastic	Welded	Threaded	Brown	Sand	64	87	16	
	Casing: 12"	+2 98:	150 2		X		Sand Ston	e brown	87	176	16	
		+		Ц	Ц		Rimin Cl	e brown	1/6	164	16	
	1						CONTSP S	and with	264	276		
	Liner:						Brown C	lav	276	300	16	
								·				
$\frown$	Final location of s	hoe(s) <u>99</u>	/ 							1		
(	(7) PERFORA	FIONS/SCREEN	<b>S:</b>									
		i Method		Mater				14000000000000000000000000000000000000				
	East To	Slot	Diameter	Tele/pipe	Casing	Lines		······································	-			
		size Number	Distinctor	SILC								
$\frown$												
	AVAN	1e -										
	-1401-				- []							
		1										
	(8) WELLTES	TS: Minimum te	sting time	is 1 hour			Date started 1-25-	97 Comp	leted 2-	24- 0	17	
	_		_		Flov	ving	(unbonded) Water Well	Constructor Certificat	ion:			
	Pump	Bailer	Air		Ane	sian	I certify that the work of this well is in complian	erformed on the const ce with Oregon water su	ruction, alter	ation, or aba nstruction st	ndonment	
	Yield gal/min		Drill ster	n al	1	lbr	Materials used and inform	ation reported above are	true to the b	est of my kr	nowledge	
	1100					1 415.	and belief.		WWC Nur	nber		
							Signed			Date		
	Temperature of wa	ater <u>63</u> 1	Ocpih Artesia	n Flow Fo	und		(bonded) Water Well Co	nstructor Certification	:			
	Was a water analy	sis done? 🗌 Y	es By whom	· · ·			I accept responsibility	for the construction, alter	ration, or aba	ndonment v	vorik orik	
	Did any strata cont	tain water not suitable	ie for intende	d use?	🗙 Too li	ule	performed during this tim	e is in compliance with	Oregon water	supply well	VIR.	
	∐ Sally ∐ Mud Depth of strate:	$ay \square uaor \square u$		Joiner			construction standards. T	ins report is true to the b	WWC Nor	nber 14 3	oener.	
	populor strata,	01 - 27					Signed Ino 7	alentine	H H C HU	Date 2 -	24-97	
	ORIGINAL & FI	IRST COPY-WAT	ER RESOU	RCES D	EPARTM	ENT SE	COND COPY-CONSTR	UCTOR THIRD C	OPY-CUST	OMER		

DRIGINAL & FIRST COPY-WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT_SECOND COPY-CONSTRUCTORTHIRD COPY-CU
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1		12
HARN	RECEIVED	+ 1
STATE OF OREGON 711155	APR 2 8 1997	105040
(as required by ORS 537.765)	(START CARD) #_	85989
Instructions for completing this report are on the last page of this form	ATER RESOURCES DEPI.	
(1) OWNER: Well Number 2	(9) LOCATION OF WELL by legal descri	ption:
Name Roger Heworth	County Harney Latitude	Longitude
Address P. O. Box 3030 Princeton	Township 2-7 W or S Range	33 E or <b>4</b> . WM.
City DE Prince Ton State OF Zip 9/10	The local and Place	Subdivision
(2) I YPE OF WORK	ent Street Address of Well (or nearest address) 5	mile alest of
(3) DRILL METHOD:	Old PrinceTon	/ / / ////
Rotary Air Rotary Mud Cable Auger	(10) STATIC WATER LEVEL:	
Other	ft. below land surface.	Date <u>4/19/97</u>
(4) PROPOSED USE:	Artesian pressure Ib. per square	inch. Daze
Domestic Community Industrial Mirigation	(II) WATER BEARING ZONES:	
(5) BORE HOLE CONSTRUCTION:	Depth at which water was first found 30	1
Special Construction approval Yes X No Depth of Completed Well 5/14	/ ft	
Explosives used Yes No Type Amount	From To	Estimated Flow Rate SWL
HOLE SEAL	30 36	10 GPM 30'
Diameter From To Material From To Sacks or present	12 81	50 EPA 30'
18 0 20 CENENT 0 20 19	- 170 176	30 GPM 30
12 20 514	471 499	10 600 30'
		10 0011 30
How was seal placed: Method A B C D 5	Ground Elevation	
Other		
Backfill placed from ft. to ft. Material	Material	From To SWL
Gravel placed from ft. to ft. Size of gravel	= Sandy Loam	2 02/ 22/
(6) CASING/LINER:	Brown CLAY	72' 01 20'
Dameter From to Gauge Steel Plastic Wesded Three	Gray Sand Store	81' 514' 20'
	Bray sand side	
Liner:		
(7) PERFORATIONS/SCREENS:	=	
Perforations Method		
Screens Type Material		
From To size Number Diameter size Casing L	laser	
	]	
- NOVA		
	┤ │ ┝────────────────────────────────	
	-	
(8) WELL TESTS: Minimum testing time is 1 hour	Date started 3151 97 Comple	sted 4/19/97
Flowing	(unbonded) Water Well Constructor Certification	901:
Pump Bailer Air Artesian	I certify that the work I performed on the constr of this well is in compliance with Oregon water su	uction, alteration, or abandonment
Yield gal/min Drawdown Drill stem at Time	- Materials used and information reported above are	true to the best of my knowledge
1hr.	and beller.	Manue Number 1675
	Signed Merres malalente	- Date 4/19/07
Temperature of water 5.5 Depth Artesian Flow Found	(bonded) Water Well Constructor Certification:	
Was a water analysis done?  Yes By whom	I accept responsibility for the construction, alter	ation, or abandonment work
Did any strata contain water not suitable for intended use? X Too little	performed on this well during the construction date performed during this time is in compliance with C	es reported above. All work bregon water supply well
Salty Muddy Odor Colored Other	construction standards. This report is true to the be	est of my knowledge and belief.
Depth of strata:	Sind Tax Olal t.	WWC Number 1435
	Signed the Valentine	Date <u>4/19/97</u>

ç

ORIGINAL & FIRST COPY-WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT SECOND COPY-CONSTRUCTOR THIRD COPY-CUSTOMER

Drawdown Calcu	lations Using Their	s Equation										
Theis Equation:	$\begin{split} s &= \left[ Q/(4^*T^*pi) \right] [W(u)] \\ u &= (r^*r^*S)/(4^*T^*t) \\ W(u) &= (-ln \ u)-(0.5772157) + (u'1^*1!) - (u^*u'2^*2!) + (u^*u'u'4^*4!) + \dots \end{split}$											
	s = drawdown (L)	(1 #1 (75)		1	r = radial distance (L)							
	S = storage coeffic pi = 3.141592654	(L-L/T) ient (dimension	less)		u = time (1) u = dimensi W(u) = well	ionless function						
Transmissivity	Transmissivity	Storage	Pumping Rate	Pumping Rate	Time	Distance	pi	u	W(u)	Drawdown	Total	Comments
Т	T	Coefficient	Q	Q	t	r				5	Drawdown	
(gpd/ft)	(ft2/day)	S	(gal/min)	(ft3/sec)	(days)	(feet)				(feet)	s (feet)	
								Note : W(u	) calculation	valid when u	< 7.1	
Note	yellow grid areas	are where valu	es are calculated					7.0000	1.1545E-04		4	W(u) calculation test
HARN 50135 (ow	ner well 1, applicati	ion POA well 1)	to Malheur Lake									
56,103.90	7,500.00	0.00100	234.74	0.52	30.00	31,320.00	3.14	1.0899	0.1891	0.0906	1 Marina	Continuous Pumping at Full Rate
56,103.90	7,500.00	0.00100	234.74	0.52	245.00	31,320.00	3.14	0.1335	1.5659	0.7508		Continuous Pumping at Full Rate
56,103.90	7,500.00	0.00100	116.06	0.26	30.00	31,320.00	3.14	1.0899	0.1891	0.0448		Pro-Rated Pumping Rate
56,103.90	7,500.00	0.00100	116.06	0.26	245.00	31,320.00	3.14	0.1335	1.5659	0.3712		Pro-Rated Pumping Rate
HARN 50151 (ow	ner well 2, applicati	ion POA well 2	to Malheur Lake								4	
56 103 90	7 500 00	0.00100	336.62	0.75	30.00	32 030 00	3 14	1 1399	0 1744	0 1199	0 2105	Continuous Pumping at Full Pate
56,103.90	7,500.00	0.00100	336.62	0.75	245.00	32,030.00	3.14	0.1396	1.5268	1.0497	1.8005	Continuous Pumping at Full Rate
56,103.90	7,500.00	0.00100	166.43	0.37	30.00	32,030.00	3.14	1.1399	0.1744	0.0593	0.1041	Pro-Rated Pumping Rate
56,103.90	7,500.00	0.00100	166.43	0.37	245.00	32,030.00	3.14	0.1396	1.5268	0.5190	0.8902	Pro-Rated Pumping Rate

Transmissivity f	rom Specific Capa	city using the Thei	s Equation					Data Entry		Enter Data Below (yellow boxes only)	
Adapted from Vo	orhis (1979)				Well Log ID or Comme						
Theis Equation:	heis Equation: $T = [Q/(4^*s^*p))][W(u)]$								· O =	1.100.00	(apm)
	$W(u) = (-ln u) - (0.5772157) + (u'1^*1!) - (u^*u'2^*2!) + (u^*u'3^*3!) - (u^*u'^*u'4^*4!) + \dots$							r amping rate (gpm) -	4	1,100.00	(3111)
	T = transmissivity (  *  /T)									34.00	(feet)
	s = drawdown (L)	(201)			Time (hours) = t =		1.0000	(hours)			
	S = storage coeffi pi = 3.141592654	cient (dimensionles	5)		Storage Coefficient =	S =	0.001000	(dimensionless)			
	P										
Note: Transmiss	sivity is derived us The calculations u	sing an iterative pro use a known or assu	ned Storage Coefic	ient (S) provided t	by the user			Well Diameter (inches	) = d =	12.0000 Press F9 to Calculate	(inches)
	Specific Capacity	(Q/s) is used to first	approximate the Tra	ansmissivity (T) us	ed to calculate u	n the first Theis equation it	teration				and the second second
	Total Theis Equal	tion iterations = 25 it	ration is used to calc erations	culate u in a given	Theis equation ite	ration		Calculated Results		Calculated Results	
	Can accept answ Can accept answ	er if difference in cal er if u in the last iter	culated Transmissivi ation is < 7.1	ity for the last 2 ite	erations is < 0.000	1		Transmissivity (ft2/da	y) = T =	7,348.48	(ft2/day)
Note: Well effici	ency is not includ	ed in the calculation	ons					Transmissivity (gpd/ft	) = T =	54,970.43	(gpd/ft)
Defense								Transmissishing Dim.		0.00005+00	(#2(day))
References:	Theis, C.V. 1935	. The relation betwe	en the lowering of th	ne piezometric sur	face and the rate	and duration of discharge o	of a well using	(last 2 iterations)	nce =	okay to use T if diff < 0.0001	(m2/day)
	ground water s	storage. American (	Seophysical Union T	ransactions, 16 ar	nual meeting, vol	. 16, pg. 519-524.				2.0412E-07	1
	Vorhis, R.C. 197	9. Transmissivity fro	m pumped well data	a. Well Log, Natio	nal Water Well As	sociation newsletter, vol. 1	0, no. 11,	(last iteration)		okay to use T if u <7.1	
	Dec. 1979, pg.	. 50-52.									
Drawdown	Storage	Pumping Rate	Pumping Rate	Time	Distance	u	W(u)	Transmissivity	Transmissivity difference from	Comments	Theis Equation
(feet)	S	(gal/min)	(ft3/sec)	(days)	(feet)			(ft2/day)	previous		Iteration
Note	until and a second		ana antoutated	and the state of the second		Note - Mile) colouistin	n until durbers und 7.4				
NODE	. yenow grid areas	S alle Where values	are calculated			HOUS . WY(U) Calculation	and when u < 7.1				
						7.0000	1.1545E-04			W(u) calculation test	
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50			6,227.94		T = Q/s	
0100	0.00100	4 400 00		0.04	0.50	0.40055.07	110010	7 000 40	4 00055 .00	To Their Founding	4.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.4085E-07	14.0019	7,200.48	1.0385E+03	T = Theis Equation	1.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.00432-07	14 8266	7 349 10	5 19605+00	T - Their Equation	2.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.40	0.04	0.50	2.0420E-07	14.0200	7 349 45	2 4000E 01	T = Their Equation	4.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E.07	14 8273	7 349 49	2 25005 02	T = Their Equation	5.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14 9272	7 3 49 49	1 50195 02	T = Theis Equation	5.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14 9273	7 349 49	1.0734E-04	T = Their Equation	7.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.0273	7 349 49	7 23045-09	T - Their Equation	8.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.0273	7,340.40	4 99355 07	T = Their Equation	0.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.0273	7,340.40	3 20205-00	T = Their Equation	10.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.6273	7,348.48	3.2928E-08	T = Theis Equation	11.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.04122-07	14.8273	7,348.48	1.50075 40	T = Their Equation	12.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.8273	7,348.48	1.5007E-10	T = Theis Equation	12.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.8273	7,348.48	1.0004E-11	I = Theis Equation	13.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.8273	7,348.48	0.0000E+00	I = Theis Equation	14.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.8273	7,348.48	0.0000E+00	T = Theis Equation	15.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.8273	7,348.48	0.0000E+00	I = Theis Equation	16.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.8273	7,348.48	0.0000E+00	1 # Theis Equation	17.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.8273	7,348.48	0.0000E+00	T = Theis Equation	18.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.8273	7,348.48	0.0000E+00	T = Theis Equation	19.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.8273	7,348.48	0.0000E+00	T = Theis Equation	20.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.8273	7,348.48	0.0000E+00	T = Theis Equation	21.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.8273	7,348.48	0.0000E+00	T = Theis Equation	22.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.8273	7,348.48	0.0000E+00	T = Theis Equation	23.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.8273	7,348.48	0.0000E+00	T = Theis Equation	24.00
34.00	0.00100	1,100.00	2.45	0.04	0.50	2.0412E-07	14.8273	7.348.48	0.0000E+00	T = Theis Equation	25.00