

**Oregon Water Resources Department
Water Right Services Division**

Application for Extension of Time

In the Matter of the Application for an Extension of Time)
for Permit S-44018, Water Right Application S-58356,) PROPOSED FINAL ORDER
in the name of the City of Roseburg)

Permit Information

Application File S-58356/ Permit S-44018

Basin 16 – Umpqua Basin / Watermaster District 15

Date of Priority: February 22, 1979

Authorized Use of Water

Source of Water: The North Umpqua River, a tributary of the
Umpqua River
Purpose or Use: Municipal Use
Maximum Rate: 6.0 Cubic Feet per Second (cfs)

**This Extension of Time request is being processed in accordance with Oregon
Administrative Rule Chapter 690, Division 315.**

***Please read this Proposed Final Order in its entirety as it contains
additional conditions not included in the original permit.***

This Proposed Final Order applies only to Permit S-44018, water right Application S-58356.

Summary of Proposed Final Order for Extension of Time

The Department proposes to:

- Grant an extension of time to complete construction from October 1, 2001 to October 1, 2050.
- Grant an extension of time to apply water to full beneficial use from October 1, 2001 to October 1, 2050.
- Make the extension of time subject to certain conditions as set forth below.

ACRONYM QUICK REFERENCE

Department – Oregon Department of Water Resources

City – City of Roseburg

ODFW – Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

PFO – Proposed Final Order

UGB – Urban Growth Boundary

WMCP – Water Management and Conservation Plan

Units of Measure

cfs – cubic feet per second

AUTHORITY

Generally, see **ORS 537.230** and **OAR Chapter 690 Division 315**.

ORS 537.230(2) provides in pertinent part that the Oregon Water Resources Department (Department) may, for good cause shown, order and allow an extension to complete construction or perfect a water right. In determining the extension, the Department shall give due weight to the considerations described under **ORS 539.010(5)** and to whether other governmental requirements relating to the project have significantly delayed completion of construction or perfection of the right.

ORS 539.010(5) provides in pertinent part that the Water Resources Director, for good cause shown, may extend the time within which the full amount of the water appropriated shall be applied to a beneficial use. This statute instructs the Director to consider: the cost of the appropriation and application of the water to a beneficial purpose; the good faith of the appropriator; the market for water or power to be supplied; the present demands therefore; and the income or use that may be required to provide fair and reasonable returns upon the investment.

OAR 690-315-0080 provides in pertinent part that the Department shall make findings to determine if an extension of time for municipal and/or quasi-municipal water use permit holders may be approved to complete construction and/or apply water to full beneficial use. Under specific circumstances, the Department may condition extensions of time for municipal

water use permit holders to provide that use of the undeveloped portion of the permit maintains the persistence of listed fish species in the portions of the waterways affected by water use under the permit.

OAR 690-315-0090(3) authorizes the Department, under specific circumstances, to condition an extension of time for municipal and/or quasi-municipal water use permit holders to provide that diversion of water beyond the maximum rate diverted under the permit or previous extension(s) shall only be authorized upon issuance of a final order approving a WMCP Plan under OAR Chapter 690, Division 86 which grants access to water under this extended permit.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Background

1. Permit S-44018 was granted by the Department on April 24, 1979. The permit authorizes the use of up to 6.0 cfs of water from the North Umpqua River, a tributary of the Umpqua River, for municipal use. It specified that construction of the water development project was to be completed by October 1, 1981, and that complete application of water was to be made on or before October 1, 1982.
2. Four prior permit extensions have been granted for Permit S-44018. The most recent extension request resulted in the completion dates for construction and full application of water being extended to October 1, 2001.
3. Due to an ongoing permit extension rulemaking, in 1998 the Department stopped processing pending Applications for Extension of Time for municipal and quasi-municipal permits, and did not require municipal and quasi-municipal water use permit holders to submit Applications for Extension of Time during the rulemaking process.
4. Municipal and quasi-municipal water use permit extension rules OAR 690-315-0070 through 690-315-0100 became effective on November 1, 2002. The rules were subsequently amended, and the amended rules became effective on November 22, 2005.
5. On December 1, 2006, City of Roseburg (City) submitted an "Application for Extension of Time" to the Department requesting the time to complete construction and the time to apply water to full beneficial use under the terms and conditions of Permit S-44018 be extended from October 1, 2001 to October 1, 2032.
6. Notification of the City's Application for Extension of Time for Permit S-44018 was published in the Department's Public Notice dated April 3, 2007. No public comments were received regarding the extension application.

7. On September 17, 2015, the permit holder submitted additional information to update their Application for Extension of Time. Due to updated population projections (reduced from an annual growth rate of 2% per year to 1.2% per year), the City amended their extension application to request the extended time to complete construction be changed from October 1, 2032 to October 1, 2050, and the extended time to apply water to full beneficial use be changed from October 1, 2032 to October 1, 2050.

Review Criteria for Municipal and Quasi-Municipal Water Use Permits [OAR 690-315-0080(1)]

The time limits to complete construction and/or apply water to full beneficial use may be extended if the Department finds that the permit holder has met the requirements set forth under OAR 690-315-0080(1). This determination shall consider the applicable requirements of ORS 537.230¹, 537.630² and/or 539.010(5)³

Complete Extension of Time Application [OAR 690-315-0080(1)(a)]

8. On December 1, 2006, the Department received a completed application for extension of time and the fee specified in ORS 536.050.

Start of Construction [OAR 690-315-0080(1)(b)]

9. Permit S-44018 was issued prior to June 29, 2005; therefore, the permit holder is not required to provide evidence of actions taken to begin actual construction of the project.⁴

Duration of Extension [OAR 690-315-0080(1)(c) and (1)(d)]

Under OAR 690-315-0080(1)(c) and (1)(d), in order to approve an extension of time for municipal and quasi-municipal water use permits the Department must find that the time requested is reasonable and the applicant can complete the project within the time requested.

10. The remaining work to be accomplished under Permit S-44018 consists of developing a range-range water supply plan; completing construction including designing and expanding the Winchester Water Treatment Plant, making improvements to reservoirs, pump stations, transmission mains, and distribution mains; and applying water to full beneficial use.
11. As of October 1, 2001, the permit holder had not diverted any of the 6.0 cfs of water authorized under Permit S-44018 for municipal purposes. There is an undeveloped portion of 6.0 cfs of water under Permit S-44018 as per OAR 690-315-0010(6)(g).

¹ ORS 537.230 applies to surface water permits only.

² ORS 537.630 applies to ground water permits only.

³ ORS 537.010(5) applies to surface water and ground water permits.

⁴ Section 5, Chapter 410, Oregon Laws 2005 and OAR 690-315-0070(3)(d).

12. In addition to the 6.0 cfs of water authorized under Permit S-44018 the City holds the following municipal use water right certificates and permits:

- Certificate 45930 for 12.0 cfs of water from the North Umpqua River; and
- Certificate 84826 for 13.0 cfs of water from the North Umpqua River.

The City holds 31.0 cfs of water rights from the North Umpqua River. It is currently using water under Certificates 45930 and 87826 to meet its current demands.

13. Permit S-41514 for 3.0 cfs from the North Umpqua River, jointly held by Roberts Creek Water District and Winston Dillard Water District is used as an emergency water supply source as needed. This permit is not available to meet any of the City of Roseburg's water demands.
14. According to the City, in 2005, the population within the service boundary of City of Roseburg was 28,591. The City estimates the population will increase at a growth rate of 1.2 percent per year, reaching an estimated population of 48,801 by the year 2050.
15. The City's peak water demand within its service area boundaries was 18 cfs of water in 2005 and is projected to be approximately 31 cfs by the year 2050.
16. According to the City, it has substantial potential growth through annexations and fill-in. This growth includes residential, commercial and industrial growth. There are approximately 1,320 unconstrained buildable acres of land designated for residential use within the existing Urban Growth Boundary (UGB).
17. Full development of Permit S-44018 is needed to address the present and future water demand of City of Roseburg.
18. The City's request for an extension of time until October 1, 2050, to complete construction and to apply water to full beneficial use under the terms of Permit S-44018 is both reasonable and necessary.

Good Cause [OAR 690-315-0080(1)(e) and (3)(a-g)]

The Department's determination of good cause shall consider the requirements set forth under OAR 690-315-0080(3).

Reasonable Diligence and Good Faith of the Appropriator [OAR 690-315-0080(3)(a), (3)(c) and (4)]

Reasonable diligence and good faith of the appropriator must be demonstrated during the permit period or prior extension period as a part of evaluating good cause in determining whether or not to grant an extension. In determining the reasonable diligence and good faith of a municipal or quasi-municipal water use permit holder, the Department shall consider activities associated with the development of the right including, but not limited to, the items set forth under OAR 690-315-0080(4) and shall evaluate how well the applicant met the conditions of the permit or conditions of a prior extension period.

19. Work was accomplished (specified in the Application for Extension of Time) during the original development time frame.
20. During the last extension period, being October 1, 1996 to October 1, 2001, the City accomplished the following:
 - Installed new water mains and replaced water mains;
 - Constructed the Rocky Ridge Reservoir and pump station;
 - Constructed the Terrace Drive Reservoir;
 - Constructed the Winchester Water Treatment Plant (WTP);
 - Made building improvements;
 - Upgraded computer systems;
 - At the Winchester WTP, installed a MIOX Disinfection System, installed a fourth raw water intake pump, and a raw water flow meter; and
 - Acquired additional property at the Winchester WTP site.
21. Since October 1, 2001, the City drafted a Long-Range Water Supply Plan, and upgraded the WTP's solids handling system.
22. According to the City, as of December 1, 2006, they have invested approximately \$35,028,387, which is about 67percent of the total projected cost for complete development of this project. The City estimates a \$17,291,000 investment is needed for the completion of this project. The Department recognizes that while some of these investment costs are unique to construction and development solely under S-44018, other costs included in this accounting are not partitioned out for S-44018 because (1) they are incurred under the development of a water supply system jointly utilized under other rights held by the City, and/or (2) they are generated from individual activities counted towards reasonable diligence and good faith as listed in ORS 690-315-0080(4) which are not associated with just this permit, but with the development and exercise of all the City's water rights.
23. As of October 1, 2001, the City had not diverted any of the 6.0 cfs allowed for beneficial municipal purposes under the terms of this permit.
24. The Department has considered the City's compliance with conditions and did not identify any concerns.

Financial Investment and Cost to Appropriate and Apply Water to a Beneficial Purpose

[OAR 690-315-0080(3)(b)]

25. According to the City, as of December 1, 2006, they have invested approximately \$35,028,387, which is about 67 percent of the total projected cost for complete development of this project. The City estimates a \$17,291,000 investment is needed for the completion of this project.

The Market and Present Demands for Water *[OAR 690-315-0080(3)(d)]*

26. As described in Findings 11 through 17 above, the City has indicated, and the Department finds that the City must rely on full development of their Permit S-44018.
27. The City estimates an annual population growth rate of 1.2 percent per year over a 45 year period, being the years 2005 to 2050.
28. Given the current water supply situation of the City, including current and expected demands, there is a market and present demand for the water to be supplied under Permit S-44018.
29. OAR 690-315-0090(3) requires the Department to place a condition on this extension of time to provide that diversion of any water up to 6.0 cfs under Permit S-44018 shall only be authorized upon issuance of a final order approving a Water Management and Conservation Plan(s) (WMCP) under OAR Chapter 690, Division 86 that grants access to a greater rate of diversion of water under the permit consistent with OAR 690-086-0130(7). A "Development Limitation" condition" is specified under Item 1 of the "Conditions" section of this PFO to meet this requirement.

Fair Return Upon Investment *[OAR 690-315-0080(3)(e)]*

30. Use and income from the permitted water development project would result in reasonable returns upon the investment made in the project to date.

Other Governmental Requirements *[OAR 690-315-0080(3)(f)]*

31. Delays caused by any other governmental requirements in the development of this project have not been identified.

Events which Delayed Development under the Permit *[OAR 690-315-0080(3)(g)]*

32. Delay of development under Permit S-44018 was due, in part, to the size and scope of the municipal water system, which was designed to be phased in over a period of years.

Maintaining the Persistence of Listed Fish Species [OAR 690-315-0080(1)(f) and (2)]

The Department's determination regarding maintaining the persistence of listed fish species shall be based on existing data and advice of the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW). The determination shall be limited to impacts related to stream flow as a result of use of the undeveloped portion of the permit and further limited to where, as a result of use of the undeveloped portion of the permit, ODFW indicates that stream flow would be a limiting factor for the subject listed fish species.

33. The pending municipal Application for Extension of Time for Permit S-44018 was delivered to ODFW on March 21, 2012, for ODFW's review under OAR-690-315-0080.
34. Notification that the pending municipal Application for Extension of Time for Permit S-44018 was delivered to ODFW for review was sent to the City on March 26, 2012.
35. Notification that the pending municipal Application for Extension of Time for Permit S-44018 was delivered to ODFW for review was published in the Department's Public Notice dated March 27, 2012. No public comments were received regarding this notice.
36. On August 27, 2012, the Department received ODFW's Division 315 Fish Persistence Evaluation for Permit S-44018.

37. **Summary and Excerpts of Advice from ODFW:**

Use of water under the portion of this permit that is undeveloped as of October 1, 2001, which is the completion date for application of water to full beneficial use authorized in the most recent extension of time, should be conditioned to maintain the persistence of listed fish species in the portions of waterways affected by water use under the permit. ODFW has determined that the North Umpqua River will be affected by water use under this permit. ODFW's advice is based on the best available information and existing data.

ODFW recognizes that long term climatic variations will affect the amount of water in the system. In favorable water years, fish populations tend to increase and in unfavorable water years, fish populations contract. The long term objective for a listed species is to have the population increase to a sustainable level over time and to be able to maintain itself through natural fluctuations in the environment.

The target flows, in Table 1, below, are ODFW's recommended flows measured at Winchester, Oregon, for maintaining the persistence of listed fish species in the North Umpqua River. ODFW advises the Water Resources Department to develop conditions that allow municipalities to meet their water needs while maintaining the persistence of listed fish species.

The severity of the measures to be taken by the water user should reflect the degree to which the recommended streamflows are being missed and the percentage of water that is withdrawn by the municipality as compared to the overall streamflow level.

Table 1

ODFW's RECOMMENDED FISH PERSISTENCE TARGET FLOWS IN NORTH UMPQUA RIVER AT WINCHESTER, OREGON	
Month	Cubic Feet per Second
January – June	1350
July	1290
August	996
September	982
October	1190
November – December	1350

Streamflow Measurement Point

After analysis of flow records, ODFW has determined that measuring flows at the Winchester gage is sufficient to ensure that target flows to maintain the persistence of listed fish are met within the North Umpqua River. Therefore, ODFW advises the Department to establish the Winchester gage as the point for determining whether target flows are being met for this municipal permit extension.

38. Department's Findings Based on Review of ODFW's Advice:

There is an undeveloped portion of 6.0 cfs of water under Permit S-44018 as per OAR 690-315-0010(6)(g). Authorization to use any water under this permit can only be granted through the Department's review and approval of the municipal water user's future WMCPs (OAR 690-086). When ODFW's recommended target flows are missed, the Department's proposed conditions may result in a reduction in the amount of the undeveloped portion of water under Permit S-44018 that can be diverted. The proposed conditions in this extension of time are based on the following findings:

- a. The target flows needed to maintain the persistence of fish must be determined or measured by the water user at Winchester, Oregon, USGS GAGE No. 14319500, or its equivalent.
- b. When target flows are not met, use of the undeveloped portion of the permit must be reduced in proportion to the degree to which the recommended streamflows are being missed. ODFW's formula for determining the percent shortfall, or missed target flow is defined as:

$$1 - [(Q - E) / Q_T],$$

where Q is the flow at the point of interest, E is the undeveloped portion of the permit, and Q_T is the target flow (from Table 1).

- c. ODFW's advice recognized that the use of the undeveloped portion of the permit represents a little less than 1% of the natural stream flow during the summer months, but a much lower percentage during the winter and spring.
39. The Department finds, based on ODFW's advice, that in the absence of conditions, the use of the undeveloped portion of Permit S-44018 will not maintain the persistence of listed fish species in the portions of the waterways affected by water use under the permit, and as a result of the use of the undeveloped portion of the permit, stream flows would be a limiting factor for the listed fish species.
40. Based on ODFW's advice, the Department proposes to require conditions to maintain, in the portions of the waterways affected by water use under Permit S-44018, the persistence of fish species listed as sensitive, threatened or endangered under state or federal law. (See Item 2 of the "Conditions" section of this PFO.)⁵
41. On July 24, 2014, ODFW notified the Department that the proposed "Conditions to Maintain the Persistence of Listed Fish" for Permit S-44018 are consistent with their advice.
42. On July 29, 2012, the Department notified the City as per OAR 690-315-0080(2)(f) of ODFW's written advice and the "Conditions to Maintain the Persistence of Listed Fish" proposed in this PFO for the pending municipal Application for Extension of Time for Permit S-44018.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The City is entitled to apply for an extension of time to complete construction and/or completely apply water to the full beneficial use pursuant to ORS 537.230(2).
2. The City has submitted a complete extension application form and the fee specified under ORS 536.050(1)(k), as required by OAR 690-315-0080(1)(a).
3. Pursuant to Section 5, Chapter 410, Oregon Laws 2005, the permit holder is not required to demonstrate that actual construction of the project began within one year of the date of issuance of the permit, as otherwise required by OAR 690-315-0080(1)(b).
4. The time requested to complete construction and apply water to full beneficial use is reasonable, as required by OAR 690-315-0080(1)(c).

⁵ The Department, based on advice from the ODFW, has determined that the conditions contained in this PFO are appropriate for this extension. In other municipal extensions that require conditions to maintain the persistence of listed species, different conditions may be warranted depending on the advice received from ODFW and communications with the particular extension applicant.

5. Completion of construction and full application of water to beneficial use can be completed by October 1, 2050⁶, as required by OAR 690-315-0080(1)(d).
6. The Department has considered the reasonable diligence and good faith of the appropriator, the cost to appropriate and apply water to a beneficial purpose, the market and present demands for water to be supplied, the financial investment made and the fair return upon the investment, the requirements of other governmental agencies, and unforeseen events over which the water right permit holder had no control, and the Department has determined that the City has shown good cause for an extension of time to complete construction and to apply the water to full beneficial use pursuant to OAR 690-315-0080(1)(e).
7. As required by OAR 690-315-0090(3) and as described in Finding 29, above, and specified under Item 1 of the "Conditions" section of this PFO, the diversion of any water up to 6.0 cfs under Permit S-44018 shall only be authorized upon issuance of a final order approving a Water Management and Conservation Plan (WMCP) under OAR Chapter 690, Division 86 that grants access to a greater rate of diversion of water under the permit consistent with OAR 690-086-0130(7).
8. In accordance with OAR 690-315-0080(1)(f), and as described in Findings 33 through 42, above, the persistence of listed fish species will not be maintained in the portions of the waterways affected by water use of the undeveloped portion under this municipal use permit, in the absence of special conditions. Therefore, the diversion of water under Permit S-44018 will be subject to the conditions specified under Item 2 of the "Conditions" section of this PFO.

Proposed Order

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Department proposes to issue an order to:

Extend the time to complete construction under Permit S-44018 from October 1, 2001 to October 1, 2050.

Extend the time to apply the water to beneficial use under Permit S-44018 from October 1, 2001 to October 1, 2050.

Subject to the following conditions:

⁶ For permits applied for or received on or before July 9, 1987, upon complete development of the permit, you must notify the Department that the work has been completed and either: (1) hire a water right examiner certified under ORS 537.798 to conduct a survey, the original to be submitted as required by the Department, for issuance of a water right certificate; or (2) continue to appropriate water under the water right permit until the Department conducts a survey and issues a water right certificate under ORS 537.625.

CONDITIONS

1. Development Limitations

No diversion of water is currently allowed under this permit. Diversion of any water up to 6.0 cfs under Permit S-44018 shall only be authorized upon issuance of a final order approving a Water Management and Conservation Plan (WMCP) under OAR Chapter 690, Division 86 that authorizes access to a greater rate of diversion of water under the permit consistent with OAR 690-086-0130(7). The required WMCP shall be submitted to the Department within 3 years of this Final Order. The amount of water used under Permit S-44018 must be consistent with this and subsequent WMCP's approved under OAR Chapter 690, on file with the Department.

The deadline established in the Extension Final Order for submittal of a WMCP shall not relieve a permit holder of any existing or future requirement for submittal of a WMCP at an earlier date as established through other orders of the Department. A WMCP submitted to meet the requirements of the final order may also meet the WMCP submittal requirements of other Department orders.

2. Conditions to Maintain the Persistence of Listed Fish

A. Fish Persistence Target Flows

- a. Fish persistence target flows in the North Umpqua River as recommended by ODFW are in Table 2, below; flows are to be measured in the North Umpqua River at Winchester, Oregon (USGS Gage Number 14319500, or its equivalent).

Table 2

FISH PERSISTENCE TARGET FLOWS IN THE NORTH UMPQUA RIVER MEASURED AT USGS GAGE 14319500, NORTH UMPQUA RIVER AT WINCHESTER, OREGON	
Month	Cubic Feet per Second
January – June	1350
July	1290
August	996
September	982
October	1190
November – December	1350

b. Alternate Streamflow Measurement Point

The location of a target flow measurement point as established in these Conditions to Maintain the Persistence of Listed Fish may be revised if the City provides evidence in writing that ODFW has determined that persistence flows may be measured at an alternate streamflow measurement point and provides an adequate description of the location of the alternate streamflow measurement point, and the Water Resources Director concurs in writing.

B. Determining Water Use Reductions – Generally

The maximum amount of the undeveloped portion of Permit S-44018 that can be diverted as a result of this fish persistence condition is determined in proportion to the amount by which the flows shown in Table 2 are missed based on a seven day rolling average⁷ of mean daily flows as determined or measured by the water user in the North Umpqua River at Winchester (USGS Gage Number 14319500, or its equivalent). The percent of missed target flows is defined as:

$$(1 - [(Q_A - E) / Q_T]) \times 100\%,$$

where Q_A is the actual flow measured at the designated gage based on the seven day rolling average, E is the undeveloped portion of the permit, and Q_T is the target flow (from Table 2).

The percent by which the target flow is missed applied to the undeveloped portion of the permit provides the maximum amount of undeveloped portion of the permit that can be diverted as a result of this fish persistence condition, and is defined as:

$$E - (E \times \% \text{ missed target flows}),$$

where E is the undeveloped portion of the permit, being 6.0 cfs.

When $Q_A - E \geq Q_T$, the amount of the undeveloped portion of the permit that can be diverted would not need to be reduced as a result of this fish persistence condition.

⁷ Alternatively, the water user may use a single daily measurement.

D. Examples

Example 1: Target flow met.

On September 15, the last seven mean daily flows were 975, 990, 1001, 1017, 1015, 1010 and 1008 cfs. The seven day rolling average (Q_A) is 1002 cfs. Given that the undeveloped portion of this permit (E) is 6.0 cfs, then the 7 day average of mean daily flows minus the undeveloped portion is greater than the 982 cfs target flow (Q_T) for September 15. In this example, $Q_A - E \geq Q_T$.

$$1002 - 6.0 \geq 982$$

The amount of the undeveloped portion of the permit that can be diverted would not be reduced because the target flow is considered met.

Example 2: Target flow missed.

Step 1: Given that the undeveloped portion of this permit (E) is 6.0 cfs, if on August 15, the average of the last seven mean daily flows (Q_A) was 800 cfs, and the target flow (Q_T) is 996 cfs, then the target flow would be missed by 20.3%.

$$(1 - [(800.0 - 6.0) / 996.0]) \times 100\% = 20.3\%$$

Step 2: Given that the undeveloped portion of this permit (E) is 6.0 cfs, and the undeveloped portion of the permit needs to be reduced by 20.3% (from Step 1), or 1.2 cfs, then the maximum amount of the undeveloped portion of Permit S-44018 that could be diverted as a result of this fish persistence condition is 4.8 cfs. (This maximum amount may be limited as illustrated in Step 3, below.)

$$(6.0 \times 20.3\%) / 100 = 1.2$$

$$6.0 - 1.2 = 4.8$$

Step 3: The calculated maximum amount of water that could be diverted due to the fish persistence condition may not exceed the amount of water to which the City is legally entitled to divert. In this example, if the amount of water legally authorized for diversion under this permit is 4.0 cfs (for example, authorization provided through a WMCP), then 4.0 cfs would be the maximum amount of diversion allowed under this permit, rather than 4.8 cfs from Step 2.

(Conversely, if the amount of water legally authorized for diversion under this permit is 5.0 cfs, then 4.8 cfs (from Step 2) would be the maximum amount of diversion allowed under this permit.)

DATED: September 29, 2015

*If you have any questions,
please check the information
box on the last page for the
appropriate names and phone
numbers.*



Dwight French
Water Right Services Division Administrator

Proposed Final Order Hearing Rights

1. Under the provisions of OAR 690-315-0100(1) and 690-315-0060, the applicant or any other person adversely affected or aggrieved by the proposed final order may submit a written protest to the proposed final order. The written protest must be received by the Water Resources Department no later than **November 13, 2015**, being 45 days from the date of publication of the proposed final order in the Department's weekly notice.
2. A written protest shall include:
 - a. The name, address and telephone number of the petitioner;
 - b. A description of the petitioner's interest in the proposed final order and if the protestant claims to represent the public interest, a precise statement of the public interest represented;
 - c. A detailed description of how the action proposed in the proposed final order would adversely affect or aggrieve the petitioner's interest;
 - d. A detailed description of how the proposed final order is in error or deficient and how to correct the alleged error or deficiency;
 - e. Any citation of legal authority supporting the petitioner, if known;
 - f. Proof of service of the protest upon the water right permit holder, if petitioner is other than the water right permit holder; and
 - g. The applicant or non-applicant protest fee required under ORS 536.050.
3. Within 60 days after the close of the period for requesting a contested case hearing, the Director shall:
 - a. Issue a final order on the extension request; or
 - b. Schedule a contested case hearing if a protest has been submitted, and:
 - 1) Upon review of the issues, the Director finds there are significant disputes related to the proposed agency action; or
 - 2) The applicant submits a written request for a contested case hearing within 30 days after the close of the period for submitting protests.

NOTICE TO ACTIVE DUTY SERVICEMEMBERS: Active Duty servicemembers have a right to stay these stay these proceedings under federal Servicemembers Civil Relief Act. For more information contact the Oregon State Bar at 800-452-8260, the Oregon Military Department at 800-452-7500 or the nearest United States Armed Forces Legal Assistance Office through <http://legalassistance.law.of.mil>

If you have any questions about statements contained in this document, please contact Ann L. Reece at 503-986-0834.

If you have questions about how to file a protest or if you have previously filed a protest and you want to know the status, please contact Patricia McCarty at 503-986-0820.

If you have any questions about the Department or any of its programs, please contact our Water Resources Customer Service Group at 503-986-0801.

Address any correspondence to: Water Right Services Division
725 Summer St NE, Suite A
Salem, OR 97301-1266
Fax: 503-986-0901
