WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT MEMO

June 9,2015

| TO: | Application G- 17872 | | | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| FROM: | Phil Marcy - Groundwater Section | | | | | |
| SUBJECT: | Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation | | | | | |
| yes _Xno | The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway | | | | | |
| Yes XNO | Use the Scenic Waterway condition (condition 7J) | | | | | |

_____Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is able to calculate groundwater interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference distribution is provided below.

Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate groundwater interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate interference as the monthly fraction of the annual consumptive use and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.839, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing the Water Rights Section that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in the ______ Scenic Waterway by the following amounts, expressed as a proportion of the annual consumptive use pumped from the well.

Monthly Fraction of Annual Consumptive Use

| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| PUBI | PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|--|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------|
| TO: | | Wate | er Rights S | ection | | | | Dat | e Ju | ne 9, 2 | 2015 | | |
| FROM | 1: | Groundwater Section Phillip I. Marcy Reviewer's Name | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SUBJ | ECT: | App | lication G- | 17872 | | | | e review of | Au | <u>gust 2</u> | 22, 2014 Date of Re | | |
| OAR (welfare to dete the pre | PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER OAR 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation. A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Brad E. and June C. Allen County: Baker | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A1. | Applica | nt(s) s | eek(s) 3.0 | * cfs fror | n four | well(| (s) in the | Powder | | | | | Basin, |
| A2. A3. | | | | | | | Seasonal | Quad Map: <u>H</u> ity: <u>March</u> nark proposed | 1 to Oct | | | gid): | |
| Well | Logic | i | Applicant Well # | 's Propos | ed Aquifer* | Prop Rate | | Location (T/R-S QQ | | | tion, mete)' N, 1200' | | |
| 1 | BAKE 52 | | B | | edrock | 2.2 | | 7S/39E-14 N | W-SW | |)' S, 100' I | | |
| 2 3 | BAKE 5 Propos | _ | <u>Е</u> В-1 | | edrock edrock | 4.4 | | 7S/39E-14 S 7S/39E-15 N | | | <u>' S, 1820'</u> 5' S, 520' V | | |
| 4 | Propos | | B-2 | | edrock | 5. | | 7S/39E-11 N | | | ' N, 1481' | | |
| 5 | in a CDD | Dadas | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * Alluv | ium, CRB, Well | Bedroo | | 011/1 | Well | Seal | Casing | Liner | Perfora | tions | Well | Draw | Track |
| Well | Elev | Wate | i frais | SWL Date | Depth | Interval | Interval | s Intervals | Or Scr | | Yield | Down | Test Type |
| 1 | ft msl 3272 | ft bl: 145 | S | 06/12/2013 | (ft) 385 | (ft) 0-85 | (ft) 0-155 | (ft) None | (ft) Non | | (gpm) 1000 | (ft) | Air |
| 2 | 3312 | 160 | | 02/25/2005 | 525 | 0-106 | 0-106 | None | Non | | 2000 | | Air |
| 3 | 3358 3300 | | | | 400+ 500+ | ** | | | | | | | |
| | 3500 | | | | 300+ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Use dat | a from app | lication | for proposed | i wells. | | | | | | | | | |
| A4. | | | | een adjuste rrigation ac | | | | ty (719.7 AF) (ation. | o accoun | t for t | he applic | cant's | |
| | **Wells are proposed to be sealed six feet into basalt. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A5. 🛛 | 5. Provisions of the <u>Powder</u> Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water are, or are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) | | | | | | | | | | | | |

A6. Well(s) #____

t

Well(s) # _____, ____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: ______

Comments:

2

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. **will not** or **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
 - d. will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) <u>**7**N</u>
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. \square The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2. a. Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;

- b. Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
- c. Condition to allow ground water production only from the ______ ground water reservoir between approximately______ ft. and ______ ft. below land surface;
- d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Ground water availability remarks: _____

3

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

| Well | Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer | Confined | Unconfined |
|------|---------------------------------|----------|------------|
| 1 | Basalt or Andesite (Tba) | | |
| 2 | Basalt or Andesite (Tba) | | |
| 3 | Basalt or Andesite (Tba) | | |
| 4 | Basalt or Andesite (Tba) | | |
| | | | |

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>The water-bearing zones in local bedrock wells are typically below the static</u> water levels. The presence of unfractured basalt above the water-bearing zone is likely responsible for any confinement, therefore the proposed wells should be constructed in a manner similar to the applicant's existing wells.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

| Well | SW # | Surface Water Name | GW Elev ft msl | SW Elev ft msl | Distance (ft) | Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO |
|------|---------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | Powder River | 3189 | 3275 | 2400 | | |
| 2 | 1 | Powder River | 3301 | 3268 | 1400 | | |
| 3 | 1 | Powder River | 3200± | 3275 | 850 | | |
| 4 | 1 | Powder River | 3200± | 3270 | 300 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>The local bedrock aquifers are in indirect hydraulic connection, at best, with alluvial deposits, which may overlie and are adjacent to these rocks. The alluvial deposits are in good hydraulic connection with the river. The shallowest water-bearing zones reported at the existing wells are below the elevation of the nearby reaches of the river. Most water levels at local bedrock wells are also below the river.</u>

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>POWDER R > SNAKE R - AB UNN STR (72191).</u>

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

| Well | SW # | Well < ¼ mile? | Qw > 5 cfs? | Instream Water Right ID | Instream Water Right Q (cfs) | Qw> 1% ISWR? | 80% Natural Flow (cfs) | Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow? | Interference @ 30 days (%) | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? |
|------|---------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

| evalua | tion and | minitations | apply as | in C3a abov | е. | | | | | |
|--------|----------|-------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | SW # | | Qw > 5 cfs? | Instream Water Right ID | Instream Water Right Q (cfs) | Qw > 1% ISWR? | 80% Natural Flow (cfs) | Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow? | Interference @ 30 days (%) | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Comments: This section does not apply.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

| Non-D | istributed | Wells | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Well | SW# | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well (|) as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Distrib | uted Well | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Well | SW# | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well (|) as CFS | | | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | | | | | | | | | |
| | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | 1 | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well (| as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q |) as CFS | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| |) as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| |) as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$ | otal Interf. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | % Nat. Q | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | % Nat. Q | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (D) | $(\mathbf{A}) > (\mathbf{C})$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| . , | $\frac{(\mathbf{A}) > (\mathbf{C})}{(\mathbf{D}) + 100}$ | | | ~ | | | 67 | | | 07 | 67 | 67 | Ø |
| $(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A}$ | / B) x 100 | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |

Page

(A) = total interference as CFS;
 (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS;
 (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS;
 (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C);
 (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.
 Basis for impact evaluation:

| | | |
|------|---------------------------------------|------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | ••••••• | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

- C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.
- C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
 - i. \Box The permit should contain condition #(s)
 - ii. X The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
- C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions <u>The evaluation of Potential for Substantial Interference (PSI) to surface waters due to</u> <u>pumping at the proposed POA locations is largely based on the construction of the two proposed wells. Therefore, it is</u> <u>critical that the new wells produce water from the same confined system as the two existing wells. See special conditions</u> <u>below.</u>

Special Condition (modified 7K): The wells shall be constructed in such a manner that only allows for development of groundwater from the confined basalt aquifer as in the applicant's two existing wells (BAKE 51323 and BAKE 52275). The wells shall be continuously cased and continuously sealed to a depth at least five feet into unfractured basalt overlying the aquifer developed by the existing wells. The wells may not be completed in such a manner that it allows groundwater to be developed from the shallow alluvium.

Special Condition: Cuttings shall be collected during the drilling of the proposed wells, at intervals no greater than ten feet, in addition to intervals where a change in lithology occurs.

References Used: <u>Geology of the Oregon Part of the Baker 1° by 2° Quad, Brooks, 1976; OWRD Ground Water Report</u> #6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with DOGAMI Regional Geologist Mark Ferns and other OWRD staff; nearby reviews.

6

Page

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

| D2. | Well #: Logid: THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon: |
|-----|--|
| | a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by |
| D3. | THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows: |

D4.
Below Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Water Availability Tables

| DETAILED REPORT ON THE WATER AVAILABILITY CALCULATION | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Watershed ID #: Time: 1:46 PM | 72191 | POWDI | ER R > SNAKE R - AB Basin: POWDEF | | | | | | |
| Month | Natural Stream Flow | Consumptive Use and Storage | Expected Stream Flow | Reserved Stream Flow | Instream Requirements | Net Water Available | | | |
| | | Storage is 1 | Monthly values a the annual amount at | are in cfs. 50% exceedance | in ac-ft. | | | | |
| JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC ANN | 65.90 103.00 203.00 456.00 714.00 593.00 204.00 107.00 72.70 70.30 75.10 77.90 241,000 | 89.00 108.00 193.00 352.00 844.00 995.00 530.00 313.00 240.00 90.20 71.30 82.90 236,000 | -23.10 -5.34 10.10 104.00 -130.00 -402.00 -326.00 -206.00 -167.00 -19.90 3.82 -5.00 47,100 | 0.00 21.30 62.40 259.00 153.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 25.00 30.00 40.00 40.00 40.00 25 | $\begin{array}{r} -48.10\\ -56.60\\ -92.30\\ -196.00\\ -323.00\\ -442.00\\ -351.00\\ -231.00\\ -231.00\\ -192.00\\ -44.90\\ -21.20\\ -30.00\\ 4,150\end{array}$ | | | |

Well logs attached:

BAKE 52275 (POA 1 on application) BAKE 51323 (POA 2 on application)

7



Figure 1: Location map of proposed POAs on application G 17872.

۲

8

| | Page 1 of 1 |
|--|--|
| | 52275 WELL LD. LABEL#1 109112 |
| WATER SUPPLY WELL REPORT | START CARD # 208403 /2013 ORIGINAL LOG # |
| | |
| (1) LAND OWNER Owner Well ID First Name BRAD Last Name ALLEN | (9) LOCATION OF WELL (legal description) |
| Company | County BAKER Twp 7.00 S N/S Range 39.00 E EW WM |
| Address 48748 MCCARTY BRIDGE RD | Sec 14 NW 1/4 of the 5W 1/4 Tax Lot 2500 |
| City NORTH POWDER State OR Zip 97867 | Tax Map Number Lot |
| (2) TYPE OF WORK New Well Deepening Conversion | DMS or DD |
| Alteration (complete 2a & 10) Abandonment(complete 5a) | Long or DMS or DD |
| (2a) PRE-ALTERATION Dia + From To Gauge Stl Piste Wild Thrd | (Street address of well (Nearest address |
| | CONROE LANE HAINES OR |
| Material From To Amt sacks:/bs Seal: | |
| (3) DRILL METHOD | (10) STATIC WATER LEVEL Date SW1 (nsi) + SW1 (ft) |
| Rotary Air Rotary Mud Cable Auger Cable Mud | Date SWL(psi) + SWL(ft) |
| Reverse Rotary Other | Completed Well 6/11/2013 83 |
| (4) PROPOSED USE Domestic X Infgation Community | Flowing Artesian? Dry Hole? |
| Industrial Commercial Livestock Dewatering | WATER BEARING ZONES Depth water was first found 145.00 |
| Thermal Injection Other | SWL Date From To Est Flow SWL(psi) + SWL(ft) |
| (5) BORE HOLE CONSTRUCTION Special Standard (Arrach copy | 6 11/2013 145 385 1000 83 |
| Depth of Completed Well 385.00 ft. | |
| BORE HOLE SEAL sacks Dia From To Material From To Amt Ibs | |
| 14 0 85 Bentonite 0 5 3 S | ┨┝ ┍┈┈╎┍┈╎┍╸╎┈╸╎┍╸┥ |
| 12 85 155 Cement 6 85 44 S | |
| 10 155 385 | (11) WELL LOG Ground Elevation |
| How was seal placed: Method A B XC D E | Material From To |
| Xother POURED BENTONITE | Top soil 0 3 |
| Backfill placed from ft to ft. Material | Brown clay & gravels 3 22 Brown clay 22 38 |
| Filter pack from ft. to ft. Material Size | Grey clay 38 75 |
| Explosives used: Yes Type Amount | Black basait 75 142 |
| (5a) ABANDONMENT USING UNHYDRATED BENTONITE | Fractured black basalt 142 385 |
| Proposed Amount Actual Amount | |
| (6) CASING/LINER Casing Liner Dia + From To Gauge Sti Piste Wid Thrd | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Shoe Inside X Outside Other Location of shoe(s) 155 | |
| Temp rasing XYes Dia 14 From 0 To 18 | |
| (7) PERFORATIONS/SCREENS | |
| Perforations Method Screens Type Material | Date Started5/24/2013 Complete 6/11/2013 |
| Screens Type Material Perf: Casing Screen Scrn'slot Slot # of Tele: | |
| Screen Liner Dia From To width length slots pipe size | (unboaded) Water Well Constructor Certification I certify that the work I performed on the construction, deepening, alteration, or |
| | abandonment of this well is in compliance with Oregon water supply well |
| | construction standards. Materials used and information reported above are true to |
| | the best of my knowledge and belief. |
| | License Number Date |
| (8) WELL TESTS: Minimum testing time is 1 hour | Signed |
| O Pump O Bailer O Air O Flowing Artesian Yield galumin Drawdown Drill stem/Pump depth Duration (hr) | (bonded) Water Well Constructor Certification |
| Yield gal'min Drawdown Drill stem/Pump depth Duration (hr) 1000 360 2 | I accept responsibility for the construction, deepening, alteration, or abandonment |
| | work performed on this well during the construction dates reported above. All work |
| | performed during this time is in compliance with Oregon water supply well construction standards. This report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief. |
| Temperature 54 °F Lab analysis Yes By | License Number 1640 Date 7/10/2013 |
| Water quality concerns? Yes (describe below) TDS amount From To Description Amount Units | |
| | Signed TEFF STOFFEL (E-filed) |
| | Contact Info (optional) Jeff Stoffel |
| OP/GNAL AUAUSTRIESAU/USS | TEDARTMENT |

THIS REPORT MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS OF COMPLETION OF WORK Form Version:

1

9

| | BAKE | 51323 | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| STATE OF OREGON WATER SUPPLY WELL REPORT (as required by ORS 537.765) | MAR 0 3 2005 | | WELL I.D. # I | | | |
| Instructions for completing this report are on the last page SMEM OREGON | | | | | | |
| (1) LAND OWNER Well Number Name Dr Mc Willer Address 44 921 Packas American Rd City Harves State Or Zip 9 7833 | | (9) LOCATION OF WELL (legal description) County | | | | |
| (2) TYPE OF WORK IN New Well | bundonment 🔲 Conversion | Section / 4 | to" | | (deg | 1/4 rees or decimal) |
| (3) DRH J. METHOD DR Rotary Air C Rotary Mud Cable Auger C Other | Long (degrees or decimal) Street Address of Well (or neurost address) Con Role Lawle <u>HAINES</u> OF | | | | | |
| (4) PROPOSED USE Damestic Community Industriel Thermal Injection Liveslock | (10) STATIC WATER LEVEL Image: Arrenian pressure ft. below land surface. Date Arrenian pressure th per square inch Date (11) WATER BEARING ZONES Depth at which water was first found Image: Arrenian pressure | | | | | |
| (5) BORE HOLE CONSTRUCTION Special (Depth of Completed Well 505 ft. Explosives used TYes Price Type | | | | | | |
| Diameter From To Material From | SEAL To Sacka or Pounds 106 AP Sat Ka 10 Stat CK-5 | From | 525 | Estimate | d Flow Raic | SWL |
| How was seal placed: Method A B Other Port Cd Base feet if Backfill placed fromft. to | Grown Lail | Circuid Elevation: | | | | |
| (6) CASING/LINER Disancter From To Gauge Steel Casing 12 +2 106 · 375 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Blue sof | T Any Chay Chay Chay Chay Chay Chay Chay Cha | 24 17 15 160 160 490 | 28 37 45 120 120 120 525 | |
| Final location of shoe(s) 106 8 12 | | | | | | |
| (7) PERFORATIONS/SCREENS Performons Method Screens Type | Material | Daie Started | 12-05 0 | rapleted | 2-25 | 05 |
| Size Nº O A/E | Tele/phpc Caving Liner | (unhonded) Water W I certify that the we abandonment of this we construction standards the best of my knowled WWC Number | one I performed on ell is in compliane Materials used a | the construct with Orego | m water supply | y well |
| (8) WELL TESTS: Minimum tending time is 1 Pump Bailer Drawdown Drill stem | Signed | | | | | |
| Jano SLO Temperature of water 57 Depth Anesian | abandonment work per above. All work perfo supply well construction | formed on this we much during this ti | Il during the me is in com | construction d pliance with C | ates reported bregon water | |
| Temperature of water Depth Artesian Was a water analysis done? [] Yes By whom Did uny struta contain water not suitable for intended use [] Salty MuddyOdkir ColoredOther Depth of struta. | e? [] Too liitk | | ns t VSt | γP | 2 -2)·(| |
| ORIGINAL ~ WATER RESOURCES D | EPARTMENT FIRST | I COPY - CONSTRUCT | OR SEC | OND COPY | CUSTOME | R 06/16/2004 |