

Oregon Water Resources Department 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A Salem, Oregon 97301-1271 (503) 986-0900 www.wrd.state.or.us

Watermaster Review Form: Water Right Transfer

Transfer Application: T-10366	Review Due Date:	<u>5-12-07</u>			
Applicant Name: Anthony and Joyce Turner					
Proposed Changes: POU POD		OTHER			
Reviewer(s): <u>Tony Justus</u>	Date of Review:	Apr. 20, 2007			
Do you have information suggesting that the water rights may be subject to forfeiture? Yes No If "Yes", describe the information and indicate if you intend to file a cancellation affidavit or if you need additional time to determine if a cancellation affidavit should be filed:					
Is there a history of regulation on the source that serves the right proposed for transfer that has involved the right and downstream water rights? Yes No Generally characterize the frequency of any regulation or explain why regulation has not occurred:					
Check here if it appears that downstream water rights benefit from return flows resulting from the current use of the right? If you check the box, generally characterize the locations where the return flows likely occur and list the water rights that benefit most: No change in POU.					
Are there upstream water rights that would be affected by the proposed change? Yes No If "Yes", describe how the rights would be affected and list the rights most affected:					
For POD changes and instream transfers, check here if there are channel losses between the old and new PODs or within the proposed instream reach? If you check the box, describe and, if possible, estimate the losses:					
Would distribution of water for the right after the proposed change result in regulation of other water rights that would not have occurred if use of the existing right was maximized? Yes No If "Yes", explain:					
7. For POU changes, would the original place of u source? Yes No N/A If "Yes		r from the same			
 For POU or USE changes, would use of the exidiversion of more water than can be used benef If "Yes", explain: 					
 Are there other issues not identified through the "Yes", explain: <u>Needs groundwater determination</u> 	• —	s No If			
0. What alternatives may be available for addressi	ing any issues identified abo	ve: RECEIVEI			

Page 1 of 2

APR 23 2007

Watermaster Review Form Transfer Application: T-10366

11.	Have headgate notices been issued for the source that serves the right? Yes No					
12.	What water control and measurement conditions should be included in the transfer:					
	Measurement Devices	Present and should be maintained.	Should be required prior to diverting water.	May be required in the future.		
	Headgates	Present and should be maintained.	Should be required prior to diverting water.	May be required in the future.		

RECEIVED

APR 23 2007

WATER RESOURCES DEPT SALEM, OREGON