WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEM	0	<u>August 6</u> , 20 <u>15</u>							
TO:		Application G- <u>18090</u>							
FROM: (GW: <u>Michael J Thoma</u> (Reviewer's Name)							
SUBJI	ECT: S	cenic Waterway Interference Evaluation							
	YES	The source of annuariation is within an above a Cassia Westernas							
	NO	The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic waterway							
	YES	Use the Seenic Waterway condition (Condition 71)							
\boxtimes	NO	Use the Scenic waterway condition (Condition 73)							

- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.
- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **unable** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; **therefore**, **the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway**.

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in ______ Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

٦

TO:		Wate	er Rights Se	ection				Date	e(0 <u>8/06/</u>	2015		
FROM		Grou	ndwater Se	ection		Micha	ael J. Tho	ma					
OUDIE	OT	A	intin C	19000		Revi	ewer's Name	view of					
SORIE	CI:	Аррі	ication G-	18090		Su	perseues re				Date of Rev	view(s)	
PUBLI OAR 69 welfare, to detern the pres	C INTE 90-310-13 safety ar mine whe umption of	ERES 30 (1) and heat other the criteria	T PRESU The Depart th as describe presumption This review	MPTION; ment shall p ibed in ORS ion is establic w is based	GROUNI resume that 537.525. D shed. OAR upon avail	DWATE a propose epartment 690-310- able infor	R ed groundw staff review 140 allows mation and	<i>ater use will e</i> w groundwate the proposed d agency poli	ensure the r applicat use be me cies in pl	e prese tions u odified ace at	rvation of nder OAI or condi the time	f the pub R 690-31 tioned to of evalu	<i>lic</i> 0-140 meet ation.
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL	INFO	DRMATIC	<u>DN</u> : Al	oplicant's N	lame:	Valley Fa	<u>lls Farms L</u>	LC	0	County:	Linn	
A1.	Applica	nt(s) so	eek(s) <u>3.1</u>	7cfs from	n <u>5</u>	well((s) in the	Willamet	te				_Basin,
	[Jpper	Willamette	<u> </u>		subb	asin						
A2.	Propose	d use	Irr	igation (25	<u>3.8 ac – P</u>	rimary)	Season	ality: <u>Mar</u>	<u>ch 1 – O</u>	ctobe	<u>r 31</u>		
A3.	Well an	d aqui	fer data (att	ach and nu	mber logs f	for existin	ig wells; ma	ark proposed	wells as	such u	inder log	gid):	
Well	Logid		Applicant'	s Propos	ed Aquifer*	Prop	osed	Location		Locat	tion, mete	s and bou	nds, e.g.
1	LINN 48	69	weil #	A	luvium	Rate	(CIS)	105/03W-18 S	-Q) WNE	2250	$\frac{N, 1200}{0' S, 1440'}$	U of NE c	or \$ 30
2	Propose	ed	2	A	luvium	1	-	105/03W-18 N	WSE	2960' S, 1450' W of NE cor		or S18	
3	Propose	ed	3	A	luvium	3.17ª		10S/03W-18 SWNE		127	0' S. 1930'	W of NE c	or S18
4	Propose	ed	4	A	luvium	4		105/03W-18 N	VENE	1270' S, 1180' W of NE cor 518		or 518	
<u> </u>	Propose	Dadaa	3	A	luvium			10S/03W-17 N	WNW	12	70° S, 20° V	W of NE co	r S10
* Alluvii	um, CKB,	Bedroc	CK .										
	Well	First			Well	Seal	Casing	Liner	Darfora	tions	Wall	Deany	
Well	Elev	Wate	SWL	SWL	Denth	Interval	Intervals	Intervals	Or Ser	eens	Vield	Down	Test
wen	ft msl	ft bls	ft bls	Date	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	(onm)	(ft)	Туре
1	200	11 010	19	9/12/1965	60	0-18	0-54	(11)	44-5	4	150	10	
2	200		19 ^h		75	0-18	0-75		40-7	5			
3	200		19 ^h		75	0-18	0-75		40-7	5			
4	200		19 ^p		75	0-18	0-75		40-7	5			
- >	200		19.			0-18	0-75		40-7	5			
Use data	from appl	lication	for proposed	wells.			1				L		
A4.	Comme ^b The ap 4869.	ents: <u></u>	The applica ts wells 2-5	ant requests 5 are propose	the full rate ed but shou	from any ld encoun	combinatio ter similar h	on of the five p hydrology (SM	proposed /L, yield,	wells. etc.) as	s the exis	ting well	LINN
A5. 🗌	Provisi manage (Not all Comme <u>Willame</u>	ions of ment c basin nts: <u>Th</u> ette Ba	f the <u>Willar</u> of groundwa rules contai <u>he applicant</u> usin do not a	nette (OAR ter hydraulio n such provi 's proposed pply.	690-502-02 cally conne sions.) POAs are >	240 cted to sur • ¹ /4 mile fr	Basin r face water rom surface	ules relative t	o the dev are not s so the a	elopme , activa	ent, classi ated by th	ification is applica rules of	and/or ation. <u>the</u>
A6. 🗌	Well(s) Name o Comme	# f admi nts:	nistrative ar	ea: ,	,		, ta	ap(s) an aquif	er limited	by an	administ	rative res	striction.

2

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
 - a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or annot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. **will not** or **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
 - d. will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C (7-year); "Large" water use reporting
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;

- b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than _______ft. below land surface;
- c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the <u>alluvial</u> groundwater reservoir between approximately_____ft. and _____ft. below land surface;
- d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Groundwater availability remarks: The applicant's proposed POAs are located in the low-relief valley floor near the confluence of the Willamette and Santiam Rivers. The existing well (LINN 4869) and proposed wells (Wells 2-5) will be completed within shallow alluvial deposits adjacent to elevated hills of older marine sediments (Spencer or Eugene Formations). The alluvium underlying the area is generally < 100 ft thick and composed of productive sand and gravel zones, mostly in the upper 50 ft, and fine-grained clay/silt in the deeper portions of the aquifer. There is also a layer of Willamette Silt (Gannett and Caldwell 1998) that directly underlies the area under consideration and forms a terrace with ~ 10 ft of relief between the Willamette River floodplain and the location of the applicant's proposed POAs. The Willamette Silt is generally considered a semi-confining layer but the proximity of the proposed POAs to the margin of the terrace creates a complicated hydrogeologic scenario of mixed confined and unconfined conditions (see Section C).</p>

Most existing wells in the area produce from the coarse-grained zones in the aquifer and yield from 50 to several-hundred gpm. There are no water level observation wells in the immediate area but what records exist in similar environments within the central Willamette Valley floodplain sediments (i.e., similar aquifers and proximity to the river) show seasonal fluctuations in water level that strongly coincide with river stage and precipitation cycles and long-term water levels do not show declines (see Figure 3).

Regarding Injury:

There are certificated water rights and numerous groundwater claims that have not yet been certificated near the applicant's proposed POU (including claims GR 1036 and GR 1732 which underlie part of the proposed POU) (Figure 2). Most POAs for these claims are shallow wells (< 50 ft total depth) that produce from the same coarse-grained sediments as the applicant proposes. The coarse-grained material is likely highly transmissive with relatively high specific yields. This type of

3

hydrogeologic setting implies that there will likely not be substantial interference between users, but standard interference conditions should still be applied if the permit is issued.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvium		\square
2	Alluvium		\boxtimes
3	Alluvium		\boxtimes
4	Alluvium		\boxtimes
5	Alluvium		\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Driller's logs from nearby wells show static water levels (*SWL*) at or above the water bearing zones (WBZ) suggesting unconfined to semi-confined conditions. Helm and Leonard (1977) describe seasonal confinement in some areas of the Central Willamette Valley alluvium but generally an unconfined aquifer system hydraulically connected to major surface water bodies (e.g., Willamette River).

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Willamette River	180	165	7100		
2	1	Willamette River	180	165	7000		
3	1	Willamette River	180	165	7300		
4	1	Willamette River	180	165	7900		
5	1	Willamette River	180	165	9000		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: The reported static water level in the existing well (and assumed SWL in proposed wells) is higher than the river elevation – suggesting groundwater flows to, and discharges to, the Willamette River. Additionally, wells in the area generally respond to seasonal and sub-seasonal changes in river stage suggesting an efficient hydraulic connection between surface water and the aquifer (Helm and Leonard 1977)

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Willamette R > Columbia R - AB Mill Cr (ID# 183)

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw> 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
------	---------	-------------------	---------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--

There are no surface water sources within 1 mi of the proposed POAs. Wilson Lake is approximately 4000-5000 ft from the proposed POAs but as its elevation is ~10 ft higher than the Willamette River, it is likely a manifestation of shallow groundwater and thus is not treated as a surface water source but rather considered a sump/large-diameter well for the purpose of this application

Application G-18090

Date: 08/06/2015

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
	Q	is not distri	buted among	wells so tal	ole C3b does	not apply		

Comments:

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	istributed	Wells					1	2002	1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -		-		
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1	1					S	See comm	ents belo	w		1.35		
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS				-								
2	1					-							
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
3	1												
Well (as CFS		_										
Interfer	ence CFS												
4	1					((V)							
Well (as CFS	1	6 . I EA										
Interfer	ence CFS												
5	1					12-11					11		
Well () as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.	<< 3.17	<< 3.17	<< 3.17	<< 3.17	<< 3.17	<< 3.17	<< 3.17	<< 3.17	<< 3.17	<< 3.17	<< 3.17	<< 3.17
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q	18400	20100	19600	18600	15500	8310	4710	3620	3680	4650	9400	16700
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q	184	201	196	186	155	83.1	47.1	36.2	36.8	46.5	94.0	167
(D) =	(A) > (C)	X	X	X	X	x	X	X	X	X	X	x	X
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: Impact of pumping to the Willamette River was not modeled because the applicant's full proposed rate of 3.17 cfs is well below the 1% of the natural flow of the Willamette River WAB for any months. Cumulative impacts therefore will not exceed 1% of the minimum flow and per OAR 690-09-040 will not have the potential for substantial interference.

- C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.
- C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s)_

ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

Page

Page

5

C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions: The alluvial aquifer in the area of the applicant's proposed POAs is shallow and generally unconfined and nearby wells that have long records of SWL show clear correlation to river stage – implying very efficient hydraulic connection to surface water. However, the applicant's proposed total use is far below the 1% of the natural flow in the Willamette River WAB and so there is no chance of PSI under ORS 690-009 conditions from any of the proposed POAs.

References Used: <u>Helm, D. C. and A. R. Leonard. 1977. Ground-water Resources of the Lower Santiam River Basin, Middle</u> Willamette Valley, Oregon. Water Resources Department Ground-water Report No. 25

Gannett, Marshall W., and Caldwell, Rodney R., 1998, Geologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington: U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A, 32p, 8 plates

Woodward and others, 1998, Hydrogeologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:
D2.	THE W a. b. c. d.	ELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon: review of the well log; field inspection by; report of CWRE; other: (specify);
D3.	THE W	ELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:

D4. 🗌 Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Application G-18090

Page

3

6

Figure 1: Water Availability Tables

Vatershe)ate: 8/7	ed ID #: 183 <u>(Ma</u> //2015	(Wate	r Availability as of 8	3/7/2015	Exceedance	e Level: 80% Time: 7:56 A
Water Av	vailability Calculati	on Consumptive Uses an	d Storages Instre	am Flow Requirements	Reser	vations
		Valuer Rights		Watershed	Characteristics	
Ionth	Natural Stream	Monthly Stre Annual Volum Consumptive Uses and	eamflow in Cubic F le at 50% Exceeda Expected Stream	eet per Second ince in Acre-Feet Reserved Stream	Instream Flow	Net Wa
	Flow	Storages	Flow	Flow	Requirement	Availal
JAN	18,400.00	2,240.00	16,200.00	0.00	1,300.00	14,900
FEB	20,100.00	7,420.00	12,700.00	0.00	1,300.00	11,400
ADD	19,000.00	6,970,00	12,400.00	0.00	1,300.00	0,830
MAY	15,500.00	4 170 00	11 300 00	0.00	1 300 00	10 000
JUN	8 310 00	1 690 00	6 620 00	0.00	1,300.00	5 320
JUL	4 710 00	1,450.00	3,260.00	0.00	1,300.00	1,960
AUG	3.620.00	1,330.00	2,290.00	0.00	1,300.00	987
SEP	3,680.00	1,160.00	2,520.00	0.00	1,300.00	1,220
OCT	4,650.00	747.00	3,900.00	0.00	1,300.00	2,600
NOV	9,400.00	853.00	8,550.00	0.00	1,300.00	7,250
DEC	16,700.00	910.00	15,800.00	0.00	1,300.00	14,500
	13,500,000.00	2,160,000.00	11,300,000.00	0.00	942,000.00	10,400,000

Version: 04/20/2015



5

Application G-18090

Application G-18090

8



Figure 3: Water level hydrograph from BENT 1558; located approximately 3 miles south of the applicant's proposed POA and completed in alluvial sediments adjacent to the Willamette Valley