

Groundwater Review Summary Form

Application # G- 18474

GW Reviewer J. Hackett Date Review Completed: May 1, 2017

Summary of GW availability and Injury Review:

Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date May 1, 2017

FROM: Groundwater Section J. Hackett
Reviewer's Name

SUBJECT: Application G- 18474 Supersedes review of _____
Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525.* Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. **This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.**

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: High Quality Gardens County: Linn

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.111 cfs from 1 well(s) in the Willamette Basin,
Calapooia subbasin

A2. Proposed use Irrigation Seasonality: June 1 – September 30

A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):

| Well | Logid | Applicant's Well # | Proposed Aquifer* | Proposed Rate(cfs) | Location (T/R-S QQ-Q) | Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 |
|------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | LINN 7514 | 1 | Alluvium | 0.111 | 11S/03W-29 SW NE | 1937'S, 200'E of N 1/4 cor S 29 |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | |

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

| Well | Well Elev ft msl | First Water ft bls | SWL ft bls | SWL Date | Well Depth (ft) | Seal Interval (ft) | Casing Intervals (ft) | Liner Intervals (ft) | Perforations Or Screens (ft) | Well Yield (gpm) | Draw Down (ft) | Test Type |
|------|------------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------|
| 1 | 245 | 62 | 16 | 10/1/1974 | 100 | 0-18 | 0-95 | | 85-95 | 50 | 19 | B |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** _____

A5. **Provisions of the** Willamette (OAR 690-502) Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water **are, or** **are not**, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)
 Comments: The applicant's well is not within 1/4 mile of any perennial surface water features so the pertinent basin rules (OAR 690-502-0240) do not apply.

A6. **Well(s) #** _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.
 Name of administrative area: _____
 Comments: _____

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:

- a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
- d. will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C; 'Medium' water-use reporting;
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

- B2. a. **Condition** to allow groundwater production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
- b. **Condition** to allow groundwater production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
- c. **Condition** to allow groundwater production only from the _____ groundwater reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
- d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** The applicant's well is in an area underlain by thick alluvial fan deposits referred to as the Lebanon Fan by Woodward et al., (1998). These deposits are composed of coarse to fine sediments that reach > 140 ft thick and are considered to be a very productive aquifer system within the Willamette Valley. Locally, the aquifer is confined by 10-20 feet of silt and clay (Willamette Silt). The thickness of these deposits and their overall high transmissivity suggest little concern of negative impacts from the proposed use.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

| Well | Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer | Confined | Unconfined |
|------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | Alluvium | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Nearby well logs and general information in USGS publications.

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

| Well | SW # | Surface Water Name | GW Elev ft msl | SW Elev ft msl | Distance (ft) | Hydraulically Connected? | | | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? | |
|------|------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | YES | NO | ASSUMED | YES | NO |
| 1 | 1 | Calapooia R. | 260-280 | 200-260 | 15,000 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | | | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Published water table maps indicate that groundwater flows toward, and discharges into, the Calapooia River. Smaller creeks in the immediate area are not perennial.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Calapooia R. > Willamette R – AB Mouth (ID# 76)

C3a. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

| Well | SW # | Well < ¼ mile? | Qw > 5 cfs? | Instream Water Right ID | Instream Water Right Q (cfs) | Qw > 1% ISWR? | 80% Natural Flow (cfs) | Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow? | Interference @ 30 days (%) | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? |
|------|------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> |

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

| SW # | Qw > 5 cfs? | Instream Water Right ID | Instream Water Right Q (cfs) | Qw > 1% ISWR? | 80% Natural Flow (cfs) | Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow? | Interference @ 30 days (%) | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? |
|------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Comments: The applicant's well is not within 1 mile of a perennial surface water source.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

| Non-Distributed Wells | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Well | SW# | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interference CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Distributed Wells | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Well | SW# | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interference CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interference CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (A) = Total Interf. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (B) = 80 % Nat. Q | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (C) = 1 % Nat. Q | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (D) = (A) > (C) | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| (E) = (A / B) x 100 | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: Impacts to the Calapooia River were not evaluated because the maximum requested rate (0.111 cfs) is less than 1% of the lowest monthly 80% exceedance flow in the river.

C4b. **690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.**

C5. **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
 i. The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
 ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. **SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:** Pumping impacts from the applicant's well will be spread out over a large area and will have minimal impact to perennial surface water reaches.

References Used:

Herrera, N. B., Burns, E. R., and T. D. Conlon. 2014. *Simulation of Groundwater Flow and the Interaction of Groundwater and Surface Water in the Willamette Basin and Central Willamette Subbasin, Oregon*. USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2014-5136

Gannet, M. W. and R. R. Caldwell. 1998. *Geologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington*. USGS Professional Paper 1424-A.

Hunt, B. 1999. *Unsteady Stream Depletion from Ground Water Pumping*. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, Vol 8(1). 12-19

Woodward, D. G., M. W. Gannett, and J. J. Vaccaro. 1998. *Hydrogeologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington*. USGS Professional Paper 1424-B.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. **Well #:** _____ **Logid:** _____

D2. **THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:**

- a. review of the well log;
- b. field inspection by _____ ;
- c. report of CWRE _____ ;
- d. other: (specify) _____

D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:** _____

D4. **Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.**

Figure 1: Water Availability Tables

**Water Availability Analysis
Detailed Reports**

**CALAPOOIA R > WILLAMETTE R - AB MOUTH
WILLAMETTE BASIN**

Water Availability as of 5/1/2017

Watershed ID #: 76 ([Map](#))

Exceedance Level: 80% ▾

Date: 5/1/2017

Time: 3:31 PM

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second
Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

| Month | Natural Stream Flow | Consumptive Uses and Storages | Expected Stream Flow | Reserved Stream Flow | Instream Flow Requirement | Net Water Available |
|-------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| JAN | 592.00 | 2.87 | 589.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 569.00 |
| FEB | 650.00 | 2.82 | 647.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 627.00 |
| MAR | 575.00 | 2.14 | 573.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 553.00 |
| APR | 423.00 | 1.83 | 421.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 401.00 |
| MAY | 234.00 | 6.83 | 227.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 207.00 |
| JUN | 111.00 | 12.50 | 98.50 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 78.50 |
| JUL | 49.00 | 19.30 | 29.70 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 9.69 |
| AUG | 26.00 | 13.80 | 12.20 | 0.00 | 20.00 | -7.82 |
| SEP | 22.70 | 7.25 | 15.40 | 0.00 | 20.00 | -4.55 |
| OCT | 29.60 | 1.38 | 28.20 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 8.22 |
| NOV | 133.00 | 1.88 | 131.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 111.00 |
| DEC | 499.00 | 2.84 | 496.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 476.00 |
| ANN | 404,000.00 | 4,580.00 | 399,000.00 | 0.00 | 14,500.00 | 385,000.00 |

Detailed Report of Instream Flow Requirements

Instream Flow Requirements in Cubic Feet per Second

| Application # | Status | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| MF76A | CERTIFICATE | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
| Maximum | | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 |

Figure 2: Well Location Map

G-18474, High Quality Gardens

1:24,000 scale

