

MEMO

Mar 14, 2016

FROM: GW: M. Thoma
(Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

☒ - YES

The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway

☐ NO☒ YES

Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)

☐ NO

☐ Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.

Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **unable** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; **therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.**

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in _____ Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

[illegible]

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date March 14, 2016

FROM: Groundwater Section Michael J Thoma
Reviewer's Name

SUBJECT: Application G- 18260 Supersedes review of _____
Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.*

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Jennifer & Jason Brainard County: Lane

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.045 cfs from 1 well(s) in the Willamette Basin,
M Fk Willamette subbasin

A2. Proposed use Nursery (0.76 ac) Seasonality: year-round

A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	LANE 23560	1	Bedrock	0.045	21S/03E-4 NESW	530'S, 685'W of ctr S 4
2						

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	2110	47	20	9/4/1987	105	0-19	+1-29	5-105	45-105	40		A

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** The applicant's proposed POA would be producing from bedrock of volcanic origin associated with Miocene to Oligocene Little Butte Volcanics and younger ridge-capping lava flows (Sherrod 1991).

A5. ☒ **Provisions of the** Willamette (OAR 690-502) Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water ☐ **are**, or ☒ **are not**, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)
Comments: _____

A6. ☐ **Well(s) #** _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.
Name of administrative area: _____
Comments: _____

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:

- a. ☐ is over appropriated, ☐ is not over appropriated, or ☒ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. ☐ will not or ☐ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. ☐ will not or ☐ will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
- d. ☒ will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
- i. ☒ The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C (7-yr SWL); 7J (Scenic Waterway); Medium Water-use Reporting;
- ii. ☐ The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
- iii. ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

- B2. a. ☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
- b. ☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
- c. ☐ Condition to allow groundwater production only from the _____ groundwater reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
- d. ☐ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

- B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** The applicant's proposed POA is in an area where there is very little groundwater development and groundwater use is limited mostly to domestic use. There are only two wells in the area that OWRD has SWL data for but those do not show enough evidence to determine that groundwater is not over-appropriated.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040**C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:**

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Volcanic Rocks	☒	☐
		☐	☐

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: there are few wells logs for the immediate area but those, including the applicant's, report SWLs higher than water-bearing zones

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
						YES	NO	ASSUMED	YES	NO
1	1	NFk-MFk of Willamette R	2060	1280-1320	2240	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
1	2	McLane Cr	2060	1320-1480	4210	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: higher GW elevation than SW elevation suggests that groundwater is flowing toward and discharging to surface water; the level of incision by the N. Fork M Fork Willamette River suggests that it is a local/regional groundwater discharge area.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: N Fk M Fk Willamette R > M Fk Willamette R – AB mouth

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ☒ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1	☐	☐	MF140	115	☐	130	☐	see comments	☐
1	2	☐	☐			☐	130	☐	see comments	☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐

Comments: Interference @ 30 d could not be estimated because the terrain (high-relief slopes) and geology (fractured bedrock aquifer) do not meet model assumptions of the widely accepted techniques for determining stream depletion (e.g., Hunt 1999, 2003).

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
		☐			☐		☐		☐

- C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													

Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
(A) = Total Interf.													
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q													
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q													
(D) = (A) > (C)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
(E) = (A / B) x 100		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: _____

- C4b. **690-09-040 (5) (b)** The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

- C5. ☐ If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
- ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
 - ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

- C6. **SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:** The Department has made a finding that the applicant's proposed well will be producing from an aquifer that is hydraulically connected to surface water – specifically the N. Fork M. Fork Willamette River and McLane Cr. Both surface water sources are within the same WAB. The applicant's proposed rate is below the threshold where PSI shall be assumed per OAR 690-009.

References Used:

Hunt, B. 1999. *Unsteady Stream Depletion from Ground Water Pumping*. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, Vol 8(1), pp 12-19

Hunt, B. 2003. *Unsteady Stream Depletion when Pumping from a Semiconfined Aquifer*. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, Vol 8(1), pp 12-19

Sherrod, D. R. 1991. Geologic map of a part of the Cascade Range between latitude 43-44, Central, Oregon. USGS Report MAP I-1891

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. Well #: _____ Logid: _____

D2. **THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:**

- a. ☐ review of the well log;
 b. ☐ field inspection by _____;
 c. ☐ report of CWRE _____;
 d. ☐ other: (specify) _____

D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:** _____

 _____D4. ☐ Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.**Water Availability Tables**

N FK M FK WILLAMETTE R > M FK WILLAMETTE R - AB MOUTH
WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 3/14/2016

Watershed ID #: 140 ([Map](#))

Exceedance Level: 80% ▾

Date: 3/14/2016

Time: 3:30 PM

Water Availability Calculation**Consumptive Uses and Storages****Instream Flow Requirements****Reservations****Water Rights****Watershed Characteristics****Water Availability Calculation**

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second
 Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	547.00	0.47	547.00	0.00	1,500.00	-953.00
FEB	639.00	0.47	639.00	0.00	1,500.00	-861.00
MAR	629.00	0.45	629.00	0.00	1,500.00	-871.00
APR	680.00	0.49	680.00	0.00	1,500.00	-820.00
MAY	643.00	0.47	643.00	0.00	1,500.00	-857.00
JUN	334.00	0.63	333.00	0.00	620.00	-287.00
JUL	193.00	0.47	193.00	0.00	290.00	-97.50
AUG	142.00	0.47	142.00	0.00	185.00	-43.50
SEP	130.00	0.47	130.00	0.00	200.00	-70.50
OCT	141.00	0.17	141.00	0.00	200.00	-59.20
NOV	237.00	0.33	237.00	0.00	1,500.00	-1,260.00
DEC	505.00	0.48	505.00	0.00	1,500.00	-995.00
ANN	462,000.00	323.00	462,000.00	0.00	722,000.00	0.00

Well Location Map

