WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

April 16, 2015

TO: Application G-<u>18028</u>

FROM: GW: K. Lite

(Reviewer's Name) SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference & General/Local Surface Water Evaluation for Deschutes Ground Water Study Area

The source of appropriation is within or above the Deschutes Scenic Waterway

Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J).

PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE FINDING UNDER ORS 390.835:

Department has found that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use of ground water will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of the <u>Deschutes</u> Scenic Waterway in quantities necessary for recreation, fish and wildlife.

LOCALIZED IMPACT FINDING

The proposed use of ground water will have a localized impact to surface water in the River/Creek Subbasin.

If the localized impact line above is checked, then the water use under any right issued pursuant to this application is presumed to have a localized impact on surface water within the identified subbasin. Mitigation of the impact, originating from within the Local Zone of Impact identified by the Department, will be required before a permit may be issued for the proposed use.

If the localized impact line above is not checked, then the water use under any right issued pursuant to this application is presumed to have a general (regional) impact on surface water. Mitigation of the impact, originating anywhere within the Deschutes Basin above the Madras gage, will be required before a permit may be issued for the proposed use.

TO.												
10:		Water	Rights S	ection				Date	4/16/201	5		
FROM	:	Groun	nd Water/	Hydrology	Section	K. Lite						
SUBJE	ECT:	Appli	cation G-	18028	-	Reviewe	er's Name rsedes rev	iew of	v	Date of Re	eview(s)	
velfare o deter he pres A. <u>GE</u> A1.	, safety a mine who sumption NERAL Applica	nd healt ether the criteria. <u>INFO</u> ant(s) see	h as descr presumpt This revi RMATI(ek(s) <u>0.1</u>	<i>ibed in ORS</i> ion is establ ew is based <u>ON</u> : A <u>1</u> cfs from	537.525. E ished. OAR upon avail pplicant's M m <u>1</u>	Department st. 690-310-144 lable information Name: <u>Ca</u> well(s)	aff review 0 allows th ation and a ascade Ac in the	ground wate e proposed u agency polic cademy Deschutes	r applications use be modified cies in place a	under OA d or cond t the time County:	AR 690-3 itioned to e of evalu Deschu	10-140 meet ation tes Bas
2. 3.	Propose Well an	ed use: _	Co er data (att	mmercial a	nd Irrigation mber logs i	on Season for existing v	ality:	Comm: 9/1 k proposed	-6/30; Irr: 4/1 wells as such	-10/31 under log	aid):	
	Log										giu).	
Vell	100	id	Applicant Well #	r's Pro	oposed	Proposed Rate(cfs)		Location	Location	n, metes :	and boun	ds, e.g
Vell 1 2	Desc 5	id 9549	Applicant Well # 1	t's Pro Ac Desc	oposed quifer* hutes Fm	Proposed Rate(cfs) 0.11	I (T/I 17S/	Location R-S QQ-Q) 12E-6ACC	Location 2250' I 625' I	n, metes a N, 1200' E N, 620' E	and boun fr NW con fr C1/4 co	ds, e.g s 36 r S6
Well 1 2 3 4	Desc 5	id 9549	Applicant Well # 1	L'S Pro	oposed quifer* hutes Fm	Proposed Rate(cfs) 0.11	[(T/I 17S/	Location R-S QQ-Q) 12E-6ACC	Location 2250' I 625' I	n, metes a N, 1200' E N, 620' E	and boun fr NW con fr C1/4 co	ds, e.g S 36 r S6
Vell 1 2 3 4 5	Desc 5	id 9549	Applicant Well # 1	E's Pro	oposed quifer* hutes Fm	Proposed Rate(cfs) 0.11	1 (T/I 17S/	Location R-S QQ-Q) 12E-6ACC	Location 2250' I 625' I	n, metes a N, 1200' E N, 620' E	and boun fr NW con fr C1/4 co	ds, e.g · S 36 r S6
Vell 1 2 3 4 5 Alluvi	Desc 59	id 9549 Bedrock	Applicant Well # 1	E's Pro-Action Action A	oposed quifer* hutes Fm	Proposed Rate(cfs) 0.11	1 (T/I) 17S/	Location R-S QQ-Q) 12E-6ACC	Location 2250' I 625' I	n, metes a N, 1200' E N, 620' E	and boun fr NW con fr C1/4 co	ds, e.g • S 36 r S6
Well 1 2 3 4 5 Alluvi Well	Desc 59 Desc 59 um, CRB, Well Elev ft msl	id 9549 Bedrock First Water ft bls	Applicant Well # 1 SWL ft bls	SWL Date	well Depth (ft)	Proposed Rate(cfs) 0.11 Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Location R-S QQ-Q) 12E-6ACC Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	well Yield (gpm)	and boun fr NW con fr C1/4 co Draw Down (ft)	ds, e.g S 36 r S6 Test Type

Use data from application for proposed wells.

Comments: Well is constructed into water bearing zones within the Deschutes Fm. Ground water flow is towards the A4. north with the nearest likely discharge area (Deschutes river) about 15.0 miles distance. Water level in the well is below the elevation of the nearest surface water source (also Deschutes river).

Provisions of the Deschutes Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water are, or are not, activated by this application. A5. Provisions of the Deschutes (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments: Within USGS Study Area Boundary.

A6. Well(s) # _____, ___, ___, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.

Name of administrative area: Comments:

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - a. **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, *or* **cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. **will not** or **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
 - d. \bigotimes will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) <u>7B</u>
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii.
 The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. a. Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - b. Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - c. Condition to allow ground water production only from the ______ ground water reservoir between approximately_____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
 - d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Ground water availability remarks: <u>The nearest state observation well is state obs well 1317 (DESC 3581), about 9.6 miles to the north-northeast. It has been monitored periodically since 1993. State observation well 1317 shows a relatively steady decline since 1994. The water level has dropped about 16.9 feet during the period of record, mostly as a result of decreased natural recharge. The water level decline is also likely influenced by decreased incidental recharge (canal lining and piping) and increased water use.</u>

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined	
			<u> </u>	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?		
	_						YES	NO	
			-						
								Π	
							- H	H	
								H	
	-								
-			1						
								Π	
								_	

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation:

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within:

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
		H	H			H		H		
		D	П					П		

Version: 08/15/2003

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
D' / 1	4. 1 117 11		-									-	
Wall	SW/#	S	Eab	Mar	Apr	May	Tun	Int	Aug	Sen	Oct	Nov	Dec
wen	S VV π	Jall	T-CD	Ivial 0%	Api	Widy %	Juli	Jui	Aug	Sep %	000	0%	90
Wall O	CEC	70	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	10
Well Q	as CFS		-										
Interfere	ence CFS	07	01.	07.	07	07	07.	07.	07.	07.	07.	07.	0%
		70	10	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	10
Well Q	as CFS					-							
Interfere	ence CFS				-								
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS		11-2-		1								
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well O	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS								1				
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well O	as CFS					-							
Interfere	ence CFS				-								
											100	1	
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{o}$	tal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = (A	(C)	V	1	1-	V	~	1	1	V.	~		1	1
(E) = (A	/B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Date 4/16/2015 Application G-18028 continued Basis for impact evaluation: 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water C4b. **Rights Section.** C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s)_ ; ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below; C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions______ References Used: USGS WRIR 00-4162; WRIR02-4015; SIR 2013-5092; OWRD State Observation Well data (obs well 1317); appl. File G-18028; Tumalo and Bend quadrangle maps.

.

.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

Л .	Well #: 1 Logid: Desc 59549	
D2.	THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon: a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by	
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency: a. constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; b. commingles water from more than one ground water reservoir; c. permits the loss of artesian head; d. permits the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; e. other: (specify)	
D4.	THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:	
D5.	 THE WELL a. a. was, or a was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification. b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction. 	
D6. [Route to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well re is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.	construction
THIS	ECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL	
D7. [Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:	
		, 200
	(Enforcement Section Signature)	

Application G-18028 continued

N

DESC DESC DESC 1555 4614 4537 DESC DESC DESC RED 4616 4528 1555 3366 AVA Pits DESC PROP999999 4211 20 SDEST ROAD VABM 0 DESC 0 477 **DESC 870** TUMALO E a Sou DES 0 5954 DESC **DESC 465** 47.53 G-18028 6 3362 DESC DESC TUMALO 4774 DESC 4767 STATE PARK 4775 DE 3235 Po DESC 55999 3379 BM 28 SO SE DESC DESC 97 DESC 52354 4863 DESC 9845 0. 4806 20 DESC 4846 DESC -3400° 0 DESC DESC 5 DESC 4831 4864 4816 DESC 3500 4784 0 DESC 4799 3 DESC 4809 3469 DES ESC 4866 0 1840 DE 0 9 DE Landing CDESC 850 Strip, COO





Date 4/16/2015

Date 4/16/2015

