Umpqua National Forest PO Box 1008 Roseburg, OR 97470 (503) 672-6601

REPLY TO: 2540

DATE: March 9, 1993

Ms. Martha O. Pagel, Director Oregon Dept. of Water Resources 3850 Portland Road NE Salem, OR 97310 A MARKES DEFE

Dear Ms. Pagel:

This letter is our formal objection to the Unsatisfactory Reports of Technical Review for Water Use Permits and the Director's recommendation that application File Nos. G-11103 (Diamond Lake and Broken Arrow Campground domestic wells) and 65951 (Thorne Prairie Livestock Allotment) for water use permits be denied. These applications for water rights were filed (and priority dates were assigned) in 1933, 5 years before designation of the North Umpqua Scenic Waterway affected availability in the basin. The Diamond Lake wells serve thousands of recreational users every year. The Thorne Prairie water source serves another important National Forest use and protects water quality in Loafer Creek near the point of diversion by attracting livestock to water at an out-of-channel location. We believe that the deep wells at Diamond Lake and Loafer Creek (which does not have a surface connection from our point of diversion to the North Umpqua River) do not have a significant hydraulic connection to surface waters of the North Umpqua. It is these surface waters that the scenic waterway assessment is intended to protect.

We offer the following reasons for our objection and wish to resolve any remaining differences through alternative dispute resolution. As mentioned below, we may be able to provide additional evidence at that time.

 Applications G-11103 and δ6951 were filed and given priority dates on September 29, 1983. The Department did not act until January 5, 1993, to determine whether permits could be issued. The North Umpqua Scenic Waterway was designated in 1988, and the Scenic Waterway flow requirements were approved by the Commission in 1992 (not 4/19/91 as shown in the Technical Review).

Other applications filed in 1983 or later were issued permits. For example, permits were issued on Applications 66950 and 66952 (Umpqua National Forest, September 29, 1983), Application 68001 (Diamond Lake Improvement Company, February 28, 1985), and Permit 50222 (Oregon Department of Transportation, November 23, 1987).

2. In our comment letter on the North Umpqua Scenic Waterway Assessment dated April 24, 1992, attached), we asked that the assessment allow for domestic water for existing and future recreation in the basin.





3. The applications are for water which has no significant hydraulic connection to surface waters of the North Umpqua River. Well logs are attached which show that static water levels in North and South Diamond Lake wells (Application G-11103) are 128 feet and 61 feet, respectively, below land surface. The surface of Diamond Lake is very near the land surface elevation of both wells, and the maximum depth of the lake is 50 feet.

Loafer Creek does not flow on the surface, downstream of the point of diversion (Application 66951).

We will be glad to furnish the location of surface water in Loafer Creek. If necessary, we may provide additional information and testing of the Diamond Lake wells and a geologist's investigation.

4. High public interest exists in the Diamond Lake Campground water uses. If necessary, we believe the uses can be conditioned to protect instream values. In the case of Application 66951, it may be possible to transfer an existing water right certificate without changing the amount of water in the North Umpqua River.

We request an opportunity to submit additional evidence during alternative dispute resolution and to have that evidence considered during appeal if resolution is not reached. Please send us copies of all information and correspondence in your files for Applications G-11103 and 66951. We believe that the reasons given in this letter and other evidence will show that the water availability analysis (and Scenic Waterway Assessment) can be improved, that the technical review is defective, and/or that alternatives exist to provide water for these important uses. Please contact Mikeal Jones, Hydrologist, at (503) 672-6601 for further information.

Sincerely,

DON OSTBY

Acting Forest Supervisor

Enclosures

cc: Diamond Lake RD

R. Arney, Recreation Staff Officer

Watermaster Justice Building, Room 103 Roseburg, OR 97470

MJ:aw



The original and first copy of this report are to the filed with the filed with the state Engineer, Salem, Oragon 27310 8 1959 within 30 days from the date DEU8 1959

WATER WELL REPORT (Please type or print) (Do not write above this line)

			1
			28/65-21
State	Well	No.	00/ Gt- 41

5. Well Dig Lake

State Permit No. ...

of well completion STATE ENGINEER

(1) OWNER: SALEM, OREGOT	(11) LOCATION OF WELL:			
Name U. S. & Dept. of Agriculture	County Douglas Driller's well number			
Address Forest Service, Gen. Del.	14 14 Section 21 T. 28S R. 6E W.M.			
Diamond Take, Ore, 97731	Bearing and distance from section or subdivision corner			
(2) TYPE OF WORK (check):				
New Well ☒X Deepening ☐ Reconditioning ☐ Abandon ☐				
If abandonment, describe material and procedure in Item 12.				
(3) TYPE OF WELL: (4) PROPOSED USE (check): Rotary Driven Domestic Industrial Municipal Domestic Municipal D	(12) WELL LOG: Diameter of well below casing 12"			
Bored Irrigation Test Well Other X	Depth drilled 200 ft. Depth of comple	eted well	200	ft.
CASING INSTALLED: Threaded Welded MX 212. Diam. from 0 n. to 98 nt. Gage • 330	Formation: Describe color, texture, grain size and structure of materials; and show thickness and nature of each stratum and aquifer penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of formation. Report each change in position of Static Water Level as drilling proceeds. Note drilling rates.			
12" Diam. from 98 ft. to 109 ft. Gage 4410	MATERIAL	From	То	SWL
10" Diam. from -1 ft. to 170 ft. Gage # 330	brown top soil	0	3	
PERFORATIONS: Perforated? Yes (XMo.	pumice	3	4	
	black volcanic sand with			
Type of perforator used	pumi ce	4	15	
Size of perforations in. by in.	sand & gravel with pumice		30	
perforations fromft. toft.	sand & gravel	30	31	
	pumice	31	36	
perforations from	flowing sand & small grav		- J	
perforations fromft. toft.	with pumice	36	54	
	coarse sand & gravel with	wate 54	72	
(7) SCREENS: Well screen installed? Yes XNo	brown tuff	72	74	
Manufacturer's Name	black volcanic sand with	_1_~		
Type Model No	red cinder & basalt		·	
Diam. Slot size Set from ft. to ft.	pebbles	74	115	
Diam. Slot size Set from ft. to ft.	black broken lava with	_		
) WATER LEVEL: Completed well.	red cinder	115	_160	
gratic level 61 ft. below land surface Date11/15/69	hard blue grey basalt	160	172	
Laian pressure lbs. per square inch Date	black lava	172	180	
(9) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is	flowing coarse lava sand	700	700	
lowered below static level	<u>black & basalt garvel</u> broken basalt	180 183		
as a pump test made? Yes No If yes, by whom?	Work started 10/12/69 19 Complete) 19
*ld: 389 gal./min, with 4 ft. drawdown after 4 hrs.	Date well drilling machine moved off of well //	2 /	- (0	19
	Bate wen drining machine moved on or wen	-x6	-69	
и и и	Drilling Machine Operator's Certification:			35-4-
Bailer test 30 gal./min. with 11 ft. drawdown after 1 hrs.	This well was constructed under my dis rials used and information reported abov			
Artesian flow g.p.m. Date	knowledge and bolief			
Temperature of water Was a chemical analysis made? ☐ Yes ☐ No	[Signed](Drilling Machine Operator)	Date 1	2/3/6	?9
(10) CONSTRUCTION: Well seal-Material used bentonite	Drilling Machine Operator's License No	75		
_				
Depth of seal 18 ft.	Water Well Contractor's Certification: This well was drilled under my jurisdic	ction ar	d this r	enort is
Diameter of well bore to bottom of seal	true to the best of my knowledge and belie		ia tilis re	port is
Was a drive shoe used? ⚠ Yes □ No	NAME HOURTY Drilling CO. (Person, firm or corporation)	(Тур	or print)	
Did any strata contain unusable water? X Yes No	Address 1044 W. 12th, Medfor	rd. O	re.	7501
Type of water? depth of strata	A1.11 011		.	
Method of sealing strata off	[Signed]			· · ·
Was well gravel packed? ☐ YesXX No Size of gravel:	(Water Well Contract			
Gravel placed from ft. to ft.	Contractor's License No. 338 Date	12/3/	09	19

(USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)

The original and first copy
of this report are to be
filed with the

STATE ENGINEER, SALEM, OREGON 97:10CT 6 E VATER WELL REPORT GEVE 6 1970 Lase type or print) OCT 14 1970 SEE within 30 days from the date of well completion. STATE ENGINEER SALEM. ORZEON

(1) OWNER:	(10) LOCATION OF WELL:		
	County D OUGLAS Driller's well n	umber	
Name UMPQUA NATIONAL FOREST- DIAMOND LAKE Address U.S. FOREST SERVICE - P.O. BOX 1008	14 14 Section 15 T. 200	-1-5	为E W.M.
ROSEBURG, OREGON			W . IVI .
(2) TYPE OF WORK (check):	Bearing and distance from section or subdivis	ion corner	
New Well Deepening □ Reconditioning □ Abandon □			
If abandonment, describe material and procedure in Item 12.	(44) **** **** ***** * * * * * * * * * *	**	
	(11) WATER LEVEL: Completed w	vell. 219	
(3) TYPE OF WELL: (4) PROPOSED USE (check):	Depth at which water was first found		ft.
Rotary Driven Domestic M Industrial Municipal Domestic M Industrial Municipal	Static level 128 ft. below land	surface. Date 10	-5-6/
Dug Bored I Irrigation Test Well Other	Artesian pressure lbs. per squar	re inch. Date	
CASING INSTALLED: Threaded Welded 1 12. Diam. from 0 ft. to 119½ ft. Gage .330 10. Diam. from 107 ft to 245 ft. Gage .307 "Diam. from ft. to ft. Gage	(12) WELL LOG: Diameter of well between Depth drilled 250 ft. Depth of compiler Formation: Describe color, texture, grain size and show thickness and nature of each stratuments.	leted well 25 and structure of m and aquifer p	0 ft. materials; enetrated,
(PERFORATIONS: Perforated? V Yes No.	with at least one entry for each change of forma position of Static Water Level and indicate prin		
Type of perforator used TORCH	MATERIAL	From To	SWL
Size of perforations $\frac{1}{2}$ in by 2 in.			
2080 perforations from 115 ft. to 245 ft.	TOP SOIL	0 4	
perforations from ft. to ft.	RED LAVA-LARGE COBBLES	4 27	
perforations from ft. to ft.	LOOSE GRAY LAVA	27 61	
	GRAY/RED TRACES OF LAVA	61 110	
(7) SCREENS: Well screen installed? Yes No	BLACK LAVA ASH	110 119	
Manufacturer's Name	GRAY/RED TRACES OF LAVA	119 185	
Type Model No.	GRAY/RED CINDERS	185 225	100
Diam Slot size Set from ft. to ft.	RED/BROWN CINDERS	225 250	128
Diam. Slot size Set from ft. to ft.	· ·		
(8) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level			
Was a pump test made?			
eld: 330 gal./min. with 105 ft. drawdown after 7 hrs.			
" " "			
" " "			ļ
			<u> </u>
Artesian flow g.p.m.		10.5.67	L
perature of water 500 Depth artesian flow encountered ft.	Work started 8-7-67 19 Complete		
(9) CONSTRUCTION:	Date well drilling machine moved off of well	10-5-67	19
Well seal—Material used CEMENT Well sealed from land surface to 33 ft. Diameter of well bore to bottom of seal 16 in. Diameter of well bore below seal 12 in. Number of sacks of cement used in well seal 29 sacks Number of sacks of bentonite used in well seal sacks	Drilling Machine Operator's Certification: This well was constructed under my Materials used and information reported best knowledge and belief. [Signed (Drilling Machine Operator) Drilling Machine Operator's License No.	direct super above are tru Date 10-20-	e to my
Brand name of bentonite	Water Well Contractor's Contification		
Number of pounds of bentonite per 100 gallons	Water Well Contractor's Certification:	intion 3 43.1	noncet :
of water	This well was drilled under my jurisd true to the best of my knowledge and bel	lief.	report is
Was a drive shoe used? X Yes No Plugs Size: location ft.	Name CARTER'S DRILLING & PU	MP SERVICE	
Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes X No	(Person, firm or corporation)	(Type or pr	int)
Type of water? depth of strata	Address 325 So 2mo St., SPRIN	GF FELL TORE	
Method of sealing strata off	signed I amas J. F.	and the	
Was well gravel packed? ☐ Yes ☐XNo Size of gravel:	[Signed] (Water Well Contr		
Gravel placed from ft. to ft.	Contractor's License No. 126 Date	10-20-	, ₁₉

Umpqua National Forest PO Box 1008 Roseburg, OR 97470 (503) 672-6601

REPLY TO: 2540

DATE: April 24, 1992

Mr. Bill Fujii, Recreation Coordinator Oregon Water Resources Department 3850 Portland Road NE Salem, OR 97310

Dear Mr. Fujii:

Thank you for meeting with us last week concerning the North Umpqua River Scenic Waterway Assessment. Umpqua National Forest Representatives Mikeal Jones and James Stone attended the agency and public meetings you held on April 9, 1992. The assessment proposes preliminary scenic waterway flows of 890 cubic feet per second measured on the North Umpqua above Copeland Creek from July through November and 1,020 cfs measured above Rock Creek (gage discontinued 1945) from July through October. Applications for new water uses would not be approved if they would reduce flows below these levels. This letter is our formal comment on the assessment.

1. We urge you to consider information collected in the future before issuing instream water rights for fish, recreation, or water quality on the North Umpqua River.

We appreciate the necessity of establishing "Diack flows" on the scenic waterways and the difficulty establishing fish and recreation-dependent flows. The Umpqua National Forest will sign a management plan soon for the North Umpqua National Wild and Scenic River, and we propose monitoring flow-dependent uses. Pacific Power also plans instream flow studies of fish and recreation values over the 5-year relicensing study of their Toketee hydroelectric project (beginning the summer of 1992).

2. There is presently no stream gage immediately above Rock Creek on the North Umpqua River. We request that the Preliminary Flow Assessment for the Scenic Waterway reach from Steamboat Creek to Rock Creek, be administered according to the assessment preliminary flows you proposed for the upper reach, measured at Gage #14316500 North Umpqua above Copeland Creek.

Administering assessment flows from the single gaging station above Copeland Creek will require an initial assumption that, for example, when the upper reach is flowing 890 cfs, the lower reach flows are at least 1,020 cfs. Since the lower reach flows are based on fishery values only, future work may identify different recreation-related flows. The Preliminary Scenic Waterway Flows above Rock Creek should be based on boating experiences between Steamboat Creek and Rock Creek, a wider, shallower reach. The North

Umpqua Wild and Scenic Management Plan, if approved, proposes a stream gage on the North Umpqua above Rock Creek and administration based on that location could begin when the gage is installed.

3. Finally, we ask that you consider domestic water for existing and future recreation in the basin, as well as flow-dependent recreation, before instream water rights are issued.

If you have any questions, please contact Mikeal Jones.

Sincerely,

LEE F. COONCE

Forest Supervisor