WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT MEMO

May 20,2015

TO:	Application G	17982	
FROM:	Phillip	Marcy	Groundwater Section
SUBJECT	Scenic Waterway	v Interference F	valuation

____YES __K_NO

The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway



Use the Scenic Waterway condition (condition 7J)

Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is able to calculate groundwater interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference distribution is provided below.

Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate groundwater interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate interference as the monthly fraction of the annual consumptive use and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.839, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing the Water Rights Section that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in the ______ Scenic Waterway by the following amounts, expressed as a proportion of the annual consumptive use pumped from the well.

Monthly Fraction of Annual Consumptive Use

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

.

TO:		Wat	er Rights S	Section				Date	e05/	/20/20	15				
FROM	/ 1:	Grou	undwater S	ection		Philli	p I. Marcv	/ Ivan K. Ga	.11						
OUD	COT		1	17000		Revi	iewer's Name								
SUBJ	ECT:	App	lication G-	17982		Date of Review(s)									
DUDI		EDEC		A IDTION	CROUN						Dute of he	(10)			
POBI OAR welfard to dete the pre	690-310-1 e, safety a rmine who sumption	30 (1) nd hea ether there is a criteri	The Depart The Depart alth as descr he presumpt a. This revi	tment shall p ribed in ORS tion is establi iew is based	shed. OAR	<i>a propos</i> epartment . 690-310- able infor	<u>k</u> ed groundw t staff review 140 allows rmation and	ater use will e w groundwate the proposed d agency poli	ensure th r applica use be m cies in p	tions undified	ervation of inder OA d or condit t the time	of the pul R 690-31 itioned to e of evalu	olic 0-140 o meet pation.		
A. <u>GE</u>	ENERAL	INF	ORMATI	ON: A	oplicant's N	lame:	Nick Devo	DS		(County:	Malheu	r		
A1.	Applica	nnt(s) s	eek(s) <u>0.7</u>	3 cfs from	n <u>1</u>	well	(s) in the	Malheur					_ Basin,		
						subb	asin Qu	ad Map: Ho	ope Butte	9	•				
A2. A3.	Propose Well an	ed use_ id aqui	Supplement fer data (at	ntal Irrigation tach and nur	n (58.4 acre mber logs f	<u>s)</u> Seas for existin	sonality: g wells; ma	April 1 st – (ark proposed	October 3 wells as	31 st (21 such	4 days) under log	gid):			
Well	Logi	Applicant's Proposed Aquifer* Proposed Location									Location, metes and bounds, e.g.				
1	MALH 5	4206	Well #	Sedime	entary Rocks	Rate	(cfs)	(T/R-S QQ-Q)		2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S			cor S 36		
2	MILDITO										70 0, 2545		, 012		
3							-								
5															
* Alluv	ium, CRB,	Bedro	ck												
Well	Well Elev	Firs Wate	t SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth	Seal Interval	Casing Intervals	Liner Intervals	Perfora Or Scr	tions	Well Yield	Draw Down	Test Type		
1	ft msl	ft bl	s 55	08/06/2014	(ft) 200	(ft) 0-20	(ft) +1.5-32	(ft) NA	(ft) NA)	(gpm) 300	(ft) 96	Pump		
	2310	01	55	00/00/2011	200	0.20	11.000						- unip		
			_												
Use dat	a from app	lication	for propose	d wells.						-					
A4.	Comm was dri	ents: _' lled in	The propose 2014, and u	ed POA draw used under en	s groundwa	ater from s rought per	silts, sands, mit G-1727	and gravels of 4.	f the Gle	nns Fe	rry Form	ation. Th	is well		
A5. 🛛	Provis manage (Not all Comme	ions of ment of basin ents:	f the Malhe of groundwa rules contai	ur (OAR 690 ater hydraulio in such provi	0-510) cally connects sions.)	cted to sur	Basin ro	ules relative to	o the dev are not	elopm , activ	ent, class ated by th	ification iis applic	and/or átion.		
A6. 🗌	Well(s) Name c Comme	# of admi ents:	inistrative a	, ,,,	9	9	, ta	ıp(s) an aquife	er limited	l by an	administ	rative res	striction.		

Page

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
 - a. **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, *or* **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. \square will not or \boxtimes will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
 - d. will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 i. The permit should contain condition #(s) <u>7P-well tag condition; 7T-measuring tube condition;</u>
 <u>7N- modified annual measurement condition (see C6)</u>
 - ii.
 The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii.
 The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately______ft. and ______ft. below land surface;
 - d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** The existing well (MALH 54206) will produce from lacustrine and fluvial sediments assigned to the Glenns Ferry formation by Ferns et al. (1993) and part of the Lake Idaho Group. These sediments consist mainly of lacustrine silt and clay but contain numerous lenses of mixed coarse sand and gravel deposits – which make up the most productive parts of the aquifer.

Groundwater elevations in this area have remained stable in wells where long-term records have been maintained (Figure 2). To this point, groundwater development has been fairly limited in this area, and water is likely available without injury to nearby groundwater rights.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Sand and gravel lenses of the Glenns Ferry Formation		\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: The complex assemblage of volcaniclastic and fluvial sediments in the area creates a groundwater flow system that is likely quite heterogeneous. Therefore, the degree of confinement may be highly localized as evidenced by common hydraulic head elevations occurring at vastly different elevations of water-bearing zones. Although considerable silt / clay layers may exist, the sediments are generally unconfined to leaky-confined based on first-water vs. SWL and according to Gannett (1990).

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential Subst. Int Assume YES	l for erfer. ed? NO
1	1	Willow Creek	2491	2384	12400			\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>The perennial reach of Kern Creek begins immediately downslope of the Vale Oregon Main Canal, and is fed primarily by irrigation runoff (personal communication with Ron Jacobs, 05/19/2015) and so will not be evaluated for PSI. The common elevations of surface and groundwater below the canal may exhibit an artificial gradient imposed by canal leakage and infiltration of excess irrigation water.</u>

Gannett (1990) indicates the valleys in the Vale-Ontario area are groundwater discharge areas and there is an upward gradient from the Glenns Ferry Formation to the alluvium above which is hydraulically connected to the Malheur River and its tributaries, including Willow Creek.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>Kern Creek > Willow Creek - At Mouth (31011903)</u>

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ⊠ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
						· ·				

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1								

Comments: There are no perennial streams within 1 mile of the proposed POA.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1	1	%	%	%	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.03%	0.17%	0.49%	1.02%	1.74%	2.60%	3.57%
Well Q	as CFS		1		0.73	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.73		
Interfer	ence CFS				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.001	0.004	0.007	0.013	0.019	0.026
Distrib	uted Well	s											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Well () as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS							_					
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well () as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
												0.010	
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.001	0.004	0.007	0.013	0.019	0.026
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q	13.7	32.5	54.4	71.4	58.7	44.3	15.4	6.52	4.45	6.77	7.26	9.14
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q	.137	.325	.544	.714	.587	.443	.154	.065	.045	.068	.073	.091
(D) = ((A) > (C)	~	V	~	1	~	4	1	1	× .	V	Ý	1
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.65%	6.15%	15.6%	19.1%	26.0%	28.6%

(Å) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: <u>The impacts to Willow Creek resulting from pumping at the proposed POA were evaluated using the model of Hunt (1999).</u> Two pump tests within 1 mile of the proposed POA provided estimates of transmissivity of between 1,400 and 1,500 ft²/day. Calculated results at a distance of 12,400 feet show that interference to Willow Creek is expected to be less than 1 percent of 80 percent of natural stream flow for any month during the first year of pumping (Figure 3), and therefore will not trigger PSI.

C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

i. The permit should contain condition #(s)_

ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is inefficiently hydraulically connected to local surface waters. Leakage from Vale Oregon Main Canal, in addition to excess irrigation runoff, likely provides significant recharge to the local shallow aquifer system, and at a distance of 50 feet from the canal, to the applicant's well (MALH 54206). During drought years, flow through the canal is reduced, and according to our conceptual model will contribute a lower proportion of recharge to the shallow aquifer system.

If approved, the permit shall contain the following conditions: 7P – Well tag condition; 7T – measuring tube condition;

Modified Condition 7N – The water user shall discontinue the use of, or reduce the rate or volume of withdrawal from, the well(s) if any of the following events occur:

- A. <u>Annual water-level measurements reveal an average water-level decline of two or more feet per year for three</u> consecutive years; or
- B. Annual water-level measurements reveal a water level decline of 6 or more feet in fewer than five consecutive years; or
- C. Annual water-level measurements reveal a water-level decline of 10 or more feet; or
- D. <u>Hydraulic interference leads to a decline of 10 or more feet in any neighboring well with senior priority.</u>

References Used:

Gannett, M. W. 1990. Hydrogeology of the Ontario Area Malheur County, Oregon. Oregon Water Resources Dept. Ground Water Report No. 34. 39p.

Ferns. M.L., H.C. Brooks, J.G. Evans, M.L. Cummings. 1993. Geologic map of the Vale 30x60 minute quadrangle, Malheur County, Oregon and Owyhee County, Idaho. Oregon Dept. of Geology and Mineral Industries Geological Map Series 77.

Hunt, B., 1999, Unsteady stream depletion from ground water pumping: Ground Water, v. 37, no. 1, p. 98-102

Local well logs, Application file G-17982, Application review file G-17882

Well logs attached: MALH 54206 MALH 362 MALH 53541

Page

6

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D4. 🔲 Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Water Availability Tables

1		DETAILED REPORT	ON THE WATER AVAILA	ABILITY CALCULATIO	N		
Watershed ID Tíme: 4:00 PM	#: 31011901	WILL	OW CR > MALHEUR R - Basin: MALHEU	Excee	Exceedance Level: 80 Date: 05/19/2015		
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirements	Net Water Available	
		Storage is	Monthly values a the annual amount at	are in cfs. 50% exceedance i	n ac-ft.		
JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC	13.70 32.50 54.40 71.40 58.70 44.30 15.40 6.52 4.45 6.77 7.26 9.14	22.00 82.70 141.00 182.00 215.00 182.00 96.10 60.40 40.20 7.92 11.60 14.60	-8.28 -50.20 -86.30 -110.00 -157.00 -138.00 -80.70 -53.80 -35.70 -1.15 -4.37 -5.42	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	-8.28 -50.20 -86.30 -110.00 -157.00 -138.00 -80.70 -53.80 -35.70 -1.15 -4.37 -5.42	



Figure 1: Location of proposed POA for application G 17982, in relation to Kern Creek and nearby wells.



Page



Figure 2: Historical groundwater elevations for several wells nearby MALH 54206, which has a static groundwater elevation of 2491'.



i ransient Stream Debieuon (Jenkins, 1970; Runt, 1	1999)	í.
--	-------	----

Output for Hu	nt Strea	m Depl	etion, S	Sceneri	o 2 (s2	Time pu	mp on =	= 214 da	iys			
Days	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300	330	360
Qw, cfs	0.730	0.730	0.730	0.730	0.730	0.730	0.730	0.730	0.730	0.730	0.730	0.730
Jenk SD s2 %	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.27	0.73	1.43	2.33	3.39	4.55	5.73	6.81	7.67
Jen SD s2 cfs	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.005	0.010	0.017	0.025	0.033	0.042	0.050	0.056
Hunt SD s2%	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.10	0.32	0.68	1.19	1.83	2.57	3.38	4.18	4.91
Hunt SD s2 cfs	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.005	0.009	0.013	0.019	0.025	0.031	0.036
Hunt SD s2 cfs	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.005	0.009	0.013	0.019	0.025	0.031	

Parameters:		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Units
Net steady pumping rate	Qw	0.73	0.73	0.73	cfs
Distance to stream	a	5500	5500	5500	ft
Aquifer hydraulic conductivity	K	10	10	10	ft/day
Aquifer thickness	Ь	140	140	140	ft
Aquifer transmissivity	T	1400	1400	1400	ft"ft/day
Aquifer storage coefficient	S	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Stream width	WS	10	10	10	ft
Streambed hydraulic conductivity	Ks	1	1	1	ft/day
Streambed thickness	bs	3	3	3	ft
Streambed conductance	sbo	3.333333333	3.3333333333	3.333333333	ft/day
Stream depletion factor (Jenkins)	sdf	2160.714286	2160.714286	2160.714286	days
Streambed factor (Hunt)	sbf	13.0952381	13.0952381	13.0952381	

Figure 3: Hunt (1999) model parameters and results for expected impacts to Kern Creek from pumping at the proposed POA.

.

10

STATE OF OREGON MALH 54	NIALH 5920 WELL LABEL # L 1/4926
as required by ORS \$37.765 & OAR 690-205-0210)	START CARD # 21/240
I) LAND OWNER Owner Well I.D. First Name OV/CE Last Name OR VOS	(9) LOCATION OF WELL (legal description) County Malheur Twp 17 Nor Strange 43 (E)r W W
Larres as Tallow We W State OR Zip 979/8	Sec 12 1/4 of the NW 1/4 Tax Lot 4500
2) TYPE OF WORK IN New Well Deepening Conversion	Lat <u>44°</u> / <u>0'6939</u> or DMS or Long <u>// Z° 3 97496</u> or DMS or DMS or
3) DRILL METHOD Cable Auger Cable Mud Reverse Rotary Cable Other	Street Address of Well (or nearest address) 2455 700 AVE W
4) PROPOSED USE Domestic Infigation Community Industrial/Commercial Livestock Dewatering Injection Thermal Other Other Other	Date SWL(psi) * SWL(h) Existing Well/Predeepening
5) BORE HOLE CONSTRUCTION Special Standard: Yes (attach copy) Septh of Completed Well 200 ft.	WATER BEARING ZONES Depth water was first found 62'
BORE HOLE SEAL Dia From To Material From To Amount Scks/Ibs	
10° 13.5 20' 3/ clip: 12° 20 200	125 128 Chang
iow was seal placed: Method A B C D E	(11) WELL LOG Ground Elevation 2548 Material From To
ackfill placed from ft. to ft. Material	top Soil On H'
itter pack from ft. to ft. Material Size	Recom Clay Mix fine asavel 5' 13'
xplosives used: Yes Type Amount	Hard Bin clay 13' 33'
6) CASING/LINER	En acquel 40 41'
ang Linr Dia + From To, Gauge Steel Plastic Welded Thrd	LABER Clay fine grave 41' 53'
Above in will a 1	Brown Clay 53 62
ground	Read Clay 13' 91'
	I me acardel 91 98'
	Browin Clay 98' 116
	Sand - Eine gravel 116 120'
hoe I Inside Outside Other Location of shoe(s)	Grown Clay 120 125
emporary casing [] Yes Diameter From To	Brown Clar - Fine soud 124 200
D PERFORATIONS/SCREENS	Date Started Tuly 126 Stams Completed Aug 1 2014
erforations Method	
Streens Type Material Perf Scrn Csng Linr Screen Dia From To width Ingth Slot # of pipc	I certify that the work 1 performed on the construction abandomment of this well is in compliance with Oregon time tupped will Ov construction standards. Materials used and information reported above are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.
	License Number Date Date Date
	Signed SALEM, OR
b) WELL TESTS: Minimum testing time is 1 hour	(bonded) Water Well Constructor Certification
Pump Bailer Air Flowing Artesian	I accept responsibility for the construction, deepening, alteration, or
Yield gal/min Drawdown Drill stem/Pump depth Duration (hr)	above. All work performed during this time is in compliance with Oregon water
300 26 100 4 45	supply well construction standards. This report is true to the best of my knowled
	and belief.
	License Number Date
emperature	
rater quality concerns? Ves (describe below)	Signed Miss Jam
Teleperature 'F Lab analysis Tele By Tater quality concerns? Yes (describe below) From To Description Amount Units	Signed

THIS REPORT MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS OF COMPLETION OF WORK

11

WATER WELL REPORT	RECEIVED	175/43E-	12 ca
STATE OF OREGON	NEVEIVEV.		
Malh	NOV 2 4 1982 State Permit	No	
262 PLEASE TYPE	WATER RESOURCES DEPT.		
AND AND THE STATE OF A	(10) LOCATOS OF WELL		
I) UWNER:		and the second second	
Name JAMES F. Comprord	ALE H Shi Heading 12 T	well number	-
	Tax Lot # Lot R	K Subdivision	W.AL
ator VALE State DAG = 114	Address at well location: Same.	Cubul Vision	
2) TYPE OF WORK (check):	en no		
New Well K Deepening C Reconditioning C Abandon C	(11) WATER LEVEL: Complete	d well.	
f abandonment, describe material and procedure in Item 12.	Depth at which water was first found	115	
(3) TYPE OF WELL: (4) PROPOSED USE (check):	Static level 74 ft.be	iow land surface. Date	0.10.87
Rotary Air D Driven D Dessetic Q Industrial D Municipal D	Artesian pressure	be. per square inch. Date	
Retery Med Dag D Brigation JB Tust Well D Other D	(19) WELLLOG	18"	
	Depth drilled 270 ft. Dep	th of completed well	3A h
	Formation: Describe color, texture, grain size and	structure of materials	and show
1.2. Diam from	thickness and nature of each stratum and aquifer for each change of formation. Roport each chang and indicate principal water-bearing strata.	penetrated, with at least to imposition of Static W	t one entry ater Level
LINER INSTALLED:	MATERIAL	Press To	SWL
Diam. from	The Soil	04	
DEDEORATIONS	CLAY. LT. GREY	4 25	
(0) PERFORATIONS: Performed? The Die	CLAY LT. BROWN	25 41	
Size of perforations 1/d in by 3 in.	CLAY - GREY	41 115	
240 perforations from 115 11 to 125 11	GRAVEL FINE	115 119	76
480 perforations from 134 12 to 1.54 12	GLAY GREY	119 140	76
perforations from	GRAVEL FINE	140 153	76
SCREENS. Well and fastilist O Ver ON	CLAY - 4- SAND	153 160	76
(7) SCREENS: Well areas installed: O fee D No	CLAP LT. ISROWN	160 198	76
Name Madel No.	GRADAL SING	212 213	10
Diere Sist Size Set from ft. to	CLAU GRAU	633 245	-16-
Diam. Slot Sine	LAN BLUE	345 270	76
(8) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level			
"'ss a pump test made? NYes DNo If yes, by whom? DRILLER			
the 450 gal/min with 124 ft. drawdown after 2 hrs.			
Air test gal/min. with drill stem at ft. hrs.			
Bailer test gal/min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.			
teelan flow g.p.m.			
.mperature of water 59 Depth artesian flow encountered	Work started 9-30 1982 Con	pleted 10-20	1982
(9) CONSTRUCTION: Special standards: Yes D No D	Date well drilling machine moved off of well	0-21	1982
Well seal-Material used C.C.M.B.NT	(unbonded) Water Well Constructor Ce	rtification (if appli	cable):
Well sealed from land surface to	This well was constructed under my dir	ect supervision. Mate	rials used
Diameter of well bore to bottom of soal	Ind information reported above are true to	my beet knowledge at	sd beiser.
Diameter of well bure bolow seal I. w in.			-,
Number of eacks of cement used in well seal	Bonded Water Well Constructor Certif Bond 201077951 Issued by: 1341	CHABY.IN	s
and ha lle speciel has a haven and a space of the solution of the solution of the solution of the species of th	This well was drilled under my jurisdi	ction and this report	is true to
New comment installed? These LCD Parts A	the best of my knowledge and belief.		
Was a drive abox used? Yes MNo Plans	(Person, firm or serparation)	Type	er jeriet)
Did any strata contain unuseble water? O Yes KNo	Address P.D. Bey - 94 - JAI	MICSON, ORI	2 9.7%
Type of Water? depth of strate	[Stered] Starry Sch	llan	
Method of sealing strate off	d Water Well Cy	affecter .	
Was well gravel packed? O Yes O No Size of gravel:	Dute	11-19	19.83
Gravel placed from			
NOTICE TO WATER WELL CONSTRUCTOR	WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT SALEM, ORIGON \$7310	r, #	
are to be filed with the	within 30 days from the date of well completi		

Company Address

16

Other

Backfill placed from _

(6) CASING/LINER

Filter pack from ____

Cang Linr Dia

From

City .

MALH 53541

1918

Amount Scks/lb 50

has

Sec

STATE OF OREGON WATER SUPPLY WELL REPORT (as required by ORS 537.765 & OAR 690-205-0210)

aubo

(1) LAND OWNER

P

Depth of Completed Well 300 ft. BORE HOLE

eal placed: Meti

Explosives used: Yes Type .

300

Method

_ ft to _

Shoe I Inside Outside Other Location of shoe(s)_

+ From

243

Temporary casing Yes Diameter

A. 10

To

25

Instructions for completing this report are on the last page of this form

nau

laterial

entonc

Surface

R. Material

Gauge

200

From

ft. Material

Name

AV

State

C. Owner Well I.D. 8885

2

SEAL

DA QB DC DD DE

Amount

76

Size

Steel Plastic Welded Thrd

25

To

SIZC

Zip

	WELL LABEL # L 00031	
	START CARD# 198666	
(9) LOCATI	DN OF WELL (legal description)	r W. W.M.
Sec. 1	SE Manfilde Stand Towlad DLC	0

MALH 53541

08001

(2) TYPE OF WORK	(XNew Well	Deepenin		Lat		Lot	DMS or DD DMS or DD
(3) DRJLL METHOD Rotary Air Rotar Reverse Rotary	y Mud XCa	ble Auger	Cable Mud	Street Address of Well (or near	rel address) 241 1e, OR VEL	2 9 m	Ave
(4) PROPOSED USE Industrial/Commercial Thermal	Domestic Livestock	Irrigation Dewatering	Community Injection	Existing Well/Predeepening Completed Well	3004	WL(psi) +	SWL (f)
(5) BORE HOLE CON	STRUCTION	Special Standar	d: Yes (attach copy)	Flowing WATER BEARING ZONES	Artesian? Yes S Depth water w	Dry Hole?	145/+

SWL Date	From	To	Est Flow	SWL (psi)	+	SWL (A)	
3-23-09 145		300 300+				38:2'	

(11) WELL LOG **Ground Elevation**

(unbonded) Water Well Constructor Certification

the best of my knowledge and belief.

License Number

Signed

Material	From	To
topsoil	0	3
havelpan	3	5
Bucley	5	145
Blue Canty Chy Pageraul	145	300
F	ECEIVED	
	JUN 0 8 2009	
WATE	RESOURCES DEPT	
Date Started 2 -12-09	Completed 3-28	-09

I certify that the work I performed on the construction, deepening, alteration, or

Date APR 29 2009

WATER RESOURCES DEPT

construction standards. Materials used and informat RECEIVED true to

abandonment of this well is in compliance with Oregon water supply well

(bonded) Water Well Constructor Certification SALEM, OREGON I accept responsibility for the construction, deepening, alteration, or

(7) PERFORATIONS/SCREENS Perforations Method ____ Material Screens Type Tele/ Screen/ slot Screen Slot # of pipe Perf Scrn Cang Linr Dia From To width slots length

Pump	Bailer	Air	Flowing	Artesian
Yield gal/min	Drawdown	Drill stem/Pum	p depth	Duration (hr)
50+	400			24
	Z IF Laborate			
emperature 6	F Lab analy	sis Yes By_	I	

abandonment w above. All work	ork performed on this we k performed during this to	Il during the const me is in compliant	ruction dates reported ce with Oregon water
supply well con and belief.	struction standards. This	report is true to th	e best of my knowledg
License Number	1485	Date 4.	-12-09
Signed (Im M Ti	6	

ORIGINAL - WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT ONE COPY FOR CONSTRUCTOR ONE COPY FOR CUSTOMER 10/10/2006 THIS REPORT MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS OF COMPLETION OF WORK

Contact Info. (optional)