PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

ТО:	O: Water Rights Section							Date	e I	<u> Decemb</u>	er 18,	2015	
FROM	:	Groun	dwater S	ection									
SUBJE	UBJECT: Application G- <u>18131</u>					Reviewer's Name Supersedes review of Date of Review(s)							
OAR 69 welfare, to deter	90-310-1 , safety a mine who sumption	30 (1) Tond health ether the criteria.	he Depart h as descr presumpt	ibed in ORS ion is establ ew is based	resume that 537.525. D ished. OAR upon avail	a propos epartment 690-310- able infor	ed groundwa t staff review 140 allows t rmation and	ater use will over a groundwate the proposed a agency police that the base of the control of the	er application use be moderated in the contract of the contrac	ons undedified or ace at the	er OAF r condi ne time	R 690-31 tioned to of evalu	0-140 meet ation.
A1.	Applica	ant(s) see	ek(s) <u>0.0</u>	of the officers of the officer	m <u>1</u>	well	(s) in the	Umatilla					_Basin,
						subb	asin						
A2.	Propose	ed use	Irr	rigation		Seas	sonality: <u>N</u>	<u> </u>	October 3	1			
A3.	Well ar	nd aquife	r data (at t	ach and nu	mber logs f	or existin	ng wells; ma	rk proposed	l wells as	such und	der log	id):	
Well	Logi		Applicant Well #	's Propos	sed Aquifer*		oosed e(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ		Location, metes and bounds, e. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 3			
1 2	UMAT 5	5551	1	A	lluvium	0.0)37	4N/29E-6 NW					or S 6
3 4													
5	um, CRB,	Bedrock											
	Well	First	<u> </u>	CIVII	Well	Seal	Casing	Liner	Perforati	ions	Well	Draw	T
Well	Elev ft msl	Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Depth (ft)	Interval (ft)	Intervals (ft)	Intervals (ft)	Or Scre (ft)		Yield gpm)	Down (ft)	Test Type
1	463	42	15	5/28/91	51	0-20	+1-48				35		A
Use data	from app	lication f	or proposed	d wells.									
A4.	Comm	ents:											
A5. 🛛	Provis	ions of t	he <u>Umati</u>	lla River			Basin r	ules relative t	o the deve	lopment	, classi	fication	and/or
	manage	ement of	groundwa	nter hydrauli n such provi	cally connec	cted to sur	rface water	are, or	are not,	activated	d by th	is applica	ation.
						his applic	ation.						
A6. 🗌	Well(s)	#		,,	,	,	, ta	p(s) an aquif	er limited	by an ad	ministr	ative res	triction.
	Name of	of admin	istrative a	rea:									
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·			·				

Version: 04/20/2015

Application G-18131 Date: December 18, 2015

Version: 04/20/2015

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

a. □ is over appropriated, □ is not over appropriated, or ☑ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130; b. □ will not or □ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130; c. □ will not or □ will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or d. □ will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: i. □ The permit should contain condition #(s)	Base	ed upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:									
is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130; c. will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or d. will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C	a.	period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation									
d. will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below; a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface; b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface; c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface; d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): Groundwater availability remarks: There are many low-use alluvial residential and irrigation wells in the area around the property and several small, recent water rights with groundwater POAs, generally < 10 acres with post-2000 priority dates. Well logs in the area indicated approx. 50 — 150 ft of alluvial material overlying basalt. Alluvial wells generally yield 40 — 100 gpm (0.09 — 0.22 cfs) which is sufficient for these small-parcel water rights. Water levels in nearby alluvial wells show no signs of declines (see attached hydrograph). Therefore it is likely that the	b.										
i.	c.	\square will not or \square will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or									
b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface; c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface; d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section. Describe injury _as related to water availability_ that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): Groundwater availability remarks: There are many low-use alluvial residential and irrigation wells in the area around the property and several small, recent water rights with groundwater POAs, generally < 10 acres with post-2000 priority dates. Well logs in the area indicate approx. 50 – 150 ft of alluvial material overlying basalt. Alluvial wells generally yield 40 – 100 gpm (0.09 – 0.22 cfs) which is sufficient for these small-parcel water rights. Water levels in nearby alluvial wells show no signs of declines (see attached hydrograph). Therefore it is likely that the	d.	 i.									
c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. and ft. and surface: d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): Groundwater availability remarks: There are many low-use alluvial residential and irrigation wells in the area around the property and several small, recent water rights with groundwater POAs, generally < 10 acres with post-2000 priority dates. Well logs in the area indicate approx. 50 – 150 ft of alluvial material overlying basalt. Alluvial wells generally yield 40 – 100 gpm (0.09 – 0.22 cfs) which is sufficient for these small-parcel water rights. Water levels in nearby alluvial wells show no signs of declines (see attached hydrograph). Therefore it is likely that the	a.	☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;									
groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface; d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): Groundwater availability remarks: There are many low-use alluvial residential and irrigation wells in the area around the property and several small, recent water rights with groundwater POAs, generally < 10 acres with post-2000 priority dates. Well logs in the area indicate approx. 50 – 150 ft of alluvial material overlying basalt. Alluvial wells generally yield 40 – 100 gpm (0.09 – 0.22 cfs) which is sufficient for these small-parcel water rights. Water levels in nearby alluvial wells show no signs of declines (see attached hydrograph). Therefore it is likely that the	b.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;									
to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): Groundwater availability remarks: There are many low-use alluvial residential and irrigation wells in the area around the property and several small, recent water rights with groundwater POAs, generally < 10 acres with post-2000 priority dates. Well logs in the area indicate approx. 50 – 150 ft of alluvial material overlying basalt. Alluvial wells generally yield 40 – 100 gpm (0.09 – 0.22 cfs) which is sufficient for these small-parcel water rights. Water levels in nearby alluvial wells show no signs of declines (see attached hydrograph). Therefore it is likely that the	c.	groundwater reservoir between approximatelyft. andft. below									
property and several small, recent water rights with groundwater POAs, generally < 10 acres with post-2000 priority dates. Well logs in the area indicate approx. $50 - 150$ ft of alluvial material overlying basalt. Alluvial wells generally yield $40 - 100$ gpm $(0.09 - 0.22$ cfs) which is sufficient for these small-parcel water rights. Water levels in nearby alluvial wells show no signs of declines (see attached hydrograph). Therefore it is likely that the	d.	to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/									
gpm (0.09 – 0.22 cfs) which is sufficient for these small-parcel water rights. Water levels in nearby alluvial wells show no signs of declines (see attached hydrograph). Therefore it is likely that the	prop	erty and several small, recent water rights with groundwater POAs, generally < 10 acres with post-2000 priority dates.									
	gpm	(0.09 - 0.22 cfs) which is sufficient for these small-parcel water rights.									
	-										

Application G-18131 Date: December 18, 2015 Page 3

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvium		\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:	The well produces from a gravel layer overlain by 40 feet of permeable sand.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO	
		N/A: see comments below						

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: There are several canals within the area but no perennial streams within 1 mile of the applicant's well.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Umatilla R. > Columbia R. - At Mouth

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked \boxtimes box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Application G-18131 Date: December 18, 2015 Page

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts <u>by total appropriation</u> for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comments:								

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
D: 4 1	4 1 337 11	1											
Well	outed Well SW#	ıs Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
VV CII	3 W #	7an %	%	1V1a1 %	_	wiay	Juii %	3ui %	Aug %	зер %	%	%	<u>рес</u> %
Wall (Q as CFS	%0	%0	%0	%	%0	%	%0	%0	%0	%0	%0	%
	rence CFS												
merier	Telice CFS	۵,	٥,	0.1	٥,	0.4	0.4	٥,	0.1	0.1	٥,	٥,	0.1
W 11 6) CEG	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		·											
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80) % Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) =	(A) > (C)	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
(E) = (A	(/B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

4

Application G-18131 Date: December 18, 2015 5 Page (A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage. **Basis for impact evaluation:** C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section. C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below; C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions: References Used: Grondin, G. H., K. C. Wozniak, D. O. Nelson, and I. Camacho. 1995. Hydrogeology, Groundwater Chemistry, and Land Uses in the Lower Umatilla Basin Groundwater Management Area; Northern Morrow and Umatilla Counties Oregon. OWRD Well Log Database – accessed 12/18/2015.


Application G-18131 Date: December 18, 2015 Page 6

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:					
D2.	a. review of						
	b. field insp	ection by	;				
	c. report of d. other: (sp	CWREecify)	;				
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:						
D4. [Route to the Wel	ll Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing w	ell construction.				

Date: December 18, 2015

Well Location Map



Date: December 18, 2015

Water-Level Trends in Nearby Wells

