# **Groundwater Application Review Summary Form**

Application # G- 18514
Application # G- 18514  GW Reviewer Phil Marcy Date Review Completed: 8/1/2017
Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:
[ ] Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.
Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:
[ ] There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.
Summary of Well Construction Assessment:
[ ] The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

WATE	ER RES	SOURCES DEPARTMENT									
MEMO	O	8/1,2017									
TO: FROM:		Application G-18514  GW: Marcy									
SUBJI	ECT: S	(Reviewer's Name)  cenic Waterway Interference Evaluation									
	YES NO	The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway									
区 区	YES	Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)									
ZÍ.	interfe	RS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is <b>able</b> to calculate ground water rence with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The ated interference is distributed below.									
	interfe the De that	RS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water rence with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, epartment is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows sary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.									

#### DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in <u>Clackamas</u> Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0.082	0.082	0.083	0.084	0.084	0.084	0.084	0.084	0.084	0.083	Ó.083	O.083

## PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Water	Rights Se	ection	,	Date07/31/2017								
FROM	Л:	Groun	ıdwater Se	ection		Philli	o I. Mar	cy					٠	
SUBJ	ECT:	Applie	cation G-	18514			ewer's Name persedes		of			Date of Re	view(s)	
oar of welfard to dete	690-310-1 e, safety a ermine who esumption	30 (1) T nd healt ether the criteria.	he Departi h as descri presumpti	MPTION; ment shall pobed in ORS on is establic w is based	resume that 537.525. D shed. OAR	a propose epartment 690-310- able infor	ed ground staff revi 140 allow mation a	ew grou s the pro nd agen	ndwate posed cy poli	r applica use be m cies in p	tions u odified lace at	nder OAI I or condi	R 690-31 tioned to of evalu	0-140 meet ation.
A1.				7 cfs from	-	-			-		_ `		Ciucitu	Basin,
711.		Eagle C		<u>,                                     </u>				,						_ Dusin,
A2.		_		igation (2				March	1 1 <sup>st</sup> –	<u>October</u>	· 31 <sup>st</sup> (	245 day	s)	,
A3.		All and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells at Logid  Applicant's Proposed Aquifer* Proposed Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q)		1	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36									
1 2	CLAC 5	4273	1	A	lluvium	0.0			E-31 SW		1100'N, 1850'E fr W ¼ cor, S 31			
3 * Alluv	vium, CRB,	Bedrock												
Well	Well Elev ft msl 336	First Water ft bls 25	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft) 280	Seal Interval (ft) 0-70, 180-205	Casing Interval (ft) +1-205	s Inte	iner ervals (ft) -280	Perfora Or Scr (ft) 220-2	eens	Well Yield (gpm) 30	Draw Down (ft) NA	Test Type Air
Use da	ta from apr	lication 1	for proposed	wells.										
A4.				nt proposes t	o irrigate 2	acres fron	n CLAC 5	54273, w	hich is	also beir	ig used	l for dom	estic sup	ply.
A5. 🛭	manage (Not al	ement of l basin r	groundwa ules contair	nette ter hydraulien such provi onfined aqu	cally connections.)	cted to sur	face wate	r 🗌 ar	e, or 🗵	are not	, activ	ated by th	is applic	ation.
A6. [	Name of	of admin	istrative ar	, . ea:									rative res	striction.
			,											

Version: 04/20/2015

Date: 07/31/2017

# B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1.	Base	ed upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
	a	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	b	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	c. ,	☐ will not or ☐ will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:  i.   The permit should contain condition #(s) N=Annual Measurement Condition;  ii.  The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.  iii.  The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
B2.	a.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
	b.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
	с.	Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
. i. i	ď.	Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.
		Describe injury —as related to water availability— that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
B3.	C==	bundwater availability remarks: The measured water level elevation in the applicant's well (CLAC 54273) corresponds
<b>D3.</b> :	to th	nat of nearby CLAC 56693, which showed reasonably stable water levels over a period of 10 years, from 2002-2012 (see
	CL	ched hydrograph). Other nearby wells, however, have shown moderate declines over the same period (CLAC 54178 and AC 56492), which average roughly one foot per year. Despite the relatively small proposed rate on this application, her development should be approached with caution, therefore condition 7/10 is recommended if a permit is issued to track
	eha	nges within the alluwial aquifer system at this location. The potential to cause injury to nearby water rights appears imal, based upon the rate requested, the distance to nearby rights, and the maximum yield of the applicant's well.
	_	

### C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Basin-fill alluvium		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: The applicant's well is completed in saturated fine-grained sediments, producing from lenses of sand, and has a static water level far above the elevation of the water-bearing zone.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUME	Potential for Subst. Interfer.  Assumed?  YES NO
1	1	Eagle Creek	201	214	2070		
1	2	Clackamas River	201	194	2740		
1	3	Goose Creek	201	330	3715		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: The presence of fine-grained lithologies above the water-bearing zone significantly delay the effects of pumping at the proposed POA well, and render the hydraulic connection to be relatively inefficient, but there is likely vertical migration of groundwater between productive sand and gravel lenses and nearby surface waters.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Clackamas R > Willamette R - At Mouth (ID #80)

Eagle Cr > Clackamas R - At Mouth (ID# 96) \*This WAB is less than ¼ mile from the proposed POA well, and will likely be affected by groundwater pumping, so will be considered in this review.

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1			MF96A	40		16.2		<<25%	
1	2			MF80A	400		822		<<25%	
1	3			MF80A	400		822		<<25%	

Date: 07/31/2017

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
L									
· [									

Comments: Even at full capture, where all water pumped from a well is intercepted from nearby surface waters, the maximum pumping rate would not exceed 1% of 80% of the minimum perennial stream flow for these water availability basins, therefore, expected interference at 30 days was not calculated, and is expected to be much less than 25% of the total pumping rate after 30 days.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	<b>%</b>	%	- %	%	%	%	%
	Q as CFS						1						
Interfer	ence CFS												
D: 4 'l	uted Well				. *		/	- 1 PC 11		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
Well	SW#	s Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	1	%	%	%	- TAPA	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well C	2 as CFS						- 70				70		70
	ence CFS		<del></del>										
			<del></del>	%			<del></del>			%	%	%	
Well C	as CFS						- ~	~~~	~~~				70
	ence CFS												
		%		%		%	%	%	%	%	- %	%	
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%		%		
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%		%	%	% .	%	%	%	%
Well (	Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	- %	%	%	%	%	%
	Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
(A) = To	otal Interf.												
	% Nat. Q												
	% Nat. Q				<u>-</u>								
(D) =	(A) > (C)		•;	· - · · ·				1			) TA	,	s '
	/B) x 100		%	<del></del>			%	%	%	%		%	
( <del>_</del> ) – ( <del>_</del>													

Application G-18514 Date: 07/31/2017 5 Page · (A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed, as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed, as CFS: (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage. Basis for impact evaluation: This section does not apply. C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section. C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below; C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions: In the vicinity of the wells in this application, approximately 55-60 feet of coarse-grained alluvium overlies nearly 400 feet of undifferentiated fine-grained sediments as defined by Swanson and others (1993). The applicant's well is completed to produce from sand lenses beneath a sequence of fine grained sediments at 240-275 feet in depth. The connection between surface water and ground water via the fine-grained sediments exists but is likely very inefficient. Because nearby logs describe water encountered 20-30 feet below land surface in the coarser alluvium, it is likely that lithologies below that zone are saturated, although the permeability of the finer sediments is much lower than in the gravel and in the sandy lenses within the fine-grained sediments. The recharge to the undifferentiated fine-grained sediments is most likely from seepage from the overlying saturated coarse-grained alluvium and from streams. References Used: Application file G-18514, application review G-16238, OWRD water level database Swanson, R.D., Mcfarland, W.D., Gonthier, J.B., and Wilkinson, J.M, 1993, A description of hydrogeologic units in the Portland Basin, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 90-4196, 56 p., 10 sheets, scale 1:100,000 (1993). USGS Estacada, Oregon 7.5 minute topographic map, 1961, photorevised 1970 and 1975.

Page

Date: 07/31/2017

### ge 6

## D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #: _		Log	id:			
D2.			appear to meet curre	ent well construction	standards based u	ipon:	
		review of the					
	Ь. ∐	field inspectio	on by				;
	с. 🔟	report of CWI	RE				i
	d. 🗌	other: (specify	/)				
D3.	THE WI	ELL construc	tion deficiency or oth	er comment is descri	bed as follows:		
_	_						
D4. L	_ Route to	o the Well Co	nstruction and Comp	liance Section for a r	eview of existing v	well construction.	
Water	Availabili	tv Toblac					
VValet	Availabili	ty Tables	DETAILED REPORT	ON THE WATER AVAILA	BILITY CALCULATIO	N	
			CLACKAM	AS R > WILLAMETTE R	- AT MOUTH		,
	thed ID #: 4:38 PM	80	· ·	Basin: WILLAMET	TE 		dance Level: 80 ate: 07/31/2017
Month		Natural	Consumptive	Expected	Reserved	Instream	Net
		Stream Flow	Use and Storage	Stream Flow	Stream Flow	Requirements	Water Available
			Storage is	Monthly values a the annual amount at	re in cfs. 50% exceedance i	n ac-ft.	
JAN		2,670.00	326.00	2,340.00	0.00	1,000.00	1,340.00
FEB		2,900.00	361.00	2,540.00	0.00	1,000.00	1,540.00
MAR APR		2,800.00 3,010.00	330.00 399.00	2,470.00 2,610.00	0.00 0.00	1,000.00 1,000.00	1,470.00 1,610.00
MAY		2,740.00	397.00	2,340.00	0.00	1,000.00	1,340.00
JUN		1,620.00	309.00	1,310.00	9.99	1,000.00	311.00
JUL		980.00	309.00	671.00	0.00	1,000.00	-329.00
AUG		822.00	294.00	528.00	0.00	890.00	-362.00
SEP OCT		833.00 882.00	282.00 276.00	551.00 606.00	0.00 0.00	890.00 1,000.00	-339.00 -394.00
NOV		1,630.00	323.00	1,310.00	0.00	1,000.00	307.00
DEC		2,650.00	328.00	2,320.00	0.00	1,000.00	1,320.00
ANN	:	2,110,000	237,000	1,870,000	0	711,000	1,200,000
			DETAILED REPORT	ON THE WATER AVAILA	BILITY CALCULATION	DN	
1			EAGLE	CR > CLACKAMAS R -		_	
	shed ID #: 4:39 PM			Basin: WILLAMET			edance Level: 80 Date: 07/31/2017 
Month		Natural	Consumptive	Expected	Reserved	Instream	Net
		Stream Flow	Use and Storage	Stream Flow	Stream Flow	Requirements	Water Available
			Storage 				
			Storage is	Monthly values a the annual amount at		in ac-ft.	
JAN		233.00	0.55	232.00	0.00	125.00	107.00
FEB		235.00	0.52	234.00	0.00	125.00	109.00
MAR		241.00	0.50 0.57	241.00 278.00	0.00 0.00	125.00 125.00	116.00 153.00
APR MAY		279.00 271.00	1.19	270.00	0.00	125.00	145.00
JUN		132.00	1.66	130.00	0.00	100.00	30.30
JUL		54.50	2.90	51.60	0.00	100.00	-48.40
AUG		22.20	2.19	20.00	0.00	40.00	-20.00
SEP 0CT		16.20 19.60	0.95 0.48	15.30 19.10	0.00 0.00	40.00 40.00	-24.70 -20.90
NBV		74.90	0.49	74.40	9.00	125.00	-50.60
DEC		245.00	0.57	244.00	0.00	125.00	119.00
ANN		197,000	762	196,000	0	72,000	129,000

Version: 04/20/2015







