

Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip

• Groundwater/Hydrology Section

FILE # # G-18012

ROUTED TO: Barbara Parks

TOWNSHIP/

RANGE-SECTION: T5S/R1E-5

CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: ☒ yes ☐ no

REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS:

Re-review with new
rate.

Reviewer: Jen Woody

[illegible]

WOODY Jen L

From: PARK Barbara J
Sent: Tuesday, September 01, 2015 2:59 PM
To: WOODY Jen L
Subject: FW: G-18012

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hello Jen,
See email below, seems intake had an error (our fault, not the applicant's)...could you please re-review this application at 0.95 cfs as shown below.
At a minimum, I believe Table C3a will be changed.
Would you like the file??

Thank you.

BARBARA PARK | WATER RIGHT APPLICATION CASEWORKER
WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT | 725 SUMMER ST. NE, SUITE A | SALEM, OREGON 97301
PH.: 503 986-0859 | FAX: 503 986 0901
Email: Barbara.J.Park@wrdd.state.or.us Web: <http://www.wrdd.state.or.us>

From: PIERCEALL Jeffrey D
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2015 11:03 AM
To: PARK Barbara J
Subject:

Barb,
Ivan Barksoff came in about his IR. In looking at the file and application it became obvious that I made a mistake in transcription on the rate. Kim advised me to place a memo in the file and ask it to be reviewed at the proper rate. So sorry that I missed this when I reviewed the application.

Jeffrey D. Pierceall Customer Service Group
Oregon Water Resources Department
503-986-0801

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date 9/2/2015

FROM: Groundwater Section Jen Woody
Reviewer's Name

SUBJECT: Application G- 18012 Supersedes review of 6/10/2015
Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525.* Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. **This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.**

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Ivan Barsukoff County: Clackamas

- A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.95 cfs from 1 well(s) in the Willamette Basin,
Pudding River subbasin Quad Map: Yoder
- A2. Proposed use Irrigation Seasonality: June 1-September 30
- A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	PROP 999999	1	Alluvium	0.95	5S/1E-5 SW 1/4 NW 1/4	1744' S fr NW cor S 5
2						
3						
4						
5						

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	185		55*	*	300							

Use data from application for proposed wells.

- A4. **Comments:** The application proposes a new 300' deep well with 10' of casing. The new well must meet current well construction standards which will require more than 10' of casing and seal.
CLAC 71344 was drilled after this application was filed, but it may be the subject of this application.
*Water level based on groundwater contours for the Willamette Aquifer in Conlon et al. (2005).
- A5. ☒ **Provisions of the Willamette** Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water ☐ are, or ☒ are not, activated by this application.
(Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)
Comments: The applicant's well accesses a confined aquifer, so the pertinent basin rules do not apply.
- A6. ☐ **Well(s) #** _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.
Name of administrative area: _____
Comments: _____

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:

- a. ☐ is over appropriated, ☐ is not over appropriated, or ☒ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. ☐ will not or ☐ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. ☐ will not or ☐ will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
- d. ☒ will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
- ☒ The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C;
 - ☐ The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

- B2. a. ☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
- b. ☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
- c. ☒ Condition to allow groundwater production only from the alluvial groundwater reservoir ~~between approximately~~ _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
- d. ☐ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** _____

The applicant's well is located in an area when fine-grained sediments of the Willamette silt occur from land surface to a depth of approximately 80 feet (Woodward et al., 1998). A package of water-bearing lenses of sand and gravel underlie the silt. About 1000 feet of mostly fine grained alluvial sediments with some thin packages of sands and gravels are found beneath the sand and gravel layer. Nearby well logs report fine-grained materials with water-bearing sand and gravel zones ranging from 5-15 feet thick between about 100 feet below land surface to approximately 300 feet below land surface.

Water levels from some nearby wells in the Willamette aquifer show minor groundwater declines. It is unclear whether these trends should be attributed to increased pumping or decadal scale climatic fluctuations. There is not enough data to determine over-appropriation of the groundwater system, especially when many wells show long-term stability (see hydrograph). This indicates the need for long-term water level monitoring.

While the cone of depression created by pumping is expected to rapidly propagate toward aquifer boundaries in a confined aquifer, distance drawdown estimates show well to well interference should not injure nearby groundwater rights. Using Theis distance drawdown methods and a range of reasonable aquifer parameters, potential drawdown at ¼ mile is on the order of a few feet after 120 days of pumping at the proposed rate.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040**C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:**

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Willamette Aquifer Sand and Gravel	☒	☐
		☐	☐
		☐	☐
		☐	☐
		☐	☐

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: According to Woodward et al. (1998), about 80 feet of low-permeability Willamette silt confine the Willamette aquifer in this area. The proposed well will access lenses of sand and gravel in the Willamette aquifer. Water levels in nearby wells rise above the water bearing zone, which also indicates a confined aquifer system.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
						YES	NO	ASSUMED	YES	NO
1	1	Rock Creek	130	110	3350	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
1	2	Unnamed tributary to Bear Creek	130	135	4780	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Water levels in nearby wells are above or coincident to nearby stream reaches, indicating groundwater discharges to local streams. Water table maps also suggest that groundwater discharges to streams. These factors indicate hydraulic connection between the aquifer and local streams. Hydraulic connection is expected to be inefficient because nearby stream reaches do not fully penetrate the fine-grained Willamette silt.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Watershed ID #: 151: PUDDING R > MOLALLA R - AB MILL CR

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ☒ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1	☐	☐	n/a		☐	67.3	☒	<<25%	☒
1	2	☐	☐	n/a		☐	67.3	☒	<<25%	☒

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐

Comments: Stream depletion estimates using Hunt (2003) indicate interference at 30 days will be much less than 25% of the well discharge. See attached stream depletion estimates.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
(A) = Total Interf.													
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q													
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q													
(D) = (A) > (C)													
(E) = (A / B) x 100		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation:

C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

- C5. ☐ **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
- i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
 - ii. ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions

References Used:

Conlon and others, 2005, Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168.

Gannett and Caldwell, 1998, Geologic framework of the Willamette lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A,

Hunt, B., 1999, Unsteady stream depletion from ground water pumping: *Ground Water*, v. 37, no. 1, p. 98-102.

Hunt, B., 2003, Unsteady stream depletion when pumping from semiconfined aquifer: *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, January/February, 2003.

Jenkins, C.T., 1970, Computation of rate and volume of stream depletion by wells: U.S. Geol. Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations of the United States Geological Survey, Chapter D1, Book 4, 17 p.

Theis, C.V., 1941, The effect of a well on the flow of a nearby stream: *Am. Geophys. Union Trans.*, v. 22, pt.3, p. 734-738.

Woodward and others, 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. Well #: _____ Logid: _____


D2. **THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:**

- a. ☐ review of the well log;
 - b. ☐ field inspection by _____;
 - c. ☐ report of CWRE _____;
 - d. ☐ other: (specify) _____
-

D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:** _____

D4. ☐ **Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.**

G-18012 Barsukoff
T5S/R1E-Section 5



Water Availability Tables

Water Availability Analysis Detailed Reports

PUDDING R > MOLALLA R - AB MILL CR WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 6/9/2015

Watershed ID #: 151 ([Map](#))

Exceedance Level: 80%


Date: 6/9/2015

Time: 8:23 AM

Water Availability Calculation


Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second
Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	1,040.00	125.00	915.00	0.00	36.00	879.00
FEB	1,180.00	115.00	1,060.00	0.00	36.00	1,030.00
MAR	1,010.00	79.90	930.00	0.00	36.00	894.00
APR	787.00	55.70	731.00	0.00	36.00	695.00
MAY	425.00	52.70	372.00	0.00	36.00	336.00
JUN	224.00	72.90	151.00	0.00	36.00	115.00
JUL	109.00	113.00	-4.01	0.00	36.00	-40.00
AUG	71.00	93.30	-22.30	0.00	36.00	-58.30
SEP	67.30	54.50	12.80	0.00	36.00	-23.20
OCT	91.60	14.00	77.60	0.00	36.00	41.60
NOV	363.00	49.10	314.00	0.00	36.00	278.00
DEC	957.00	119.00	838.00	0.00	36.00	802.00
ANN	706,000.00	57,000.00	649,000.00	0.00	26,100.00	625,000.00



Transient Stream Depletion (Jenkins, 1970; Hunt, 1999, 2003)

Prop 9999 and Rock Creek



Output for Stream Depletion, Scenerio 2 (s2):						Time pump on (pumping duration) = 120 days							
Days	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300	330	360	
J SD	76.0%	82.9%	86.0%	87.8%	13.1%	7.2%	4.8%	3.5%	2.8%	2.2%	1.9%	1.6%	
H SD 1999	8.7%	13.4%	16.8%	19.5%	13.1%	10.3%	8.7%	7.5%	6.7%	6.0%	5.5%	5.0%	
H SD 2003	2.10%	2.16%	2.21%	2.26%	0.20%	0.20%	0.19%	0.19%	0.19%	0.19%	0.19%	0.19%	
Qw, cfs	0.950	0.950	0.950	0.950	0.950	0.950	0.950	0.950	0.950	0.950	0.950	0.950	
H SD 99, cfs	0.083	0.128	0.160	0.186	0.124	0.098	0.083	0.072	0.064	0.057	0.052	0.048	
H SD 03, cfs	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.021	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	

Parameters:		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Units
Net steady pumping rate of well	Qw	0.95	0.95	0.95	cfs
Time pump on (pumping duration)	tpon	120	120	120	days
Perpendicular from well to stream	a	3350	3350	3350	ft
Well depth	d	300	300	300	ft
Aquifer hydraulic conductivity	K	50	50	50	ft/day
Aquifer saturated thickness	b	40	40	40	ft
Aquifer transmissivity	T	2000	2000	2000	ft*ft/day
Aquifer storativity or specific yield	S	0.001	0.001	0.001	
Aquitard vertical hydraulic conductivity	Kva	0.01	0.01	0.01	ft/day
Aquitard saturated thickness	ba	60	60	60	ft
Aquitard thickness below stream	babs	3	3	3	ft
Aquitard porosity	n	0.2	0.2	0.2	
Stream width	ws	20	20	20	ft