

Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- 18470

GW Reviewer M. Thomas Date Review Completed: 04-15-17

Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date April 15, 2017
 FROM: Groundwater Section Michael Thoma
Reviewer's Name
 SUBJECT: Application G- 18470 Supersedes review of _____
Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525.* Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. **This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.**

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Ronald Anderson County: Lane

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.2 cfs from 2 well(s) in the Willamette Basin,
Middle Willamette subbasin

A2. Proposed use Industrial / Fire Suppression Seasonality: Year-round

A3. Well and aquifer data (**attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid**):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	LANE 8305	1	Alluvium	0.13	16S/04W-34 SWSW	1472'N, 232'E of SW cor S34
2	LANE 1965	2	Alluvium	0.07	16S/04W-34 SWSW	1134'N, 413'E of SW cor S34
3						

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	370	6	6	03/17/1982	40	0-19	+1-39		34-39	60		A
2	370	28	5	3/11/1991	39	0-19	+1-39		28-31	30		A

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** _____

A5. **Provisions of the Willamette (OAR 690-502)** Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water **are**, or **are not**, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Comments: The proposed POAs produce from an unconfined aquifer but are not hydraulically connected to surface waters within 1/4 mile.

A6. **Well(s) #** _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.

Name of administrative area: _____

Comments: _____

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:

- a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
- d. will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C (7-year SWL); Medium Water-Use Reporting;
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

- B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
- b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
- c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the _____ groundwater reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
- d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** There is a permit-condition observation well located < 1 mile to the west of the proposed POA (LANE 57266) that has water-level records from 2001 to Present that show stable long-term trends; other wells in the area with less-extensive records show similar trends. This implies that groundwater is not over-appropriated in the area which is likely due to an efficient hydraulic connection between groundwater and surface water.

There are a few permitted groundwater PODs in the vicinity of the proposed POA and most wells in the area are similarly constructed to produce from the shallow alluvial material in the upper part of the basin-fill sediments (~70% of wells in the area are completed to < 50 ft). Given the unconfined nature of the aquifer, the thickness of the sediments (part of the Eugene Fan), and relatively high productivity of the aquifer (i.e., high K, high T), interference to nearby groundwater users will likely be insignificant to minor.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Shallow Alluvium of Willamette Valley	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2	Shallow Alluvium of Willamette Valley	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: *SWLs reported on well logs* for the applicant's wells are approx. equal to reported First Water; well logs for similarly constructed well in the area also show SWLs coincident with First Water.*

**The applicant's Well #2 (LANE 1965) reports First Water at 28 ft depth. However, Well #2 is constructed very similar to Well #1 and reports similar SWL so the reviewer assumes that First Water reported on the well log for Well #2 does not represent the actual shallowest water-bearing zone and that both wells are producing from unconfined zones within the aquifer.*

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
						YES	NO	ASSUMED	YES	NO
1	1	Spring Creek	365	360-365	6940	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2	1	Spring Creek	365	360-365	6650	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: *GW elevations are estimated to be similar to or above SW elevations suggesting that groundwater is flowing towards and discharging to surface water*

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: *Willamette R > Columbia R – AB Periwinkle Cr At Gage 14174*

C3a. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments: *No surface water sources were evaluated within 1 mile*

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1	1	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %
Well Q as CFS		0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13
Interference CFS		< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
1	2	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %	< 1 %
Well Q as CFS		0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07
Interference CFS		< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
(A) = Total Interf.		< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q		10100	11600	11000	9760	8430	5360	3270	2560	2540	2860	4170	8150
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q		101	116	110	97.6	84.3	53.6	32.7	25.6	25.4	28.6	41.7	81.5
(D) = (A) > (C)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
(E) = (A / B) x 100		<< 1%	<< 1%	<< 1%	<< 1%	<< 1%	<< 1%	<< 1%	<< 1%	<< 1%	<< 1%	<< 1%	<< 1%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: The Hunt (1999) stream-depletion model was used to calculate expected impacts from pumping; aquifer parameters were taken from Herrera et al. (2014)

C4b. **690-09-040 (5) (b)** The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5. **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
 i. The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
 ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. **SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:** The applicant's proposed wells would be producing from an aquifer that has been found to be hydraulically connected to surface water, specifically Spring Creek, at a distance of >1 mile. Analysis of expected impacts to surface water was done and there is not a preponderance of evidence to assume that the proposed use will have the Potential for Substantial Interference (PSI) with surface water

References Used:

Gannett, M. W. and R. R. Caldwell. 1998. *Geologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington*. USGS Professional Paper 1424-A.

Herrera, N. B., Burns, E. R., and T. D. Conlon. 2014. *Simulation of Groundwater Flow and the Interaction of Groundwater and Surface Water in the Willamette Basin and Central Willamette Subbasin, Oregon*. USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2014-5136.

Hunt, B. 1999. *Unsteady Stream Depletion from Ground Water Pumping*. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, Vol 8(1), pp 12-19

OWRD Well Log Database – Accessed 4/15/2017.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. Well #: _____ Logid: _____

D2. **THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:**

- a. review of the well log;
- b. field inspection by _____;
- c. report of CWRE _____;
- d. other: (specify) _____

D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:** _____

D4. **Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.**

Water Availability Tables

Water Availability Analysis

Detailed Reports

WILLAMETTE R > COLUMBIA R - AB PERIWINKLE CR AT GAGE 14174
WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 4/15/2017

Watershed ID #: 30200321 [\(Map\)](#)

Exceedance Level:

Date: 4/15/2017

Time: 12:47 PM


- Water Availability Calculation
 - Consumptive Uses and Storages
 - Instream Flow Requirements
 - Reservations
- Water Rights
 - Watershed Characteristics

Water Availability Calculation


Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second
Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	10,100.00	1,370.00	8,730.00	0.00	1,750.00	6,980.00
FEB	11,600.00	4,290.00	7,310.00	0.00	1,750.00	5,560.00
MAR	11,000.00	4,560.00	6,440.00	0.00	1,750.00	4,690.00
APR	9,760.00	4,260.00	5,500.00	0.00	1,750.00	3,750.00
MAY	8,430.00	2,540.00	5,890.00	0.00	1,750.00	4,140.00
JUN	5,360.00	855.00	4,510.00	0.00	1,750.00	2,760.00
JUL	3,270.00	661.00	2,610.00	0.00	1,750.00	859.00
AUG	2,560.00	601.00	1,960.00	0.00	1,750.00	209.00
SEP	2,540.00	516.00	2,020.00	0.00	1,750.00	274.00
OCT	2,860.00	269.00	2,590.00	0.00	1,750.00	841.00
NOV	4,170.00	353.00	3,820.00	0.00	1,750.00	2,070.00
DEC	8,150.00	378.00	7,770.00	0.00	1,750.00	6,020.00
ANN	7,460,000.00	1,230,000.00	6,230,000.00	0.00	1,270,000.00	4,960,000.00


Water-Level Trends in Nearby Wells



Well Location Map



Stream-Depletion Analysis Results



Output for Hunt Stream Depletion, Scenerio 2 (s2): Time pump on = 365 days

Days	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300	330	360
Qw, cfs	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130
Jenk SD s2 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.15	0.28	0.46	0.69	0.97
Jen SD s2 cfs	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001
Hunt SD s2 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.10	0.15	0.23
Hunt SD s2 cfs	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Parameters:		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Units
Net steady pumping rate	Qw	0.13	0.13	0.13	cfs
Distance to stream	a	6940	6940	6940	ft
Aquifer hydraulic conductivity	K	20	50	100	ft/day
Aquifer thickness	b	50	50	50	ft
Aquifer transmissivity	T	1000	2500	5000	ft ² /day
Aquifer storage coefficient	S	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Stream width	ws	90	90	90	ft
Streambed hydraulic conductivity	Ks	0.1	0.1	0.1	ft/day
Streambed thickness	bs	5	5	5	ft
Streambed conductance	sbc	1.800	1.800	1.800	ft/day
Stream depletion factor (Jenkins)	sdf	12040.9	4816.4	2408.2	days
Streambed factor (Hunt)	sbf	12.5	5.0	2.5	