Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

| Application # G-18476 review |
|--|
| GW Reviewer J. Woody Date Review Completed: $\frac{\partial - 26 - 201}{\partial - 26 - 201}$ |
| Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review: |
| [] Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form. |
| Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review: |
| [] There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form. |
| Summary of Well Construction Assessment: |
| [] The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section. |

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

| MEMO | 0 | | | | | | - | 2 - | 26 | _,20 | 18 |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------|--------|
| TO: | | Applic | ation G | - 18 | 471 |) | | | | | |
| FROM | I : | GW: _ | J. M | ood er's Name | 5 | | - | | | | |
| SUBJI | ECT: S | cenic W | aterwa | y Inter | ference | Evalua | tion | | | | |
| | YES NO | The sou | arce of a | appropri | ation is | within | or above | e a Scen | ic Wate | rway | |
| | YES NO | Use the | Scenic | Waterv | vay cone | dition (C | Conditio | on 7J) | | | |
| | Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Calculat calculat informin Exercis | te the per ed, per on ng Water se of this way by | rcentage of criteria in Rights the | of consum a 390.833 at the Dep t is calc owing an | 5, do not partment ulated to mounts | by mont. fill in the is unable oreduce | he table to make | but check a Prepond ly flows | k the "underance of the in | . If interfable" op of Evidend ee consu | tion aborce finding | scenic |
| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

| TO: FROM: | : | | Rights S | ection ection | | Jen W | | | 2/26/2 | .018_ | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | | Revi | ewer's Name | | 12/2017 | | | | | |
| SUBJE | CT: | Appli | cation G- | 18476 | | Su | persedes | review of _6/ | 12/2017_ | | Date of Re | view(s) | | |
| OAR 69 welfare, to determ the pres | 90-310-1 safety armine who umption | 30 (1) 7 and healt ether the criteria. | The Depart th as descr e presumpt | ibed in ORS ion is establi ew is based | resume that 537.525. D shed. OAR upon avail | DWATE t a propose Department & 690-310- lable infor | Red grounds staff revie 140 allows | water use will even groundwate sthe proposed agency polinterprise Inconstitute and the state of | ensure the r applicat use be mo cies in pl | e prese ions u odified ace at | rvation on the or condiction the time | of the pub R 690-31 tioned to a of evalu | 0-140 meet | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A1. | Applica | nt(s) se | ek(s) 0.1 | 66 cfs from | n 1 | well | (s) in the | Willamette | | | | | _Basin, | |
| | | Yamhill | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A2. | Propose | ed use _ | | | | Seasonality: _year-round | | | | | | | | |
| A3. | Well an | d aquife | er data (at t | tach and nui | nber logs | for existin | g wells; n | ark proposed | wells as | such ı | ınder log | gid): | | |
| Well | Logid Applicant's Well # | | | Propose | ed Aquifer* | Rate | osed (cfs) | Location (T/R-S QQ-Q) | | Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 | | | cor S 36 | |
| 1 2 | PROP 99 | 9999 | 1 | A | lluvial | 0.1 | 66 | T3S/R4W-S3 SW | 1/4 SE 1/4 | 33 | 5'N, 2055'\ | W fr SE co | r 8 3 | |
| 3 4 | | + | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | CDD | D 1 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * Alluvii | ım, CRB, | Bedrock | | | 1 | | ÷. | | | | | | | |
| Well | Well Elev ft msl | First Water ft bls | SWL ft bls | SWL Date | Well Depth (ft) | Seal Interval (ft) | Casing Intervals (ft) | Liner Intervals (ft) | Perforat Or Scre (ft) | | Well Yield (gpm) | Draw Down (ft) | Test Type | |
| 1 | 320 | 19* | 16* | 06/24/2005 | 200 | 0-50 | 0-5** | n/a | n/a | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Use data | from app | lication f | for proposed | d wells. | | | | | | | | | | |
| A4. | Commo POA. e 5 foot c | ents: *V | Water leve | l information | cation will | not meet i | minimum y | is located near well constructionsed and sealed | on conditi | ons. T | his revie | w assume | | |
| A5. 🛚 | manage (Not all Comme | ment of basin ru nts: <u>69</u> | ules contai | nter hydraulic n such provis o classifies u activated. | ally connesions.) se from un | cted to sur | face water | rules relative to are, or uifers. This ap | are not, | activa propos | ses use fro | is application | ation. fined | |
| A6. 🗌 | Well(s) Name o Comme | # f admin nts: | istrative an | rea: <u>N/A</u> , | ,, | , | , | tap(s) an aquife | er limited | by an | administ | rative res | triction. | |

Date: 2/26/2018

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

| Bas | sed upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use: |
|---------------------|--|
| a. | is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, $or \boxtimes$ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130; |
| b. | will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130; |
| c. | \square will not or \square will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or |
| d. | will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: i. |
| a. | Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface; |
| b. | Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface; |
| c. | Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface; |
| | Groundwater Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability— that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): |
| | |
| The thic 200 | subject site is located on the eastern edge of the Yamhill Valley, where alluvial sediments are thin (less than 20 feet k) and overlie several hundred feet of low-yielding marine sediments. At the well location proposed in this application, feet of alluvial materials is unlikely to be encountered. A survey of well logs in T3S/R4W-Sections 2,3,10,11 produced well logs, with reported yields ranging from 0 to 150 gpm. The median yield is 4 gpm and most logs report sandstone, |
| surf are | tone and claystone which is typical of the low-yield bedrock hydrogeologic unit (marine sediments) identified at the ace by Conlon et al. (2005). While 75 gpm is higher than many nearby well yields, it is within the reported range. There no nearby wells with water level data on file; groundwater development appears to be limited to exempt uses within one e. If issued, condition 7C is recommended, which places a limit on allowable drawdown interference with neighboring |
| surf are mile | tone and claystone which is typical of the low-yield bedrock hydrogeologic unit (marine sediments) identified at the ace by Conlon et al. (2005). While 75 gpm is higher than many nearby well yields, it is within the reported range. There no nearby wells with water level data on file; groundwater development appears to be limited to exempt uses within one e. If issued, condition 7C is recommended, which places a limit on allowable drawdown interference with neighboring |
| surf are mile | tone and claystone which is typical of the low-yield bedrock hydrogeologic unit (marine sediments) identified at the ace by Conlon et al. (2005). While 75 gpm is higher than many nearby well yields, it is within the reported range. There no nearby wells with water level data on file; groundwater development appears to be limited to exempt uses within one e. If issued, condition 7C is recommended, which places a limit on allowable drawdown interference with neighboring |
| surf are mile | tone and claystone which is typical of the low-yield bedrock hydrogeologic unit (marine sediments) identified at the ace by Conlon et al. (2005). While 75 gpm is higher than many nearby well yields, it is within the reported range. There no nearby wells with water level data on file; groundwater development appears to be limited to exempt uses within one e. If issued, condition 7C is recommended, which places a limit on allowable drawdown interference with neighboring |
| surf are mile | tone and claystone which is typical of the low-yield bedrock hydrogeologic unit (marine sediments) identified at the ace by Conlon et al. (2005). While 75 gpm is higher than many nearby well yields, it is within the reported range. There no nearby wells with water level data on file; groundwater development appears to be limited to exempt uses within one e. If issued, condition 7C is recommended, which places a limit on allowable drawdown interference with neighboring |
| surf are mile | tone and claystone which is typical of the low-yield bedrock hydrogeologic unit (marine sediments) identified at the ace by Conlon et al. (2005). While 75 gpm is higher than many nearby well yields, it is within the reported range. There no nearby wells with water level data on file; groundwater development appears to be limited to exempt uses within one e. If issued, condition 7C is recommended, which places a limit on allowable drawdown interference with neighboring |

3

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

| Well | Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer | Confined | Unconfined |
|------|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|
| 1 | Marine Sediments | \boxtimes | |
| | | | |
| | | , | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Nearby well logs report a static water level that rises above the water bearing |
|---|
| zone, indicating the aquifer is more confined than unconfined. |
| • |
| |
| |

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

| Well | SW # | Surface Water Name | GW Elev ft msl | SW Elev ft msl | Distance (ft) | Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO | | |
|------|---------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | 1 | Yamhill Creek | 264 | 200 | 3250 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Water-bearing zones are reported in the fractures of the marine |
|--|
| sediments. The water level is coincident with or above perennial reaches of Yamhill Creek. The creeks have incised through |
| several hundred feet of marine sediments. Groundwater from the uplands likely discharges to surface water, providing |
| baseflow or spring flow to sustain nearby perennial reaches of the creek. |

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Watershed ID #: 70746, N YAMHILL R > YAMHILL R - AT **MOUTH**

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked \(\subseteq \text{box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause} \) PSI.

| Well | SW # | Well < 1/4 mile? | Qw > 5 cfs? | Instream Water Right ID | Instream Water Right Q (cfs) | Qw > 1% ISWR? | 80% Natural Flow (cfs) | Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow? | Interference @ 30 days (%) | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? |
|------|---------|------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 1 | | | n/a | n.a | | 16.6 | \boxtimes | * | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Page

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts <u>by total appropriation</u> for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

| - | _ | | 117 | m oba abo. | | | | | | |
|---|---------|---|-------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | SW # | 1 | Qw > 5 cfs? | Instream Water Right ID | Instream Water Right Q (cfs) | Qw > 1% ISWR? | 80% Natural Flow (cfs) | Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow? | Interference @ 30 days (%) | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

| | istributed | | | | | | | | | | | | 9 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|----------|-----|-----|--|-----|-----|------------------------|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----|
| Well | SW# | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfere | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D' 4 'l | 4 - 1 337 - 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Well | uted Well SW# | s Jan | Feb | Mar | A | Mov | Lun | T.,1 | A | Can | Oat | Non | Dan |
| wen | 3 W # | | | | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| Wall C | as CFS | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| menere | elice CFS | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 61 | ~ | ~ | | ~ | ~ | |
| W-11 O | as CFS | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interiere | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| W II O | GEG | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfere | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 000 | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| |) as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfere | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0710 | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| |) as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfere | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfere | ence CFS | | | | 3800 100 100 200 100 100 100 100 100 100 1 | | | Mary Essential Control | | | | | |
| (A) = To | tal Interf. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (B) = 80 | % Nat. Q | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (C) = 1 | % Nat. Q | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (D) = (| (A) > (C) | / | ✓ | √ | ✓ | √ | √ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| $(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A})$ | / B) x 100 | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |

Date: 2/26/2018 Page 5

| | (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage. Basis for impact evaluation: N/A |
|------------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 4b. | 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Warrights Section. |
| 5. | If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater u under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s) |
| | ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below; |
| | |
| 5. S | W / GW Remarks and Conditions: |
| _ | |
| _ | |
| _ | |
| _ | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | eferences Used: Deferences Us |
| <u>C</u> | onlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, |
| <u>Co</u> | onlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, |
| <u>Co</u> <u>Gr</u> | onlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, round-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168 S Geological Survey Topographic Map, Carlton Quadrangle. |
| | onlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, round-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168 |
| <u>Co</u> <u>Gr</u> | onlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, round-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168 S Geological Survey Topographic Map, Carlton Quadrangle. |
| <u>Co</u> <u>Gr</u> | onlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, round-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168 S Geological Survey Topographic Map, Carlton Quadrangle. |

Application G-18476

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

| D1. | Well #: | Logid: | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| D2. | a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by report of CWRE | t current well construction standards based upon: | ; | | | |
| D3. | THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| D4. | Route to the Well Construction and | Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction. | | | | |

Application G-18476 Date: 2/26/2018 Page 7

Water Availability Tables

Water Availability Analysis Detailed Reports

N YAMHILL R > YAMHILL R - AT MOUTH WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 6/12/2017

Watershed ID #: 70746 (Map) Exceedance Level: 80%

Date: 6/12/2017 Time: 11:06 AM

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

| Month | Natural Stream Flow | Consumptive Uses and Storages | Expected Stream Flow | Reserved Stream Flow | Instream Flow Requirement | Net Water Available |
|-------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| JAN | 395.00 | 35.80 | 359.00 | 0.00 | 70.00 | 289.00 |
| FEB | 485.00 | 36.50 | 449.00 | 0.00 | 70.00 | 379.00 |
| MAR | 379.00 | 28.10 | 351.00 | 0.00 | 70.00 | 281.00 |
| APR | 240.00 | 28.90 | 211.00 | 0.00 | 70.00 | 141.00 |
| MAY | 124.00 | 27.90 | 96.10 | 0.00 | 70.00 | 26.10 |
| JUN | 63.60 | 30.70 | 32.90 | 0.00 | 40.00 | -7.14 |
| JUL | 30.70 | 35.00 | -4.35 | 0.00 | 15.00 | -19.30 |
| AUG | 22.70 | 32.80 | -10.10 | 0.00 | 10.00 | -20.10 |
| SEP | 17.40 | 26.40 | -9.01 | 0.00 | 10.00 | -19.00 |
| OCT | 16.60 | 18.80 | -2.18 | 0.00 | 10.00 | -12.20 |
| NOV | 68.90 | 25.50 | 43.40 | 0.00 | 70.00 | -26.60 |
| DEC | 338.00 | 35.30 | 303.00 | 0.00 | 70.00 | 233.00 |
| ANN | 249,000.00 | 21,800.00 | 227,000.00 | 0.00 | 34,600.00 | 194,000.00 |

Version: 04/20/2015

Date: 2/26/2018

Well Location Map

G-18476 LZ&J Enterprise Incorporated T3S/R4W- Section 3 SW 1/4 SE 1/4

