#### WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

October 20 .20 15

TO: Application G- 18/36

FROM: GW: <u>M. Thoma</u> (Reviewer's Name)

### **SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation**

	YES	
Ø	NO	The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway

- YES
  Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)
  ☑ NO
- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.
- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.

#### DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in \_\_\_\_\_\_ Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
						1		_			

PUBLIC IN	TEREST REVIEW FOR GR	OUNDWATER APPLICATIONS
TO:	Water Rights Section	Date October 20, 2015
FROM:	Groundwater Section	Michael J. Thoma
SUBJECT:	Application G- 18136	Supersedes review of Date of Review(s)
<b>PUBLIC IN</b> OAR 690-310 welfare, safety to determine w the presumption A. <u>GENER</u>	<b>TEREST PRESUMPTION: G</b> <b>-130 (1)</b> The Department shall pre- <i>e and health as described in ORS 5.</i> whether the presumption is establish on criteria. <b>This review is based up</b> <b>AL INFORMATION:</b> App	<b>ROUNDWATER</b> sume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public 37.525. Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-14 ed. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to me pon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation dicant's Name: Linda Sims / Vollstedt Farms County: Benton
A1. Appl	icant(s) seek(s) _0.61 cfs from	1 well(s) in the Willamette B

## iton

Basin, subbasin

Proposed use Irrigation (48.62 ac Primary) A2.

Seasonality: April 1 – October 31 (213 d)

#### A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	BENT 1614 <sup>a</sup>	1	Alluvium	0.61	10S/04W-25 SESE	580 ft N, 860 ft W of SW cor S30
2						

\* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	190	25	20.5	10/16/75	50	0-20	+1-38		27-38	350	13	pump

Use data from application for proposed wells.

- A4. Comments: <sup>a</sup>The well listed on the application is registered to an adjacent Township-Range-Section than the well location provided on the application map. However, OWRD staff has confirmed with the applicant that BENT 1614 is the correct well.
- A5. Provisions of the Willamette (OAR 690-502-0010) Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water  $\Box$  are, or  $\boxtimes$  are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Comments: The proposed POA is located > 1/4 mile from surface water so provisions do not apply

A6. Well(s) # \_\_\_\_

\_, \_\_\_\_, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.

Name of administrative area: Comments:

B2.

2

#### B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater\* for the proposed use:
  - a. **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, *or* **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. \* This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - b. will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. \* This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - c.  $\Box$  will not or  $\boxtimes$  will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
  - d. 🛛 will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
    - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) <u>Medium water use reporting</u>
    - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
    - iii.  $\Box$  The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;

b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;

- c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately\_\_\_\_\_\_ft. and \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ft. below land surface;
- d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

**Describe injury** –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** The proposed POA (BENT 1614) is a shallow well completed within the shallow alluvial sediments of the Willamette Valley floodplain. This alluvial aquifer is strongly hydraulically connected to the Willamette River and maintains stable water levels coincident with river stage (see attached hydrograph). The aquifer is generally unconfined and is very productive so there is little concern of well-to-well interference in this area.

#### C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Floodplain Alluvium		$\boxtimes$

**Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:** <u>Reported SWL in similar to "First Water" identified on drillers log for BENT</u> 1614 and other wells in the area of similar depth show similar relationships between First Water and SWL.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Main stem of Willamette River	165	165	2780		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>SWL is coincident with surface water elevation and the aquifer is</u> unconfined.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>Willamette R > Columbia R – AB Mill Cr At Gage 14191000</u> (Watershed ID# 183)

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1			MF183A	1300		3620		~11%	

C3b. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts <u>by total appropriation</u> for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

**Comments:** <u>An analytical model (Hunt, 1999) was used to estimate interference with surface water using parameter estimates</u> from Herrera et al., (2014). Results (see attachment) confirm conceptual understanding of behavior in an unconfined alluvial aquifer system with high transmissivity.

Page

4

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	) as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Wel	le											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	) as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.										-		
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
		T						1		-			
(D) =	$(\mathbf{A}) > (\mathbf{C})$												
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
(A) = tota	al interferen	ce as CFS:	(B) = WA	B calculat	ed natural	flow at 809	6 exceed. a	as CFS: (C	) = 1% of (	alculated i	natural floy	v at 80% ey	ceed, as

(A) = total interference as CF3; (B) = wAB calculated natural now at 30% exceed, as CF3; (C) = 1% of calculated natural now at 80% exceed, as CF3; (C) = 1% of calculated nat 1% of calculated natural now at 80% exceed, as CF3; (C) = 1%

# C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

- i. The permit should contain condition #(s)\_
- ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions: <u>Although the proposed aquifer is strongly hydraulically connected to the Willamette</u> <u>River, the size of the river and nature of the aquifer suggest that there will not be significant interference with surface water per</u> OAR 690-009.

#### **References Used:**

Hunt, B. 1999. Unsteady stream depletion from ground water pumping. Ground Water v37(1). p 98-102

Herrera, N. B., Burns, E. R., and T. D. Conlon. Simulation of Groundwater Flow and the Interaction of Groundwater and Surface Water in the Willamette Basin and Central Willamette Subbasin, Oregon. USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2014-5136

pplica	tion G-18136			Date: 10/2	20/2015	Page 4
). <u>WE</u>	LL CONSTRUC	<u>FION, OAR 690-200</u>				
1.	Well #:	Log	id:			
2.	THE WELL does      a.    review of 1      b.    field inspective      c.    report of C      d.    other: (spective)	not appear to meet curre the well log; ection by CWRE ecify)	nt well constructio	n standards based upo	on:	
3.	THE WELL const	truction deficiency or oth	er comment is desc	ribed as follows:		
4.	] Route to the Well	Construction and Comp	liance Section for a	review of existing we	ll construction.	
ater a	Availability Tables					
		WILLAMETTE R > CC	UMBIA R - AB M WILLAMETTE BA	ILL CR AT GAGE 141	91000	
		Wate	r Availability as of	10/13/2015		
Water	rshed ID #: 183 (Ma	( <b>q</b> )			Exceedance	Level: 80% -
Date:	10/13/2015					Time: 5:36 PM
Meter	- Availability Calaviat	Computer Hone	and Chamman Inst	nam Flaus Dansimus auto	Duran I	
vvate	r Availability Galculat	Consumptive Uses a	ind storages inst	eam riow Requirements	d Characteristics	auons
		water Rights		vyatersne	d Characteristics	
		Water / Monthly St Annual Volu	Availability ( reamflow in Cubic me at 50% Exceed	Calculation Feet per Second ance in Acre-Feet		
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	18,400.00	2,230.00	16,200.00	0.00	1,300.00	14,900.00
FEE	3 20,100.00	7,420.00	12,700.00	0.00	1,300.00	11,400.00
MAR	19,600.00	7,210.00	12,400.00	0.00	1,300.00	11,100.00
APR	18,000.00	6,870.00	11,100.00	0.00	1,300.00	9,830.00
MAY	15,500.00	4,160.00	11.300.00	0.00	1,300.00	10.000.00
JUN	8,310.00	1,690.00	6,620.00	0.00	1,300.00	5,320.00
JUL	4,710.00	1,450.00	3,260.00	0.00	1,300.00	1,960.00
AUG	3,620.00	1.330.00	2,290.00	0.00	1,300.00	991.00
SEF	3,680.00	1.150.00	2,530.00	0.00	1,300.00	1,230.00
007	4 650 00	745.00	3 910 00	0.00	1 200 00	0.040.00
UUI	4,000.00	140.00	0,010.00	0.00	1,000.00	2,610.00
NOV	9,400.00	851.00	8,550.00	0.00	1,300.00	7,250.00

2,150,000.00 11,300,000.00

ANN

13,500,000.00

10,400,000.00

942,000.00

0.00



9

Page



-

#### **Results of Stream Depletion Modeling (Hunt, 1999)**



Page

8