# PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	r Rights S	ection				D	ate	Febru	uary 1, 2	2016	
FROM	И:	Grou	ndwater S	ection		Auro	ra C Bou	chier					
SUBJ	ECT:			<u>18185</u>		Revi	ewer's Name		na		Date of Re	view(s)	
OAR welfar to dete the pre	<b>690-310-1</b> e, safety a ermine who esumption ENERAL Applica	<b>30 (1)</b> 7 <i>ind heal</i> ether the criteria. <b>(INFO</b> ) ant(s) se	The Depart th as descr e presumpt • <b>This revi</b> • <b>PRMATI(</b> ek(s) <u>0.3</u>	ibed in ORS ion is establi ew is based <u>DN</u> : Aj 75 cfs fron	resume that 537.525. E ished. OAF <b>upon avai</b> l pplicant's M m <u>3</u>	<i>t a proposa</i> Department & 690-310- lable infor Name: well(	ed ground staff revie 140 allows <b>mation an</b> <b>Craig &amp;</b> (s) in the _	water use wi ew groundwa s the propose nd agency po <u>Cheryl Co</u> Willamo	ater applica ad use be m olicies in p llins	ntions un odified lace at	inder OA d or cond t <b>the time</b>	R 690-31 itioned to e of evalu	0-140 meet ation.
A2. A3.													
Well	Logic	1	Applicant Well #	's Propos	ed Aquifer*	Prop						es and bou	
1	Proposed	1**	1	A	lluvium		Rate(cfs)         (T/R-S QQ-Q)           0.375         3S/1E-24 SE-SW			2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 50' N, 1545' E fr NW cor S 25			
2	CLAC 51243/533	2	2	A	lluvium	0.3	0.375 3S/1E-25 NW-NW			520' S, 755' E fr NW cor S 25			
3	CLAC 69		3	A	lluvium	0.3	75	3S/1E-25 NE-NW		17	5' S, 2490'	E fr NW co	or S 25
* Alluv	vium, CRB,	Bedrock	ζ.										
Well	Well Elev ft msl 195	First Water ft bls 65**	SWL ft bls 25**	SWL Date 3/12/2014*	Well Depth (ft) Est 200	Seal Interval (ft) Est 0-130	Casing Intervals (ft) Est 0-100	(ft)	Perfora Or Scr (ft TB	reens )	Well Yield (gpm) 36**	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
2	179	258	58.50	3/12/2014	270	0-20, 188- 196	+1-197	5-112			35	40	В
3	224	128	58 for proposec	3/12/2014	250	0-37	+2-137.83 116.66- 138.37, 148.75- 181.56, 186.89-20	148.75, 181.56- 186.89	138.37-1 181.56-	,	38	55	Р
Use da	ta nom app	neation	tor proposed	1 WC118.									

Comments: \*\* At the approximate location of proposed Well 1 is an existing well (CLAC 9599, Well 1 on Application G-A4. 17369, Permit G-16875). Based on existing well CLAC 9599, it is likely that water will first be encountered at around 65 feet below land surface.

\*\*\*Construction information based primarily on alteration/deepening log for this well.

The applicant intends to cancel Permit G-16875 once this groundwater application has been approved.

A5. Provisions of the Willamette Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water  $\Box$  are, or  $\boxtimes$  are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Comments: The applicant's wells produce from a confined aquifer, so the pertinent basin rules (OAR 690-502-0240) do not apply.

A6. Well(s) #\_\_\_\_ \_, \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: Comments:

### B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that <u>groundwater</u>\* for the proposed use:
  - a. **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, *or* **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. \* This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. \* This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - c. **will not** *or* **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
  - d. **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
    - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) <u>**7N**</u>
    - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
    - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;
  - b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;
  - c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the <u>alluvial</u> groundwater reservoir <del>between approximately\_\_\_\_\_\_</del>ft. and\_\_\_\_\_\_ft. below land surface:
  - d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

**Describe injury** –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

### B3. Groundwater availability remarks:

The applicant's wells are located in an area that contains mostly fine grained alluvial sediments that encase thin beds of sand and gravels from land surface to a depth of 400-600 feet (Gannett et al., 1998). Wells in the area produce from the thin sand and gravel beds. These water-bearing zones are not likely to have extensive lateral continuity. The median yield for nearby alluvial wells is approximately 35 gpm. This suggests the possibility that the applicant may not be able to obtain the requested 168 gpm from three wells.

No local data is available to evaluate water-level trends over time in this area. This indicates a need for water-level monitoring to assess the ongoing health of the groundwater system.

3

## C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvial	$\boxtimes$	
2	Alluvial	$\boxtimes$	
3	Alluvial	$\boxtimes$	

**Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:** The well logs for the CLAC 51243/53399 (applicant's Well 2), CLAC 69332 (applicant's Well 3), and CLAC 9599 (approximate location as applicant's proposed Well 1) all list a thick sequence of silt and clay above the water bearing zones, and the groundwater rose 40-70 feet above the water bearing zone at which water was first encountered,

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED		Potentia Subst. Int Assum <b>YES</b>	erfer.	
1	1	Parrott Creek	170	80-130	3000	$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$
2	1	Parrott Creek	120	80-130	2500	$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$
3	1	Parrott Creek	170	80-130	2800	$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$
1	2	Unnamed trib. to Parrott Creek	170	120-250	2400					$\square$
2	2	Unnamed trib. to Parrott Creek	120	120-250	3300	$\square$				$\square$
3	2	Unnamed trib. to Parrott Creek	170	120-250	1500					$\square$

**Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation:** The perennial nature of Parrott Creek and its local tributary indicate a component of groundwater discharge that sustains surface water flows. Also, heads in nearby wells are coincident with or above the elevation of local streams. These facts indicate that the alluvial groundwater system is hydraulically connected to local streams. However, the high proportion of clay and silt in the alluvial sediments should reduce the efficiency of the connection.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>181: WILLAMETTE R> COLUMBIA R- AT MOUTH</u>

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Water Water $QW > 1\%$ Natural		Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
1	1			-	-	4890.00		<<25%	
2	1			-	-	4890.00		<<25%	
3	1			-	-	4890.00		<<25%	
1	2			-	-	4890.00		<<25%	
2	2			-	-	4890.00		<<25%	
3	2			-	-	4890.00		<<25%	

C3b. **690-09-040** (**4**): Evaluation of stream impacts <u>by total appropriation</u> for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right	Instream Water Right Q	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural	Interference @ 30 days	Potential for Subst. Interfer.
		IĎ	(cfs)	ISWR?	(cfs)	Flow?	(%)	Assumed?

**Comments:** Because of uncertainty regarding the spatial distribution and occurrence of productive water-bearing zones, a model was not used to estimate the interference at 30 days. However, the well logs indicate that productive water-bearing zones are generally thin beds of sand and gravel that are encased in a thick sequence of silt and clays. These water-bearing zones are not likely to have extensive lateral continuity. Therefore, any given productive zone is likely to be vertically separated from nearby streams by many feet of clay or silt over most stream reaches. Models run in similar scenarios indicate impacts that are much less than 25% after 30 days.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	stributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Well	a											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	<u> </u>	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well O	as CFS		,.		,,			,,,					,,,
-	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}0$	tal Interf.												
	% Nat. Q												
	% Nat. Q												
	-												4
	$(\mathbf{A}) > (\mathbf{C})$	$\checkmark$											
(E) = (A / A)	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

CFS;	D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by $80\%$ flow as percentage.	
	Basis for impact evaluation:	

# C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

i.  $\Box$  The permit should contain condition #(s)

ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

### C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:

#### **References Used:**

Application file: G-18185, and nearby G-17369, and G-17727.

Gannett, Marshall W., and Caldwell, Rodney R., 1998, Geologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington: U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A.

Well logs and water level data : CLAC 9599, CLAC 51243/53399, CLAC 96332

# D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.	a. review of the v b. field inspection	appear to meet current well construction standards based upo yell log; h by E	:
D3.	THE WELL construct	ion deficiency or other comment is described as follows:	

D4. 

Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

			DETAILED	REPORT	ON THE W	ATER AVA	ILABILI	TY CALCUL	ATION						
Watershed I Time: 1:07	ID #: 181 PM		WILLAMETTE R > COLUMBIA R - AT MOUTH Basin: WILLAMETTE									Exceedance Level: 80 Date: 02/01/2016			
Month	Natural Stream Flow	C	onsumpti Use a Stora	ve Ind Ige	Exp S	ected tream Flow		Stream	I F	Requireme	eam ents		A.1.7	Net Water ilable	
Monthly values are in cfs. Storage is the annual amount at 50% exceedance in ac-ft.															
AUG SEP OCT NOV	$\begin{array}{c} 27,500.00\\ 30,000.00\\ 28,500.00\\ 25,400.00\\ 20,700.00\\ 11,000.00\\ 6,280.00\\ 4,890.00\\ 4,890.00\\ 5,990.00\\ 5,990.00\\ 12,700.00\\ 24,800.00\\ 19,700,000\end{array}$		2,110. 1,750. 722. 1,010. 1,400.	00 00 00 00 00 00	24,8 22,0 20,9 18,2 16,3 8,5 3,9 2,7 3,1 5,2 11,7 23,4 17,20	00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 20.00 20.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 70.00 00.00 00.00			) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	).00 ).00 ).00 ).00 ).00 ).00 ).00 ).00		23, 20, 19, 16, 14, 7, 2, 1, 1, 3, 10, 21, 16,1	300.00 500.00 700.00 800.00 420.00 280.00 680.00 770.00 200.00 900.00 00,000	
			DE	TAILED R	REPORT OF	INSTREA	M REQUIR	REMENTS							
watershed I Time: 1:07	CD #: 181 PM			WILLAME	TTE R >	COLUMBIA	R - AT	MOUTH						AMETTE	
Application Number	n Status	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	0C1		vov	DEC	
						Month	y values	s are in	cfs.						
MF181A	A APPLICATION	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	1500	00	1500.0	
MAXIMUM	4	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	1500.0	150	 	1500.	

## Well Location Map

