

[illegible]

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date June 23, 2016

FROM: Groundwater Section Michael J Thoma
Reviewer's Name

SUBJECT: Application G- 18268 Supersedes review of _____
Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.*

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Katharine Stanfield County: Jackson

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.027 cfs from 1 well(s) in the Rogue Basin,
Bear Creek subbasin

A2. Proposed use Nursery Seasonality: Year-round

A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	JACK ¹ 58839/61938	1	Bedrock	0.027	38S/01W-32 SWSW	99'S, 1178'W of ctr of SW ¼ of S 32
2						
3						

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	2870	175	28 ²	07/11/2008	625 ¹	0-50	+1-40	0-620 ¹	300-600 ¹ (multiple zones)	12		A

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** ¹JACK 58839 is the well log for the original hole drilled in 2008 to 300 ft; JACK 61938 is the log for deepening of the original hole from 300 ft to 625 ft done in 2014, values with superscript (¹) are from the deepening log
²The log for the original well reports a SWL of 28 ft in July, 2008. The deepening log reports a SWL of 220 ft before alteration and 224.5 ft after deepening in November, 2014. It is unlikely that the larger values reported on the deepening log represent natural aquifer conditions but more likely are the product of poor well construction (i.e., clogging of the well bore fractures)

A5. ☒ **Provisions of the** Rogue (OAR 690-515) Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water ☐ are, or ☒ are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)
Comments: _____

A6. ☐ **Well(s) #** _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.
Name of administrative area: _____
Comments: _____

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:

- a. ☐ is over appropriated, ☐ is not over appropriated, or ☒ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. ☐ will not or ☒ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. ☐ will not or ☒ will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
- d. ☒ will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
- i. ☒ The permit should contain condition #(s) Medium water-use reporting; 7J (Scenic water-way);
- ii. ☐ The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
- iii. ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

- B2. a. ☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
- b. ☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
- c. ☐ Condition to allow groundwater production only from the _____ groundwater reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
- d. ☐ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

- B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** The Department does not have sufficient SWL data in the area of the proposed POA to determine if groundwater is over-appropriated. However, there are also no permitted groundwater rights with ¼ mile of the proposed POA and only one right (Cert. 49530) in the area. Well yields in this area are typically low (< 20 gpm based well log data) due to the tight, fractured nature of the bedrock and injury and interference are unlikely, primarily due to the limited areal extent of well-pumping influence on the aquifer.
- _____
- _____

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040**C1. 690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Bedrock of Hayfork Terrane	☒	☐
		☐	☐
		☐	☐

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: The driller's log for the original well reports SWL higher than 'first water'; most other well logs for the area report SWLs higher than 'first water'.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
						YES	NO	ASSUMED	YES	NO
1	1	N Fk Anderson Cr	~2840	2480-2840	1380	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Higher GW elevation than SW elevation suggests that groundwater is flowing towards and discharging to surface water.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Bear Cr > Rogue R – At Mouth (ID# 70993)

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ☒ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1	☐	☐	None	NA	☐	17.1	☐	**	☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐

Comments: **Interference @ 30 d could not be estimated because the terrain (high-relief slopes) and geology (fractured bedrock aquifer) do not meet model assumptions of the widely accepted techniques for determining stream depletion (e.g., Hunt 1999, 2003)

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
		☐			☐		☐		☐

Comments: _____

- C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
(A) = Total Interf.													
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q													
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q													
(D) = (A) > (C)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
(E) = (A / B) x 100		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: _____

- C4b. **690-09-040 (5) (b)** The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

- C5. ☐ If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
- ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
 - ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

- C6. **SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:** The applicant's proposed POA will be producing from a fractured bedrock aquifer that the departments has found to be hydraulically connected to nearby surface water sources. However, the Department is unable determine that the proposed use will have the Potential for Substantial Interference per OAR 690-009
- _____
- _____
- _____

References Used:

Hunt, B. 1999. *Unsteady Stream Depletion from Ground Water Pumping*. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, Vol 8(1), pp 12-19

Hunt, B. 2003. *Unsteady Stream Depletion when Pumping from a Semiconfined Aquifer*. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, Vol 8(1), pp 12-19

Wiley, T. J., J. D. McClaughry, and J. A. D'Allura. 2011. *Geologic Database and Generalized Geologic Map of Bear Creek Valley, Jackson County, Oregon*. Oregon Dept. of Geology and Mineral Industries. OFR O-11-11.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. Well #: _____ Logid: _____

D2. **THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:**

- a. ☐ review of the well log;
 b. ☐ field inspection by _____;
 c. ☐ report of CWRE _____;
 d. ☐ other: (specify) _____

D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:** _____

 _____D4. ☐ Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.**Water Availability Tables**

BEAR CR > ROGUE R - AT MOUTH ROGUE BASIN Water Availability as of 6/22/2016						
Watershed ID #: 70993 (Map)				Exceedance Level: 80% -		
Date: 6/22/2016				Time: 1:59 PM		
Water Availability Calculation		Consumptive Uses and Storages		Instream Flow Requirements		Reservations
Water Rights			Watershed Characteristics			
Water Availability Calculation Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet						
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	107.00	192.00	-85.40	0.00	170.00	-255.00
FEB	129.00	235.00	-106.00	0.00	170.00	-276.00
MAR	129.00	214.00	-85.20	0.00	170.00	-255.00
APR	105.00	31.00	74.00	0.00	170.00	-96.00
MAY	84.20	47.20	37.00	0.00	170.00	-133.00
JUN	61.60	73.40	-11.80	0.00	100.00	-112.00
JUL	28.10	94.20	-66.10	0.00	40.00	-106.00
AUG	19.30	79.80	-60.50	0.00	24.00	-84.50
SEP	17.10	56.50	-39.40	0.00	20.00	-59.40
OCT	18.30	18.10	0.17	0.00	24.00	-23.80
NOV	30.90	57.90	-27.00	0.00	62.00	-89.00
DEC	65.30	138.00	-72.30	0.00	153.00	-225.00
ANN	89,800.00	74,400.00	24,400.00	0.00	76,600.00	0.00

Well Location Map

