

**Sarah Henderson**

**From:** Tony Justus  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2007 2:30 PM  
**To:** 'Sarah HENDERSON'  
**Cc:** Ken Dowden  
**Subject:** TransReview.Form10381.doc

Watermaster review is attached.



**Oregon Water Resources Department**  
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A  
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271  
(503) 986-0900  
www.wrd.state.or.us

## Watermaster Review Form: Water Right Transfer

Transfer Application: T-10381 Review Due Date: 6-20-07  
Applicant Name: A.J. Wentland  
Proposed Changes:  POU  POD  POA  USE  OTHER  
Reviewer(s): Tony Justus Date of Review: May. 29, 2007

1. Do you have information suggesting that the water rights may be subject to forfeiture?  
Yes No If "Yes", describe the information and indicate if you intend to file a cancellation affidavit or if you need additional time to determine if a cancellation affidavit should be filed: The property has been using water from the well, not the West Prong diversion for several years. I do not intend to file affidavits.
2. Is there a history of regulation on the source that serves the right proposed for transfer that has involved the right and downstream water rights? Yes No Generally characterize the frequency of any regulation or explain why regulation has not occurred: We have had requests to distribute water for the past few years, every year.
3. Check here if it appears that downstream water rights benefit from return flows resulting from the current use of the right? If you check the box, generally characterize the locations where the return flows likely occur and list the water rights that benefit most: Not sure where or how much return flows return to the stream in Oregon. The property's north border is the Washington state line. The irrigation method in use is sprinkler irrigation and there is no surface returns flows observed from the property. Any subsurface return flows may be entering Washington State.
4. Are there upstream water rights that would be affected by the proposed change?  
Yes No If "Yes", describe how the rights would be affected and list the rights most affected:
5. For POD changes and instream transfers, check here if there are channel losses between the old and new PODs or within the proposed instream reach? If you check the box, describe and, if possible, estimate the losses: I do not know, recent use has been from the well.
6. Would distribution of water for the right after the proposed change result in regulation of other water rights that would not have occurred if use of the existing right was maximized?  
Yes No If "Yes", explain:
7. For POU changes, would the original place of use continue to receive water from the same source? Yes No  
N/A If "Yes", explain:
8. For POU or USE changes, would use of the existing right at "full face value," result in the diversion of more water than can be used beneficially and without waste? Yes No If "Yes", explain:
9. Are there other issues not identified through the above questions? Yes No If "Yes", explain: Regarding question #11: Headgate notices were sent out regarding the authorized POD. A headgate was installed. The application is requesting to use from a well instead of the POD from the stream.

5/29/2007

10. What alternatives may be available for addressing any issues identified above: Headgates do not need to be a condition of approval for use from a well.
11. Have headgate notices been issued for the source that serves the right? Yes No
12. What water control and measurement conditions should be included in the transfer:

<b>Measurement Devices</b>	Present and should be maintained.	Should be required prior to diverting water.	May be required in the future.
<b>Headgates</b>	Present and should be maintained.	Should be required prior to diverting water.	May be required in the future.