

FROM: Groundwater/Hydrology Section

Meyer

Reviewer's Name

SUBJECT: Application G- 12226

PER THE Deschutes Basin rules, one or more of the proposed POA's is within _____ mile of a surface water source and taps a groundwater source hydraulically connected to the surface water.

BASED UPON OAR 690-09 currently in effect, I have determined that the proposed groundwater use

- a. ___ will, or } have the potential for substantial interference with the nearest surface water
- b. ~~___~~ will not } source, namely Tumalo Creek; or
- c. will, if properly conditioned, adequately protect the surface water from interference:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 4A;
 - ii. ___ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
 - iii. ___ The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 4 below; or
- d. ___ will, with well reconstruction, adequately protect the surface water from substantial interference.

BASED UPON available data, I have determined that groundwater for the proposed use

- a. ___ will, or }
- b. ___ will not } likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior rights; or
- c. can, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing rights or to the groundwater resource;
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 4E;
 - ii. ___ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
 - iii. ___ The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 4 below.

- a. ___ **THE PERMIT** should allow groundwater production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
- b. ___ The permit should allow groundwater production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
- c. ___ The permit should allow groundwater production only from the _____ groundwater reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
- d. ___ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions.
- e. ___ One or more POA's commingle 2 or more sources of water. The applicant must select one source of water per POA and specify the proportion of water to be produced from each source.

6-12226-9

REMARKS: _____

STATE OF OREGON
WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

INTEROFFICE MEMO

Desc 8509

TO: FILE G-12226

DATE: 9/27-91

FROM: SARAH C MEYER

SUBJECT: SURFACE/GROUND WATER CONSIDERATIONS

The applicant seeks 10 cfs from two wells for municipal use in the Tumalo
4400 gpm Creek Basin

Per Division 9

Deschutes County
T17S/R11E Sec 34

FACTS

The well locations and aquifer display the following: ~~N/A~~

1) Well #1 is located ^{2050'}~~3780'~~ from 2550' and well #2 is located _____ from Tumalo Creek < 1/2 mile

2) Well #1 is [~]650 deep and well #2 is ~650' deep developing water in _____. The well logs are in the file.

3) The static water level for well #1 was 400(?) on _____ and for well #2 it was _____ on _____ from the log reports.

4) The approximate elevations of the wells are 3980' for well #1 and 3280' for well #2.

5) The nearby stream reach elevation is 3760' feet.

6) ^{Geology + Mineral} Resources of Deschutes describes groundwater conditions in the area of the application.
County -> Bulletin #89

7) A pump test on the well #1 produced _____ gpm with _____ feet drawdown in _____ hours. Well #2 produced _____ gpm w/ _____ feet d.d in _____ hours.

CONCLUSIONS

1) The head at well #1 is 3580' and at well #2, 3580', indicating a lower standing with the nearby stream reach.

2) The well develops water from the Ashflow + Airfall Deposits

3) Based on requested rate, heads, distances, general geologic environment and logged materials, I conclude that the alluvial aquifer is _____ confined with _____ hydraulic connection to the nearby stream and _____ the potential to cause substantial interference.

Wells have not been drilled yet.

Permit is for Wells # 1 and # 2 located in section 34 only

3980 ~~39~~
400
3580

(End of Page)

Water Resources Department

MEMO

April 9, 1996

TO Application G- 12258 *JKS 6/4/13 (5 yr renewal)

FROM GW: Mara A Norton
(Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

Yes
 No

The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway.

Yes
 No

Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J).

PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE FINDING: (Check box only if statement is true)

At this time the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use of ground water will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway in quantities necessary for recreation, fish and wildlife.

FLOW REDUCTION: (To be filled out only if Preponderance of Evidence box is not checked)

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce _____ in _____ Scenic Waterway by the following _____ a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water _____

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug

Expired 13

12258

TO: Water Rights Section

9/3/1991

FROM: Groundwater/Hydrology Section

Marc A Norton

Reviewer's Name

SUBJECT: Application G- 12226

1. PER THE _____ Basin rules, one or more of the proposed POA's is within $\frac{1}{2}$ mile of a surface water source and taps a groundwater source hydraulically connected to the surface water.

2. BASED UPON OAR 690-09 currently in effect, I have determined that the proposed groundwater use
 - a. ___ will, or } have the potential for substantial interference with the nearest surface water
 - b. ___ will not } source, namely _____; or
 - c. ___ will, if properly conditioned, adequately protect the surface water from interference:
 - i. ___ The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
 - ii. ___ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
 - iii. ___ The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 4 below; or
 - d. ___ will, with well reconstruction, adequately protect the surface water from substantial interference.

3. BASED UPON available data, I have determined that groundwater for the proposed use
 - a. ___ will, or }
 - b. ___ will not } likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior rights; or
 - c. can, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing rights or to the groundwater resource;
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 4E _____;
 - ii. ___ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
 - iii. ___ The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 4 below.

4.
 - a. ___ THE PERMIT should allow groundwater production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
 - b. ___ The permit should allow groundwater production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
 - c. ___ The permit should allow groundwater production only from the _____ groundwater reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
 - d. ___ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions.
 - e. ___ One or more POA's commingle 2 or more sources of water. The applicant must select one source of water per POA and specify the proportion of water to be produced from each source.

REMARKS: Application states 2 wells - Map shows 4 wells

3ci - There are a significant number of domestic wells nearby that could be affected by pumping the City's proposed wells at 10 CFS. The wells Permit should be conditioned to prevent interference.

WELL CONSTRUCTION (If more than one well doesn't meet standards, attach an additional sheet.)

5. **THE WELL** which is the point of appropriation for this application does not meet current well construction standards based upon:
- a. ___ review of the well log;
 - b. ___ field inspection by _____;
 - c. ___ report of CWRE _____;
 - d. ___ other: (specify) _____
6. **THE WELL** construction deficiency:
- a. ___ constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules;
 - b. ___ commingles water from more than one groundwater reservoir;
 - c. ___ permits the loss of artesian head;
 - d. ___ permits the de-watering of one or more groundwater reservoirs;
 - e. ___ other: (specify) _____
7. **THE WELL** construction deficiency is described as follows: _____
8. **THE WELL**
- a. ___ was, or } constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of
 - b. ___ was not } original construction or most recent modification.
 - c. ___ I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. ___ I recommend including the following condition in the permit:
"No water may be appropriated under terms of this permit until the well(s) has been repaired to conform to current well construction standards and proof of such repair is filed with the Enforcement Section of the Water Resources Department."
- B. ___ I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Enforcement Section of the Water Resources Department.
- C. ___ REFER this review to Enforcement Section for concurrence.

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL

I concur in G/H's recommendation A or B above relating to conditioning or withholding the permit.

_____, 1991.
(Signature)

I do not concur in G/H's recommendation A or B above relating to conditioning or withholding the permit for the following reasons: _____

_____, 1991.
(Signature)

(WRFORM8\91)

Nearby Domestic Well #121

18s/11E-4ba

STATE OF OREGON WATER WELL REPORT (as required by ORS 537.765)

RECEIVED

JUL 15 1986 PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT IN INK

(for official use only)

(1) OWNER: WATER RESOURCES DEPT SALEM, OREGON Name Gary Rodrigues Address 1426 School St 96817 City Honolulu State Hawaii

(2) TYPE OF WORK (check): New Well [X] Deepening [] Reconditioning [] Abandon []

(3) TYPE OF WELL: Rotary Air [X] Driven [] Rotary Mud [] Dug [] Cable [] Bored [] (4) PROPOSED USE (check): Domestic [X] Industrial [] Municipal [] Thermal: Irrigation [] Withdrawal [] ReInjection [] Other: Piezometric [] Grounding [] Test []

(5) CASING INSTALLED: Steel Threaded [] Plastic Welded [X] 8" Diam. from +1 ft. to 19 ft. Gauge .250

LINER INSTALLED: Steel Threaded [] Plastic Welded [X] 6" Diam. from 0 ft. to 633 ft. Gauge .188

(6) PERFORATIONS: Size of perforations 1/8 in. by 3 in. Perforated? [X] Yes [] No in. 228 perforations from 6.13 ft. to 633 ft.

(7) SCREENS: Well screen installed? [] Yes [X] No Manufacturer's Name Type Diam. Slot Size Set from ft. to ft.

(8) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level Was a pump test made? [] Yes [X] No If yes, by whom? Air test 20 gal./min. with drill stem at 633 ft. 1 hrs. Bailer test Artesian flow g.p.m. Temperature of water 51 Depth artesian flow encountered ft.

(9) CONSTRUCTION: Special standards: Yes [] No [X] Well seal—Material used cement Well sealed from land surface to 19 ft. Diameter of well bore to bottom of seal 12 in. Diameter of well bore below seal 8 in. Amount of sealing material 10 sacks [X] pounds [] How was cement grout placed? pumped from 19 to 0

Was pump installed? NO Type HP Depth ft. Was a drive shoe used? [] Yes [X] No Plugs Size: location ft. Did any strata contain unusable water? [] Yes [X] No Type of Water depth of strata Method of sealing strata off Was well gravel packed? [] Yes [X] No Size of gravel: Gravel placed from ft. to ft.

(10) LOCATION OF WELL by legal description: County Deschutes NE 1/4 NW 1/4 of Section 4 Township 18 S Range 11 E WM. Tax Lot Lot Block Subdivision MAILING ADDRESS OF WELL (or nearest address)

(11) WATER LEVEL of COMPLETED WELL: Depth at which water was first found 530 ft. Static level 560 ft. below land surface. Date 7/7/86 Artesian pressure lbs. per square inch. Date

(12) WELL LOG: Diameter of well below casing 0 Depth drilled 633 ft. Depth of completed well 633 ft. Formation: Describe color, texture, grain size and structure of materials; and show thickness and nature of each stratum and aquifer penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of formation. Report each change in position of Static Water Level and indicate principal water-bearing strata.

Table with 4 columns: MATERIAL, From, To, SWL. Rows include Brn sand, brn congl, black congl, gray congl, gray tuft, brn congl crse, redish gray ash, redish brn basalt, gray tuft, gray basalt, red congl fine, gray congl fine, brn congl fine, redish gray vesicular basalt, gray basalt, tan congl, brn congl (WB)@ 530, red cinders (WB).

Date work started 6/18/86 /completed 7/7/86 Date well drilling machine moved off of well 7/7/ 1986

(unbonded) Water Well Constructor Certification (if applicable): This well was constructed under my direct supervision. Materials used and information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief. [Signed] Micky D. Williams Date 7/7, 19 86

(bonded) Water Well Constructor Certification: Bond 468400 Issued by: U.S.F.@ G. (number) (Surety Company Name) On behalf of John V. Johnson (type or print name of Water Well Constructor)

This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief: [Signed] John V. Johnson (water Well Constructor) (Dated) 7/7/86

NOTICE TO WATER WELL CONSTRUCTOR The original and first copy of this report are to be filed with the

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT, SALEM, OREGON 97310 SP*46866-690 within 30 days from the date of well completion.



