

Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- 18344

GW Reviewer Phil Marcy Date Review Completed: 4/5/2017

Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date 04/05/2017
 FROM: Groundwater Section Phillip I. Marcy
Reviewer's Name
 SUBJECT: Application G- 18344 Supersedes review of _____
Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION: GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525.* Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. **This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.**

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Mai Doan – Blue Lotus Ventures, LLC County: Clackamas

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.16 cfs from 1 well(s) in the Willamette Basin,
Clackamas River subbasin

A2. Proposed use Nursery (6.4 acres) Seasonality: Year-round (365 days)

A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	Proposed	1	Alluvium	0.16	3S/3E-5 SE-SE	520' N, 430' W fr SE cor S 5
2						
3						
4						
5						

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	545	NA	NA	NA	320	0-50	0-320	NA	Unknown	NA	NA	NA

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** The applicant proposes to produce from permeable lenses within the Troutdale Formation, from which some nearby wells also produce (see CLAC 52081). These lenses are often locally confined and sustain a degree of artesian pressure. This pressure is often expressed as surface discharge to local seeps, springs, and wetlands overlying or adjacent to the water-bearing zone.

A5. **Provisions of the** Willamette (690-502-0140) Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water **are, or** **are not,** activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)
 Comments: _____

A6. **Well(s) #** _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.
 Name of administrative area: _____
 Comments: _____

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:

- a. is over appropriated, is **not** over appropriated, or **cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. **will not** or **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. **will not** or **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
- d. **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N _____;
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

- B2. a. **Condition** to allow groundwater production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
- b. **Condition** to allow groundwater production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
- c. **Condition** to allow groundwater production only from the _____ groundwater reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
- d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** _____

The area in the vicinity of the proposed wells is underlain by basalt flows of the Boring Lavas and sediments of the Troutdale Formation and the Sandy River Mudstone (Trimble, 1963). In the area of the applicant’s proposed wells, basalt flows of the Boring Lavas occur at land surface (Trimble, 1963). The basalt flows are generally up to 200 feet thick in the area, except in areas near the eruption vents, where the basalts extend to much greater depths. A few wells in the area report Boring Lavas to depths of about 200-250 feet (see logs for CLAC 16549 and CLAC 70588). Beneath the Boring lava lies several hundred feet of older alluvium, which is generally fine-grained mudstone containing beds of sand and gravel. The Columbia River Basalts underlie the older sediments in the area, although the exact depth is uncertain. There are no known wells in the area that have encountered Columbia River Basalts, but the top of the basalts are estimated to be around 900-1000 feet below land surface in the area (Gannett and Caldwell, 1998).

Yields reported on well logs for wells completed similarly to the applicant’s proposed well generally range up to 30 gpm, with one nearby well log reporting 90 gpm with a drawdown of 376 feet (see log CLAC 66506).

No local data is available to evaluate water-level trends over time in this area. This indicates a need for water-level monitoring to assess the on-going health of the ground-water system.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Troutdale Formation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: The well log for nearby wells, CLAC 16549 and CLAC 70588, indicate the presence of thin, confined beds encased in a thick sequence of silts and clays (Madin, 2004). This suggests that the productive water-bearing zones are likely to be locally confined.

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
						YES	NO	ASSUMED	YES	NO
1	1	Bargfeld Creek	345-395	350	1200	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
1	2	Unnamed Trib to Clear Creek (west of applicant)	345-395	395	2450	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
1	3	Clear Creek	345-395	225	3380	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Nearby wells producing from permeable lithologies within the Troutdale Formation have water level elevations similar to those in Bargfeld Creek and other nearby tributaries to Clear Creek. Incised valleys surrounding the proposed POA location are discharge zones for strata proposed as the production zone within the POA well.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Clear Cr > Clackamas R – At Mouth (82)

C3a. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	5.65	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
1	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	5.65	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
1	3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	5.65	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments: _____

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
(A) = Total Interf.													
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q													
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q													
(D) = (A) > (C)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
(E) = (A / B) x 100		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: This section does not apply.

C4b. **690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.**

- C5. **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
- i. The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
 - ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. **SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:** _____
The proposed pumping rate is greater than 1% of 80% of the minimum perennial streamflow for Clear Creek. Due to hydraulic connection between the proposed POA well and local streams, PSI has been triggered. The maximum allowable pumping rate at the proposed location would be 0.0565 CFS under these circumstances.

However, PSI is also triggered due to the proximity of the proposed POA to Bargfeld Creek to the south (less than ¼ mile) and hydraulic connection with the creek. The proposed location would need to be at least ¼ mile from all local surface waters to avoid PSI.

References Used: Application file G-18344 and nearby G-16761 and G-16978.

Gannett, M.W. and Caldwell, R., 1998, Geologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A, 32 p.

Madin, I.P., 2004, Geologic Mapping & Database for Portland area fault studies: Final report, Clackamas, Multnomah & Washington Counties, OR, Open File Report O-04-02, Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, Portland, OR., map scale 1:24,000

McFarland, William D., and Morgan, David S., 1996, Description of the Groundwater Flow System in the Portland Basin, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2470-A, 58p, 7 plates.

Swanson, R.D., McFarland, W.D., Gonthier, J.B., and Wilkinson, J.M., 1993, A Description of Hydrogeologic Units in the Portland Basin, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 90-4196, 56 p., 10 sheets, scale 1:100,000.

Trimble, Donald E., 1963, Geology of Portland, Oregon and Adjacent Areas, Geological Survey Bulletin 1119, 119 p., 1 pl.

OWRD Groundwater Database and well logs.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. Well #: _____ Logid: _____

D2. **THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:**

- a. review of the well log;
- b. field inspection by _____;
- c. report of CWRE _____;
- d. other: (specify) _____

D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:** _____

D4. **Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.**

Water Availability Tables

DETAILED REPORT ON THE WATER AVAILABILITY CALCULATION						
Watershed ID #: 82		CLEAR CR > CLACKAMAS R - AT MOUTH			Exceedance Level: 80	
Time: 12:10 PM		Basin: WILLAMETTE			Date: 04/05/2017	
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirements	Net Water Available
Monthly values are in cfs. Storage is the annual amount at 50% exceedance in ac-ft.						
JAN	126.00	1.29	125.00	0.00	0.00	125.00
FEB	128.00	1.20	127.00	0.00	0.00	127.00
MAR	128.00	0.79	127.00	0.00	0.00	127.00
APR	131.00	0.94	130.00	0.00	0.00	130.00
MAY	111.00	2.65	108.00	0.00	0.00	108.00
JUN	48.10	3.70	44.40	0.00	40.00	4.40
JUL	19.00	6.40	12.60	0.00	40.00	-27.40
AUG	8.02	5.22	2.80	0.00	20.00	-17.20
SEP	5.65	2.22	3.43	0.00	20.00	-16.60
OCT	6.23	0.86	5.37	0.00	0.00	5.37
NOV	21.50	0.85	20.60	0.00	0.00	20.60
DEC	103.00	1.36	102.00	0.00	0.00	102.00
ANN	99,100	1,670	97,400	0	7,260	93,300

