

Oregon Water Resources Department 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A Salem, Oregon 97301-1271 (503) 986-0900 www.wrd.state.or.us

Watermaster Review Form: Water Right Transfer

Transfer Application: T-10344	Review Due Date: 4-25-07				
Applicant Name: <u>Linden Knapp</u>					
Proposed Changes: POU POD					
Reviewer(s): <u>Tony Justus</u>	Date of Review: Apr. 3, 2007				
	water rights may be subject to forfeiture? formation and indicate if you intend to file a al time to determine if a cancellation affidavit				
Is there a history of regulation on the source that serves the right proposed for transfer that has involved the right and downstream water rights? Yes No Generally characterize the frequency of any regulation or explain why regulation has not occurred: We have not regulated the shallow gravel aquifer.					
3. Check here if it appears that downstream wat from the current use of the right? If you locations where the return flows likely occur	check the box, generally characterize the				
4. Are there upstream water rights that would be Yes No If "Yes", describe how the most affected: NA	e affected by the proposed change? he rights would be affected and list the rights				
For POD changes and instream transfers, check here if there are channel losses between the old and new PODs or within the proposed instream reach? If you check the box, describe and, if possible, estimate the losses: NA					
Would distribution of water for the right after the proposed change result in regulation of other water rights that would not have occurred if use of the existing right was maximized? Yes No If "Yes", explain: Don't know.					
7. For POU changes, would the original place o source? Yes No N/A If "Y	of use continue to receive water from the same Yes", explain:				
8. For POU or USE changes, would use of the ediversion of more water than can be used ben If "Yes", explain:	existing right at "full face value," result in the neficially and without waste? Yes No				
9. Are there other issues not identified through the "Yes", explain: Is there potential for interference.					

RECEIVED

APR 05 2007

11/27/2006

Watermaster Review Form

Transfer Application: T-10344

10.	What alternatives may be available for addressing any issues identified above: subordinate if
	interference is shown to exist.
11.	Have headgate notices been issued for the source that serves the right? Yes No
12	What water control and measurement conditions should be included in the transfer:

Measurement Devices	Present and should be maintained.	Should be required prior to diverting water.	May be required in the future.		
Headgates	Present and should be maintained.	Should be required prior to diverting water.	May be required in the future.		

RECEIVED

APR 05 2007