

Oregon Water Resources Department 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A Salem, Oregon 97301-1271 (503) 986-0900 www.wrd.state.or.us

Watermaster Review Form: Water Right Transfer

Transfer Application:T-10358Review Due Date:5/10/2007						
Applicant Name: <u>Whitehorse Ranch LLC/David Herman</u>						
Prop	posed Changes: POU POD	POA	USE USE	OTHER		
Rev	viewer(s): June Miller	Date	of Review:	May. 21, 2007		
1.	Do you have information suggesting that the water rights may be subject to forfeiture? \Box Yes \boxtimes No If "Yes", describe the information and indicate if you intend to file a cancellation affidavit or if you need additional time to determine if a cancellation affidavit should be filed:					
2.	Is there a history of regulation on the source that serves the right proposed for transfer that has involved the right and downstream water rights? \Box Yes \boxtimes No Generally characterize the frequency of any regulation or explain why regulation has not occurred:					
3.	Check here if it appears that downstream water rights benefit from return flows resulting from the current use of the right? If you check the box, generally characterize the locations where the return flows likely occur and list the water rights that benefit most:					
4.	Are there upstream water rights that would be a Yes No If "Yes", describe how the most affected:					
5.	For POD changes and instream transfers, check here if there are channel losses between the old and new PODs or within the proposed instream reach? If you check the box, describe and, if possible, estimate the losses:					
6.	Would distribution of water for the right after the proposed change result in regulation of other water rights that would not have occurred if use of the existing right was maximized? Yes No If "Yes", explain:					
7.	For POU changes, would the original place of source? Yes No N/A If "Ye storage at the same location the irrigation use i	s", explain: <u>c</u>				
8.	For POU or USE changes, would use of the ex diversion of more water than can be used benefit	0 0				

9. Are there other issues not identified through the above questions? Xes No If "Yes", explain: storage alone is not a beneficial use. If used for supplemental irrigation, this would be enlargement.

If "Yes", explain: there is no beneficial use claimed on the transfer for the storage.

- 10. What alternatives may be available for addressing any issues identified above: <u>claiming a beneficial use</u>
- 11. Have headgate notices been issued for the source that serves the right? \Box Yes \boxtimes No
- 12. What water control and measurement conditions should be included in the transfer:

Measurement Devices	Present and should be maintained.	Should be required prior to diverting water.	May be required in the future.
Headgates	Present and should be maintained.	Should be required prior to diverting water.	May be required in the future.