

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section

Date 8/12/2015

FROM: Groundwater Section

Jen Woody

Reviewer's Name

SUBJECT: Application G- 17928

Supersedes review of 12/19/2014

Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.*

A. GENERAL INFORMATION:

Applicant's Name: Bobby Daniel Rickel

County: Clackamas

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.20 cfs from 1 well(s) in the Willamette Basin, Clackamas River subbasin Quad Map:

A2. Proposed use Nursery Seasonality: Year-round

A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	CLAC 55125	1	Troutdale Formation	0.20	T3S/R4E-15 NW SW	600'S, 400'E fr W ¼ cor S15
2						
3						
4						
5						

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	868	55	157	08/28/1999	283	0-150	0-150	133-253	213-233, 253-283	90		pump

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. Comments:

A5. ☒ Provisions of the Willamette Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water ☐ are, or ☒ are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Comments: The Clackamas River Subbasin does not include groundwater provisions.

A6. ☐ Well(s) # , , , tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.

Name of administrative area:

Comments: This location is outside administrative groundwater areas.

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:

- a. ☐ is over appropriated, ☐ is not over appropriated, or ☒ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. ☐ will not or ☒ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. ☐ will not or ☐ will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
- d. ☒ will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
- i. ☒ The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C, 7P, 7J;
 - ii. ☐ The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

- B2. a. ☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
- b. ☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
- c. ☐ Condition to allow groundwater production only from the _____ groundwater reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
- d. ☐ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

B3. Groundwater availability remarks: _____

The aquifer consists of lava intercalated with fine-grained materials of the Troutdale Formation. Well logs in T3S/R4E-Section 15 report yields from 1 to 180 gpm, with a median yield of 15 gpm. CLAC 55125 reports a yield of 90 gpm, which is the rate requested on this application. A pump test at this well reported a rate of 80 gpm for four hours. There are not many data available to determine if the proposed use is within the capacity of the resource, but water level monitoring condition 7C should provide reasonable protection of senior water users. Water level data are sparse in this area, but wells with long-term water level data in Section 22 appear to be stable at the current rate of use (see attached hydrograph).

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040**C1. 690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Troutdale Formation	☒	☐
		☐	☐
		☐	☐
		☐	☐
		☐	☐

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: The static water level rises above the water bearing zone, indicating a confined aquifer.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
						YES	NO	ASSUMED	YES	NO
1	1	Unnamed tributary to Currin Cr	711	480-600	2200	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Groundwater elevation is coincident with surface water at a distance of 2200 feet. The unnamed creek is mapped as ephemeral from an elevation of 600-800 ft above sea level, so groundwater may only intersect surface water seasonally. Multiple unnamed streams become perennial at the geologic contact exposed around 600 feet elevation, indicating a groundwater discharge area at similar elevation to the bottom of the well.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Watershed ID #: 96 EAGLE CR > CLACKAMAS R - AT MOUTH

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ☒ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1	☐	☐			☐	16.20	☒		☒
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐

- C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐

Comments: The Jenkins and Hunt stream depletion models are not appropriate for this situation, because the bottom elevation of the well is above nearby tributaries to the Clackamas River.

The subject well (CLAC 55125) is authorized for 0.5 cfs by Application G-14881, Permit G-13744. The COBU on file for that permit reports actual use as 0.14 cfs. The additional rate proposed by this application puts the total authorized rate from this from this well at 0.70 cfs. If the rate associated with Permit G 13744 is reduced to 0.14 at certification, the sum of these two rights at this well will be 0.34 cfs. PSI is triggered by the total authorized rate from the well exceeding 0.162 cfs, which is 1% of 80% of Natural Flow.

- C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													

(A) = Total Interf.												
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q												
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q												
(D) = (A) > (C)												
(E) = (A / B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation:

C4b. **690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.**

C5. ☐ **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

- i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
- ii. ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. **SW / GW Remarks and Conditions**

References Used:

Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168.

Gannett, M.W. and Caldwell, R., 1998, Geologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A, 32 p.

Lite, K.E. Jr., 1992, Stratigraphy and Structure of the Southeast part of the Portland Basin, Oregon. MS Thesis, Portland State University Department of Geology.

Madin, Ian P., 2004, Preliminary Digital Compilation Map of the Greater Portland Urban Area, Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries.

Woodward, D.G., Gannett, M.W., and Vaccaro, J.J., 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B, 82 p.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. Well #: _____ Logid: _____

D2. **THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:**

- a. ☐ review of the well log;
- b. ☐ field inspection by _____;
- c. ☐ report of CWRE _____;
- d. ☐ other: (specify) _____

D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:** _____

D4. ☐ Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Water Availability Tables

Water Availability Analysis Detailed Reports

EAGLE CR > CLACKAMAS R - AT MOUTH WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 12/18/2014

Watershed ID #: 96 ([Map](#))

Exceedance Level:


Date: 12/18/2014

Time: 10:23 AM

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second
Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	233.00	0.55	232.00	0.00	125.00	107.00
FEB	235.00	0.52	234.00	0.00	125.00	109.00
MAR	241.00	0.50	241.00	0.00	125.00	116.00
APR	279.00	0.57	278.00	0.00	125.00	153.00
MAY	271.00	1.19	270.00	0.00	125.00	145.00
JUN	132.00	1.66	130.00	0.00	100.00	30.30
JUL	54.50	2.68	51.80	0.00	100.00	-48.20
AUG	22.20	2.08	20.10	0.00	40.00	-19.90
SEP	16.20	0.85	15.30	0.00	40.00	-24.70
OCT	19.60	0.36	19.20	0.00	40.00	-20.80
NOV	74.90	0.49	74.40	0.00	125.00	-50.60
DEC	245.00	0.57	244.00	0.00	125.00	119.00
ANN	197,000.00	729.00	197,000.00	0.00	72,000.00	129,000.00



G-17928 Rickel
T3S/R4E-15 NW 1/4 SW 1/4

