

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date February 17, 2016

FROM: Groundwater Section Michael J. Thoma
Reviewer's Name

SUBJECT: Application G- 18227 Supersedes review of _____
Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525.* Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. **This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.**

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: SRP RE LLC County: Jackson

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.019 cfs from 1 well(s) in the Rogue Basin,
Sams Valley subbasin

A2. Proposed use Irrigation^A Seasonality: Year-round and seasonal^A

A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	JACK 3227/3214	1	Bedrock	0.019	35S/02W-02 SWSE	685'N, 1480'W of SE cor S 02
2						
5						

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	1470	120	40-60	6/9/1989	600	0-18	+1-24			45		

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** JACK 3227 is the well log for the original hole. JACK 3214 is a deepening log. SWL reported in the table above is from the deepening log

^AThe application lists "Irrigation" as the proposed use and has the use divided up into a year-round indoor use and a seasonal outdoor use with a separate annual volumes listed for each use. Anticipating a "worst-case" scenario, this review assumes year-round use at the full requested rate.

The proposed POA (JACK 3227) was included as a proposed POA on an earlier application, G 17634, which was withdrawn before a permit was issued.

A5. **Provisions of the Rogue (OAR 690-515) _____ Basin rules** relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water **are**, or **are not**, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Comments: _____

A6. **Well(s) # _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.** Name of administrative area: _____

Comments: _____

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:

- a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or **cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. **will not** or **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. **will not** or **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
- d. **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C (7-year SWL reporting); Medium water-use reporting ;
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

- B2. a. **Condition** to allow groundwater production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
- b. **Condition** to allow groundwater production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
- c. **Condition** to allow groundwater production only from the _____ groundwater reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
- d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** The applicant’s proposed POA will be producing from fractured bedrock of the Payne Cliffs Formation. This aquifer is characterized by low yields and, as a result, there is little groundwater development in the area. There are no OWRD observation well data within 1 mile of the proposed POA so aquifer over-appropriation cannot be readily determined. However, there are several wells reporting SWLs in the adjacent PLS section and those show stable long-term SWL trends. Additionally, there are few permitted groundwater rights in the area so injury to existing users seems unlikely.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Bedrock of Payne Cliffs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: both the original well log (JACK 3227) and the deepening log (JACK 3214) report SWLs much higher than 'first water'

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
						YES	NO	ASSUMED	YES	NO
1	1	Constance Cr.	1410	1440-1480 ^A	4000 ^B	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: The well is located at a higher elevation and upstream/upslope from the creek – likely near a recharge source.

^ASW elevation reported in table C2 is at the shortest distance from the well to the creek, which is ~1550 ft.

^BThe distance reported here is the distance to where the Constance Cr elevation is equal to the groundwater elevation.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Rogue R > Pacific Ocean – AB Curry G at Gage 14359000

C3a. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MF269	1000	<input type="checkbox"/>	1130	<input type="checkbox"/>	see comments	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments: Interference @ 30 d could not be estimated because the terrain (high-relief slopes) and geology (fractured bedrock aquifer) do not meet model assumptions of the widely accepted techniques for determining stream depletion (e.g., Hunt 1999, 2003). However, it is unlikely that the proposed use will have significant impacts at this distance.

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
(A) = Total Interf.													
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q													
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q													
(D) = (A) > (C)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
(E) = (A / B) x 100		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: _____

C4b. **690-09-040 (5) (b)** The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5. **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
 i. The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
 ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. **SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:** _____

References Used: _____
Hunt, B. 1999. *Unsteady Stream Depletion from Ground Water Pumping*. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, Vol 8(1), pp 12-19
Hunt, B. 2003. *Unsteady Stream Depletion when Pumping from a Semiconfined Aquifer*. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, Vol 8(1), pp 12-19
Wiley, T. J., J. D. McClaughry, and J. A. D'Allura. 2011. *Geologic Database and Generalized Geologic Map of Bear Creek Valley, Jackson County, Oregon*. Oregon Dept. of Geology and Mineral Industries. OFR O-11-11.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. Well #: _____ Logid: _____

D2. **THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:**

- a. review of the well log;
- b. field inspection by _____;
- c. report of CWRE _____;
- d. other: (specify) _____

D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:** _____

D4. **Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.**

Water Availability Tables

ROGUE R > PACIFIC OCEAN - AB CURRY G AT GAGE 14359000
 ROGUE BASIN

Water Availability as of 2/10/2016

Watershed ID #: 270 ([Map](#)) Exceedance Level: 80% ▾

Date: 2/10/2016 Time: 1:55 PM

Water Availability Calculation
Consumptive Uses and Storages
Instream Flow Requirements
Reservations


Water Rights
Watershed Characteristics

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second
 Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	2,180.00	1,130.00	1,050.00	0.00	1,200.00	-147.00
FEB	2,710.00	2,040.00	666.00	0.00	1,200.00	-534.00
MAR	2,750.00	1,820.00	934.00	0.00	1,200.00	-266.00
APR	2,810.00	1,030.00	1,780.00	0.00	1,200.00	576.00
MAY	2,750.00	367.00	2,380.00	0.00	1,200.00	1,180.00
JUN	1,760.00	343.00	1,420.00	0.00	1,200.00	217.00
JUL	1,330.00	368.00	962.00	0.00	1,200.00	-238.00
AUG	1,160.00	330.00	830.00	0.00	1,200.00	-370.00
SEP	1,130.00	275.00	855.00	0.00	1,200.00	-345.00
OCT	1,160.00	227.00	933.00	0.00	1,200.00	-267.00
NOV	1,370.00	344.00	1,030.00	0.00	1,200.00	-174.00
DEC	1,810.00	561.00	1,250.00	0.00	1,200.00	49.00
ANN	1,900,000.00	528,000.00	1,370,000.00	0.00	869,000.00	533,000.00

Well Location Map



Water-Level Trends in Nearby Wells

