PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section DateAp FROM: Groundwater Section Karl Wozniak SUBJECT: Application G17988 Reviewer's Name SUBJECT: Application G17988 Supersedes review of PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER Image: Margin and Margin an	ril 24, 2015										
FROM: Groundwater Section Karl Wozniak SUBJECT: Application G- 17988 Reviewer's Name SUBJECT: Application G- 17988 Supersedes review of PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER Image: Supersedes review of	Date of R										
SUBJECT: Application G 17988 Reviewer's Name SUBJECT: Application G 17988 Supersedes review of PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER OAB 600,310,130,(1). The Department shell are supersedes review of	Date of R										
SUBJECT: Application G- 17988 Supersedes review of PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER OAB 600 310 130 (1) The Department shall preserve that a supersederation of the sup	Date of R										
PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER	Date of R										
PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER											
PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER OAR 690-310-130 (1) <i>The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525.</i> Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and access proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet											
the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.											
A. <u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u> : Applicant's Name: <u>Bob Gabriel</u> County: <u>Clackamas</u>											
A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 2.2 cfs from seven well(s) in the Willamette			_Basin,								
Pudding R./Molalla Rsubbasin Quad Map: <u>Canby</u>											
A2. Proposed use Irrigation Seasonality: March 1 to October 31 A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):											
Well Logid Applicant's Proposed Aquifer* Proposed Location	Location, met	es and bou	ınds, e.g.								
Well # Hopsed Aquiter Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q)	2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor		$\frac{\text{cor S 36}}{\text{cor S 36}}$								
I Proposed I Alluvium 2.2 38/1E-30 SW-NW 2 Demond 2 Allucium 2.2 38/1E-30 SW-NW	1080' S, 65' E fr NW* S 30										
2 Proposed 2 Alluvium 2.2 38/1E-30 SW-NW 3 CLAC 20255 3 Alluvium 2.2 38/1E 30 SE NW	$\frac{1155' \text{ S}, 75' \text{ E fr NW* S 30}}{150' \text{ S}, 1415' \text{ E fr NW* S 30}}$										
3 CLAC 20355 3 Anuvium 2.2 35/1E-30 SE-NW 4 CLAC 20344 4 Alluvium 2.2 35/1E 30 SE NW	150° S, 1415° E IF NW* S 30 750° S 1430° F fr NW* S 30										
+ CLAC 20344 4 Anuvium 2.2 35/1E-30 SE-IVV 5 Proposed 5 Alluvium 2.2 35/1E-30 SW-NW	340' S. 45' E fr NW* S										
6 CLAC 59086 6 Alluvium 2.2 3S/1E-30 SW-NE	3638' N. 3810' E fr SW cor S										
7 Proposed 7 Alluvium 2.2 3S/1E-30 SW-NW	425' S, 105' E fr NW* S 30										
* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock											
Well First SWI Well Seal Casing Liner Perforat	tions Well	Draw	Test								
Well Elev Water SWL SWL Depth Intervals Intervals Or Screen	eens Yield	Down	Type								
ft msl ft bls rt bis Date (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft)	(gpm)	(ft)	турс								
1 161 120-260 0-20 100-250 80-25 2 162 120-260 0-20 100-250 80-25	0										
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	25 110	-	Air								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6 130	1	Air								
5 161 120-260 0-20 100-250 80-26	50										
6 180 90 110 04/19/2003 280 0-150 0-226.6 247.1-263.2 180.6-2	47.1 216	67	Pump								
7 162 120-260 0-20 100-250 80-25	50										
Use data from application for proposed wells.											
A4. Comments: *Metes & bounds for all wells except #6 are referenced from the NW corner of the NW corner o	the SW 1/4 of th	ne NW 1/4	of								
Section 30. Wells 3 & 4 (CLAC 20355 & CLAC 20344) were listed on application G-15923,	filed by a prev	ious prop	erty								
owner which was denied because of a finding of PSI with the Pudding River. CLAC 20355 was drilled to a total denth of											
owner, which was denied because of a finding of PSI with the Pudding River. CLAC 20355 w	the well. CLA	AC 20344	was								
owner, which was denied because of a finding of PSI with the Pudding River. CLAC 20355 v 343 feet but the portion of the hole below 130 feet was abandoned prior to final completion of	345 reet out the portion of the noie below 150 reet was abandoned prior to final completion of the well. CLAC 20344 was										
owner, which was denied because of a finding of PSI with the Pudding River. CLAC 20355 v 343 feet but the portion of the hole below 130 feet was abandoned prior to final completion of drilled to a total depth of 363 feet but the portion of the hole below 120 feet was abandoned p	rior to final con	drilled to a total depth of 363 feet but the portion of the hole below 120 feet was abandoned prior to final completion of the									
owner, which was denied because of a finding of PSI with the Pudding River. CLAC 20355 v 343 feet but the portion of the hole below 130 feet was abandoned prior to final completion of drilled to a total depth of 363 feet but the portion of the hole below 120 feet was abandoned p well. No productive water-bearing zones appear to have been found below the final completion	rior to final com on depths in the	ese wells.									
owner, which was denied because of a finding of PSI with the Pudding River. CLAC 20355 v 343 feet but the portion of the hole below 130 feet was abandoned prior to final completion of drilled to a total depth of 363 feet but the portion of the hole below 120 feet was abandoned p well. No productive water-bearing zones appear to have been found below the final completion	rior to final com n depths in the	ese wells.									
 owner, which was denied because of a finding of PSI with the Pudding River. CLAC 20355 v 343 feet but the portion of the hole below 130 feet was abandoned prior to final completion of drilled to a total depth of 363 feet but the portion of the hole below 120 feet was abandoned p well. No productive water-bearing zones appear to have been found below the final completion A5. Provisions of the Willamette Basin rules relative to the development. 	rior to final com on depths in the elopment, class	ese wells.	and/or								
owner, which was denied because of a finding of PSI with the Pudding River. CLAC 20355 v 343 feet but the portion of the hole below 130 feet was abandoned prior to final completion of drilled to a total depth of 363 feet but the portion of the hole below 120 feet was abandoned p well. No productive water-bearing zones appear to have been found below the final completion A5. Provisions of the Willamette management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water □ are, or □ are not	rior to final co n depths in the elopment, class , activated by	sification this applied	and/or cation.								
owner, which was denied because of a finding of PSI with the Pudding River. CLAC 20355 v 343 feet but the portion of the hole below 130 feet was abandoned prior to final completion of drilled to a total depth of 363 feet but the portion of the hole below 120 feet was abandoned p well. No productive water-bearing zones appear to have been found below the final completion A5. Provisions of the Willamette management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water □ are, or ☑ are not (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)	rior to final co n depths in the elopment, class , activated by	ese wells. sification this applic	and/or cation.								

A6. 🗌 Well(s) #____

Comments:

Well(s) # _____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: ______

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - a. **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, *or* **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. 🗌 will not or 🗌 will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
 - d. **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C, Large water-use reporting
 - ii. ____ The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. a. Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - b. Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - c. Condition to allow ground water production only from the ______ ground water reservoir between approximately______ ft. and ______ ft. below land surface;
 - d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. **Ground water availability remarks:** <u>The applicant's wells are located on a terrace that is 80-100 feet above the Pudding</u> <u>River and Molalla floodplains to the east and about 100-120 feet above the Willamette River to the north. The terrace is</u> <u>underlain by about 700 feet of mostly fine-grained sediments. The upper 80-90 feet of sediments correspond to the</u> <u>Willamette Silt which is predominantly silt with some clay and sand. Productive sands and gravels are generally found just</u> <u>below the base of the Willamette Silt but rarely have a cumulative thickness that exceeds 30 feet. Thin sand and gravel beds</u> <u>also occur below depths of 130 feet but do not appear to be of widespread extent. The Willamette, Molalla, and Pudding</u> <u>Rivers are incised completely through the Willamette Silt. On the terrace, the water table occurs within the Willamette Silt at</u> <u>depths of 30-50 feet. The water table drops sharply at the margins of the terrace to levels coincident with the Willamette,</u> <u>Molalla, and Pudding Rivers.</u>

Groundwater levels in nearby wells appear to be reasonably stable over time as shown on the attached hydrograph. This is consistent with the presence of nearby streams which should buffer groundwater production over time. However, the limited thickness of the productive sands suggests that seasonal interference could become an issue, especially in late summer months. However, no data is available to suggest that seasonal interference is currently an issue. The lack of pertinent data indicates the need for a water-level measurement and reporting condition.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
All	Alluvium	\boxtimes	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>Water-bearing zones are overlain by clay or silt beds that act as confining layers.</u>

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Pudding River	120	75	2950		
2	1	Pudding River	120	75	2950		
3	1	Pudding River	120	75	2250		
4	1	Pudding River	120	75	2025		\Box
5	1	Pudding River	120	75	3350		
6	1	Pudding River	70	75	650		
7	1	Pudding River	120	75	3275		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Published water table maps show that groundwater in the alluvial aquifer</u> system flows toward and discharges into the Willamette, Pudding, and Molalla Rivers. The main productive sand and gravel beds just below the Willamette Silt are at the same elevation as the coarse-grained floodplain and riverbed sediments of the adjacent streams. Therefore, these shallow water-bearing zones are expected to have an efficient connection with local streams. Deeper sand and gravel beds will have a less efficient connection to local streams.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>69998: PUDDING R > MOLALLA R - AT MOUTH; 69796:</u> MOLALLA R > WILLAMETTE R – AT MOUTH; 181: WILLAMETTE R > COLUMBIA R – AT MOUTH.

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1			69998A	40.0	\boxtimes	67.9	\boxtimes	<25%	\boxtimes
2	1			69998A	40.0	\boxtimes	67.9	\boxtimes	<25%	\boxtimes
3	1			69998A	40.0	\boxtimes	67.9	\boxtimes	<25%	\boxtimes
4	1			69998A	40.0	\boxtimes	67.9	\boxtimes	<25%	\boxtimes
5	1			69998A	40.0	\boxtimes	67.9	\boxtimes	<25%	\boxtimes
6	1	\boxtimes		69998A	40.0	\boxtimes	67.9	\boxtimes	<25%	\boxtimes
7	1			69998A	40.0	\boxtimes	67.9	\boxtimes	<25%	\boxtimes

C3b. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: <u>Although the wells will all, to various degrees, interfere with the Pudding, Molalla, and Willamette Rivers, they are all closest to the Pudding River which will be the principal impacted stream. The Pudding River WAB has the lowest natural flows and instream water rights so it will be the limiting WAB affecting this application. Therefore, the wells were not evaluated against the other WABs. Interference at 30 days was not modeled because of the complexity of the shallow alluvial aquifer in the area (it transitions from confined beneath the terrace to unconfined in the adjacent floodplains) but is expected to be less than 25% after 30 days based on professional judgement.</u>

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	2 as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
D: / 1	4 1 3 3 7 1	1											
Distrib		lS	D -h	Man	A	Mari	I	T. 1	A	C	0	N	Dee
wen	5W#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jui	Aug	Sep	Oct	NOV	Dec
XX 11 C		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (2 as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (2 as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well () as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	2 as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q) as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (2 as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
								-					
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) =	$(\mathbf{A}) > (\mathbf{C})$	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\sim	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

-	
-	
-	
_	
-	
-	
_	
_	
_	
	590-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the W Rights Section.
	If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. <a below;<="" href="https://www.condition.org/limits/protected/limits/</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in " remarks"="" td="">
SW	/ GW Remarks and Conditions
SW	/ GW Remarks and Conditions
SW	/ GW Remarks and Conditions
SW	/ GW Remarks and Conditions
SW	/ GW Remarks and Conditions
SW	/ GW Remarks and Conditions
SW	/ GW Remarks and Conditions
SW	/ GW Remarks and Conditions
SW	/ GW Remarks and Conditions
SW	/ GW Remarks and Conditions
Re: Hir Rej Gai	/ GW Remarks and Conditions
Rej Ga Hir Rej Ga Rej Rej	/ GW Remarks and Conditions
Rei Hir Rej Wa Rej Wa	/ GW Remarks and Conditions
SW Rei Rei Rei Rei Rei Waa Hei Waa Gord	/ GW Remarks and Conditions

6

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:
D2.	THE WELL does not appear to meet a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by	current well construction standards based upon: ; ; ;
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency o	or other comment is described as follows:

D4.
Below Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Water Availability Tables

		DETAILED REPORT	F ON THE WATER AVAILA	BILITY CALCULATIO	N	
Watershed I Time: 4:30	D#: 69998 M	PUDI	DING R > MOLALLA R - Basin: WILLAMET	AT MOUTH TE	Excee	dance Level: 80 ate: 04/23/2015
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirements	Net Water Available
		Storage is	Monthly values a the annual amount at	re in cfs. 50% exceedance i	n ac-ft.	
JAN FEB MAR APR JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC	1,120.00 1,260.00 1,260.00 834.00 448.00 231.00 111.00 71.60 67.90 91.50 364.00 1,010.00	$\begin{array}{c} 130.00\\ 121.00\\ 91.00\\ 64.40\\ 82.50\\ 127.00\\ 105.00\\ 61.40\\ 16.90\\ 55.00\\ 124.00\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 990.00\\ 1,140.00\\ 989.00\\ 770.00\\ 388.00\\ 148.00\\ -16.10\\ -33.30\\ 6.48\\ 74.60\\ 309.00\\ 886.00\\ \end{array}$	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	$\begin{array}{c} 80.00\\ 80.00\\ 80.00\\ 80.00\\ 60.00\\ 50.00\\ 40.00\\ 40.00\\ 60.00\\ 80.00\\ 80.00\\ 80.00\\ \end{array}$	910.00 1,060.00 909.00 308.00 88.50 -66.10 -73.30 -33.50 14.60 229.00 806.00
ANN	748,000	62,700	686,000	0	48,900	642,000

Nearby Groundwater Levels in the Alluvial Aquifer



Well Location Map

LAC 433 14 18 CLAC CLAC CLAC 9382 62558 9350 62558 Wate Ľ CLAC 8498 -23 23 19 20 GLA 8478 CALLA RIVER BDY 1 STATE PARK Golf CLAC 170 Existing Well 3 54227 Aile O CLAC 20355 Existing Well 6 CLAC 59086 CLAC 9802 Proposed Wells 5 & 7 IDIAN 2 CLAC GLASS Proposed Wells 1 & 2 AC 20355 CLAC 8715 PIPE 101 ERS AC RD ELLERS 20344 CLAC CASCAL CASCAL CASCAL CASCAL CLAC CLAC CLAC CLAC S580 S0502 • 26 CLAC 25 29 CLAC 9724 Existing Well 4 CLAC 20344 8526 161 CLAC 8562 4 AC 53111 8 400. VER 1:0 CLAC 1845 487 AMETTE Ø 8805 100 808 CLAC CLAC 11976 Legend 36 32 Substation 31 32 G-17988_buffer_5280 DING POD Othe PUP Well 0 Sump Spring 16 Storage Reservoir 57 ed Wells (Dev) oca Obs Well Current Ohs Well Non-Current Pit te Obs Well Current Sta 6 5 S State Obs Well Non-Curren 5 216 t:© 2013 National Geographic Soc Other Wells Copyrig N 2,000 1,000 0 2,000 Feet

Application G-17988, Gabriel

7