

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date April 4, 2016

FROM: Groundwater Section J. Hackett
Reviewer's Name

SUBJECT: Application G- 18272 Supersedes review of _____
Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.*

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Larry Brown County: Hood

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.067 cfs from 1 well(s) in the Hood River Basin,
 _____ subbasin

A2. Proposed use Irrigation Seasonality: April 1 – October 31

A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	HOOD 50795	1	Volcanics/Volcaniclastics	0.067	1N/10E-30 NW-NW	31' S, 462' W fr NE cor of NW 1/16 cor S 30
2						
3						
4						
5						

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	1450	80	36.36	3/14/2016	110	0-20	0-100			40		A

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** _____

A5. **Provisions of the Hood** Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water are, or are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Comments: _____

A6. **Well(s) #** _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.

Name of administrative area: _____
 Comments: _____

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:

- a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
- d. will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N, Large water-use reporting;
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

- B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
- b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
- c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the _____ groundwater reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
- d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** The applicant’s well is completed in Pleistocene volcaniclastic and volcanic deposits of the High Cascades. Water levels in the well have remained stable over the period of record (2013-2016, see attached hydrograph).

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Cascade volcanics/volcaniclastics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Water-bearing zones in the applicant’s well may be locally confined by overlying fine-grained volcaniclastic material. This is supported by a static water level that is about 40 feet higher than the depth it was encountered.

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
						YES	NO	ASSUMED	YES	NO
1	1	Middle Fork Hood River	1410	1480-1150	1750	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
1	2	Trout Creek	1410	1440-1200	2600	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Groundwater elevations are coincident with or above the elevations of local reaches of Middle Fork Hood River and Trout Creek. This suggests groundwater flows towards local streams and indicates hydraulic connection between the aquifer system and local surface water sources.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: 71793: M FK HOOD R > E FK HOOD R – AT MOUTH; 189: E FK HOOD R > HOOD R – AB M FK HOOD R

C3a. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	IS71793A	100.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	136.0	<input type="checkbox"/>	< 25%	<input type="checkbox"/>
1	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a		<input type="checkbox"/>	134.0	<input type="checkbox"/>	< 25%	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments: Modeling in similar circumstances suggests that due to the presence of fine-grained materials in the channels of East Fork Hood River and Trout Creek, impacts after 30 days of pumping will be < 25 % of the pumping rate.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
(A) = Total Interf.													
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q													
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q													
(D) = (A) > (C)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
(E) = (A / B) x 100		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: _____

C4b. **690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.**

C5. **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
i. The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. **SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:** _____

References Used: McCloughry, J.D. and others, 2012, Digital geologic map of the Hood River valley, Hood River and Wasco counties, Oregon. Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, Open File Report O-12-03, 142 p., 1 plate.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. Well #: _____ Logid: _____

D2. **THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:**

- a. review of the well log;
- b. field inspection by _____;
- c. report of CWRE _____;
- d. other: (specify) _____

D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:** _____

D4. **Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.**

Water Availability Tables

M FK HOOD R > E FK HOOD R - AT MOUTH
HOOD BASIN

Water Availability as of 4/4/2016

Watershed ID #: 71793 ([Map](#))

Exceedance Level: 80%

Date: 4/4/2016

Time: 3:03 PM

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second
Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	216.00	4.77	211.00	0.00	150.00	61.20
FEB	222.00	4.77	217.00	0.00	150.00	67.20
MAR	212.00	14.20	198.00	0.00	150.00	47.80
APR	187.00	18.80	168.00	0.00	221.00	-52.80
MAY	222.00	31.50	191.00	0.00	246.00	-55.50
JUN	190.00	49.20	141.00	0.00	233.00	-92.20
JUL	177.00	63.70	113.00	0.00	150.00	-36.70
AUG	144.00	60.50	83.50	0.00	140.00	-56.50
SEP	144.00	38.00	106.00	0.00	100.00	6.00
OCT	136.00	19.00	117.00	0.00	116.00	1.04
NOV	164.00	13.00	151.00	0.00	145.00	5.96
DEC	193.00	10.30	183.00	0.00	150.00	32.70
ANN	161,000.00	19,900.00	141,000.00	0.00	118,000.00	28,700.00

Detailed Report of Instream Flow Requirements

Instream Flow Requirements in Cubic Feet per Second

Application #	Status	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MF193A	CERTIFICATE	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
IS71793A	CERTIFICATE	150.00	150.00	150.00	221.00	246.00	233.00	150.00	140.00	100.00	116.00	145.00	150.00
Maximum		150.00	150.00	150.00	221.00	246.00	233.00	150.00	140.00	100.00	116.00	145.00	150.00

E FK HOOD R > HOOD R - AB M FK HOOD R
HOOD BASIN

Water Availability as of 4/4/2016

Watershed ID #: 189 [\(Map\)](#)

Exceedance Level:

Date: 4/4/2016

Time: 3:08 PM

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second
Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	207.00	13.70	193.00	130.00	100.00	-36.30
FEB	268.00	17.50	250.00	136.00	100.00	14.10
MAR	286.00	34.90	251.00	122.00	100.00	28.80
APR	288.00	57.50	231.00	104.00	150.00	-23.40
MAY	308.00	105.00	203.00	111.00	150.00	-57.80
JUN	253.00	151.00	102.00	79.30	150.00	-127.00
JUL	206.00	161.00	44.50	0.00	100.00	-55.50
AUG	152.00	149.00	2.77	0.00	100.00	-97.20
SEP	146.00	109.00	36.90	0.00	100.00	-63.10
OCT	134.00	60.00	74.00	22.20	150.00	-98.20
NOV	163.00	17.80	145.00	41.60	150.00	-46.40
DEC	190.00	12.50	178.00	86.10	150.00	-58.60
ANN	206,000.00	53,900.00	152,000.00	50,000.00	90,600.00	22,700.00

Detailed Report of Instream Flow Requirements


Instream Flow Requirements in Cubic Feet per Second

Application #	Status	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MF189A	CERTIFICATE	100.00	100.00	100.00	150.00	150.00	150.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	150.00	150.00	150.00
Maximum		100.00	100.00	100.00	150.00	150.00	150.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	150.00	150.00	150.00

Well Location Map

G-18272, Brown

1:24,000 scale



Water Levels in HOOD 50795

