



Oregon Water Resources Department
 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
 Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
 (503) 986-0900
 www.wrd.state.or.us

Ground Water Review Form:

- Water Right Transfer**
- Permit Amendment**
- GR Modification**
- Other**

Application: T-12102

Applicant Name: Ted McKenzie

Proposed Changes: POA APOA SW→GW RA
 USE POU OTHER

Reviewer(s): Michael J. Thoma

Date of Review: January 11, 2016

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- Other _____

1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: The applicant proposes adding a POA to existing Cert. 83305 and changing the POU. Cert. 83305 is for cranberry use and has two permitted POAs – a well (COOS 47) and a sump. The APOA is located < ¼ mile from either exiting POA.
2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?
 Yes No Comments: The well, existing sump, and proposed sump produce from Quaternary Marine Terrace deposits.
3. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?
 Yes No _____
 b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): _____
4. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?
 Yes No Comments: There is an existing groundwater right (Cert. 86080) in the adjacent quarter-quarter to the applicant’s existing POA and the proposed APOA. However, the POA for this neighboring right is farther from the applicant’s proposed APOA than the existing POA.
 b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?
 Yes No If yes, explain: _____

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?

Yes No Comments: The proposed sump is located farther from surface water than either of the existing POAs

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?

Stream: _____ Minimal Significant

Stream: _____ Minimal Significant

Provide context for minimal/significant impact: _____

6. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: _____

7. Any additional comments: _____

Transfer Review Map

