

April 2, 2015

SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

 NO

_____ NO

✓ Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate groundwater interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.

Calculate interference as the monthly fraction of the annual consumptive use and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.839, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing the Water Rights Section that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Monthly Fraction of Annual Consumptive Use

[illegible]

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date April 2, 2015

FROM: Groundwater Section Mike Zwart
Reviewer's Name

SUBJECT: Application G- 17944 Supersedes review of _____
Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.*

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Roy Vardanega County: Grant

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.08 cfs from one well(s) in the John Day Basin,
_____ subbasin Quad Map: Belshaw Meadows

A2. Proposed use Irrigation, 60 acres Seasonality: March 1 to October 31

A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	GRAN 197	1	Basalt	0.08	11S/30E-20 NW-NW	330' S, 2890' E from...*
2						
3						
4						
5						

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	4590	85	10	8/19/1966	107	0-20	0-20	None	None	35		Air

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** *...1/4 corner common to Sections 18 & 19 (11S/29E). The requested rate is well below the customary rate for 60 acres.

A5. ☒ **Provisions of the John Day** _____ Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water ☐ are, or ☒ are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Comments: _____

A6. ☐ **Well(s) #** _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.

Name of administrative area: _____

Comments: _____

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:

- a. ☐ is over appropriated, ☐ is not over appropriated, or ☒ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. ☐ will not or ☒ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. ☐ will not or ☒ will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
- d. ☐ will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:
- i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
- ii. ☐ The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
- iii. ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2.

- a. ☐ **Condition** to allow ground water production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
- b. ☐ **Condition** to allow ground water production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
- c. ☐ **Condition** to allow ground water production only from the _____ ground water reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
- d. ☐ **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

B3. **Ground water availability remarks:** This area is developed for irrigation primarily by surface water rights. There is currently minimal groundwater development.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040**C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:**

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Basalt of the Columbia River Basalt Group	☒	☐
		☐	☐
		☐	☐
		☐	☐
		☐	☐

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Basalt aquifers are typically confined. The water-bearing zone penetrated at GRAN 197 is well below the static water level.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
						YES	NO	ASSUMED	YES	NO
1	1	Unnamed trib. Fox Creek	4580	4550	950	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒
1	2	Wiley Creek	4580	4600	840	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒
1	3	Spring #1	4580	4555	650	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒
1	4	Spring #2	4580	4510	1800	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: The water-bearing zone of GRAN 197 is approximately elevation 4505 ft. The regional geologic map indicates that the Columbia River Basalt is mapped at the surface in the vicinity of the local reaches of the creeks and at the two springs. The determination of hydraulic connection is often problematic with basalt aquifers, especially if the local dip of the basalts is unknown. Therefore, I am unable to make a positive finding of local hydraulic connection. However, hydraulic connection is possible with any of these surface water sources, but is judged to be more likely with Spring #2, based on its similar elevation.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: 30620218: Fox Cr > Cottonwood Cr at Mouth.

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ☒ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐

Comments: _____

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
(A) = Total Interf.													
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q													
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q													
(D) = (A) > (C)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
(E) = (A / B) x 100		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Basis for impact evaluation:

C5. ☒ **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

i. ☒ The permit should contain condition #(s) 7J;

ii. ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions

Version: 07/26/2013

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

- D1. Well #: _____ Logid: _____
- D2. **THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:**
- a. ☐ review of the well log;
 - b. ☐ field inspection by _____;
 - c. ☐ report of CWRE _____;
 - d. ☐ other: (specify) _____
- D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:** _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- D4. ☐ Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Water Availability Tables

GRAN. 197

RECEIVED

NOTICE TO WATER WELL CONTRACTOR

The original and first copy
of this report are to be
filed with the

STATE ENGINEER, SALEM, OREGON 97310
within 30 days from the date
of well completion.

STATE OF OREGON

(Use type or print)

STATE ENGINEER

(1) OWNER:

Name Robert H. Heath
Address Box 4, Ore.

(2) LOCATION OF WELL:

County Grant Driller's well number
N.W. 1/4 1/4 Section 20 T. 11 S.R. 30 E W.M.
Bearing and distance from section or subdivision corner

(3) TYPE OF WORK (check):

Well ☒ Deepening ☐ Reconditioning ☐ Abandon ☐
Abandonment, describe material and procedure in Item 12.

(4) PROPOSED USE (check):

Domestic ☒ Industrial ☐ Municipal ☐ Rotary ☒ Driven ☐
Irrigation ☐ Test Well ☐ Other ☐ Cable ☐ Jetted ☐
Dug ☐ Bored ☐

(5) TYPE OF WELL:

(6) CASING INSTALLED:

Threaded ☐ Welded ☒
6" Diam. from 0 ft. to 20 ft. Gage 250
" Diam. from ft. to ft. Gage
" Diam. from ft. to ft. Gage

(7) PERFORATIONS:

Perforated? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Type of perforator used

Size of perforations in. by in.
perforations from ft. to ft.
perforations from ft. to ft.
perforations from ft. to ft.
perforations from ft. to ft.
perforations from ft. to ft.

(8) SCREENS:

Well screen installed? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Manufacturer's Name

Model No.

Slot size Set from ft. to ft.

Diam. Slot size Set from ft. to ft.

(9) CONSTRUCTION:

Well seal—Material used in seal CementDepth of seal 18 to 20 ft. Was a packer used? noDiameter of well bore to bottom of seal 9 1/2 in.Were any loose strata cemented off? ☐ Yes ☒ No DepthWas a drive shoe used? ☒ Yes ☐ NoWas well gravel packed? ☐ Yes ☒ No Size of gravel:

Gravel placed from ft. to ft.

Did any strata contain unusable water? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Type of water? depth of strata

Method of sealing strata off

(10) WATER LEVELS:

Static level 10 ft. below land surface Date 8, 19, 66

Artesian pressure lbs. per square inch Date

(11) WELL TESTS:

Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level

Was a pump test made? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, by whom?

Yield: gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.
air tested 35 gal per min

Ball test gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.

Artesian flow g.p.m. Date

Temperature of water Was a chemical analysis made? ☐ Yes ☐ No

(12) WELL LOG:

Diameter of well below casing

Depth drilled 7 ft. Depth of completed well 107 ft.

Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and show thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of formation.

MATERIAL	FROM	TO
top soil	0	1
broken basalt soft	1	12
gray basalt hard	12	42
black basalt soft	42	85
red rock porous with water	85	107

Work started 8, 18, 19 66 Completed 8, 19, 19 66Date well drilling machine moved off of well 8, 19, 19 66

(13) PUMP:

Manufacturer's Name Summers

Type: H.P.

Water Well Contractor's Certification:

This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NAME ROY T FRENCH

(Person, firm or corporation)

(Type or print)


Address 1015 29th Frasier Pentelon OneDrilling Machine Operator's License No. 209[Signed] Roy T French

(Water Well Contractor)

Contractor's License No. 107 Date 10, 8, 19 66

(USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)

Application G-17944, Roy Vardenega



0 0.5 Miles