Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- <u>18527</u>
GW Reviewer Phil Marcy Date Review Completed: 4/16/2018
Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:
[] Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.
Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:
[] There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.
Summary of Well Construction Assessment:
[] The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attache review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.
This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the

basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT April 16,2018 **MEMO** Application G-_18527 TO: GW: Phi Marcy (Reviewer's Name) FROM: **SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation** X YES The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway NO X YES Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J) NO Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below. X Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway. DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding. Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in ______ Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan

Feb

Mar

Apr

May

Jun

Jul

Aug

Oct

Sep

Nov

Dec

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: FROM:			Rights Sendwater Sendwater Send			Date <u>04/13/2018</u> Phillip I. Marcy								
I ROM.		Orour	id water be			Reviewer's Name								
SUBJE	CT:	Appli	cation G- <u>1</u>	8527		_ Suj	persedes i	review of			Date of Re	view(s)		
OAR 69 welfare, to deterr the press	on-310-1. safety are mine when the mine when the manual m	30 (1) 7 and healther the criteria.	th as describe e presumption This review	nent shall poed in ORS on is establ w is based N: A	resume than 537.525. It ished. OAF upon avai	at a propose Department R 690-310- lable infor Name:	ed grounds staff revie 140 allows mation ar Sebastian	water use will over groundwates the proposed agency policy Stalman Willamette	r applicat use be mo cies in pl	cions u odified ace at	nder OAld or condition the time County: _	R 690-31 itioned to e of evalu	0-140 meet nation.	
111.			nas River					· · mamette					_ Busin,	
A2.								Year-round (4	AF/yr)					
A3.	Well an	d aquife	er data (atta	ch and nu	mber logs	for existin	g wells; m	ark proposed	wells as	such 1	under log	gid):		
Well	Logic		Applicant's Well #	Propos	sed Aquifer*	Prop Rate	(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ 3S/3E-14 SE-	-Q)	2250	tion, mete N, 1200'	E fr NW	cor S 36	
3														
4														
5 * Alluviu	ım, CRB,	Bedrock												
Well 1	Well Elev ft msl 647	First Water ft bls NA	SWL ft bls	SWL Date NA	Well Depth (ft) 100-200'	Seal Interval (ft) Unknown	Casing Intervals (ft) Unknown	(ft)	Perforat Or Scre (ft) Unkno	eens	Well Yield (gpm) NA	Draw Down (ft) NA	Test Type NA	
-														
Use data	from appl	lication f	or proposed	wells.										
A4.			ew details co s listed as th					POA well wer						
A5. 🖂	manage (Not all	ment of basin r	ıles contain	er hydrauli such prov	isions.)	ected to sur	face water	rules relative to	are not,	activa	ated by th	is applica	ation.	
A6.	Name of	f admin	istrative are	a:				ap(s) an aquife						

Date: 04/16/2018

2

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1.	Bas	ed upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
	a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	b.	□ will not or ☑ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	c.	\square will not or \square will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C - Seven year minimum measurement condition ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
32.	a.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
	b.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
	c.	Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
	d.	■ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.
		Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
3.	wate	bundwater availability remarks: Little groundwater elevation data is available for this area. What data do exist show er levels to be relatively stable, though these observations are dissimilar to the groundwater elevation expected in the bosed POA well.
	how	ry to nearby users is unlikely, given the proposed rate of 0.01 cfs. With the lack of data concerning the proposed aquifer, ever, development should approached with caution. Therefore, condition 7C is recommended to track possible impacts a this proposed use.

Application G-18527 Date: 04/16/2018 Page 3

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Sand and Gravel lenses in Sandy River Mudstone		\boxtimes
	×		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Any confinement provided by overlying fine-grained horizons at this location is highly localized, as the proposed water-bearing and overlying lithologies are incised within 1 mile by the Clackamas River Canyon to the East.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUME	YES NO
1	1	Unnamed trib. To North	~630*	600	1650		
1	2	Clackamas River	~630*	242	5100		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: The water-bearing zone within the proposed POA well would produce from horizons that are incised by local drainages. Thus, groundwater in these horizons discharges where these lithologies are exposed, with little or no barrier to flow.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Clear CR > Clackamas R - At Mouth (ID# 82)

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1			MF82A	20.0		5.65		<<25%	
1	2			MF82A	20.0		5.65		<<25%	

^{*} Groundwater elevation estimated from nearby CLAC 16714.

Application G-18527 Date: 04/16/2018 Page 4

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > U	tream Instream Vater Water Light Right Q	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer.
		ID (cfs)	\vdash	(cfs)	Flow?		Assumed?

Comments: To my knowledge, there does not exist an adequate model to assess impacts to nearby surface water given the relationship of the productive aquifer and the surface water in question. Due to distance between the proposed well location and areas of exposure of the proposed aquifer horizon (> ½ mile), and fairly low hydraulic conductivity (likely 1-10 ft/day), interference due to pumping at the proposed POA location is expected to be much less than 25% at 30 days.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Well	stributed SW#		Eab	Mon	Δ	Mari	T	T1	A	C	0-4	M	D.
wen	5W#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
W. II O	are.	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Well	c											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q	as CFS									,,,	,,,		
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q	as CFS												,
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q	as CFS										,,,		
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS					7							
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q	as CFS				300000							-	
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
(A) = Tot	tal Interf.								1				
$(\mathbf{B}) = 80$	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (A)$	A) > (C)	√ I	1	V	√	4	√	/	/	1		√	<u>√</u>
	B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Cr5,	(D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage. Basis for impact evaluation: This section does not apply.
C4b.	690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.
C5. [☐ If properly conditioned , the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s)
	ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
C6. S	W / GW Remarks and Conditions: The proposed use is expected to have minimal impact to nearby surface water sources.
_	The proposed use is expected to have infinitial impact to flearby surface water sources.
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
D	of any and I lead to
	eferences Used:
_	ore, Bonata B., Geology of Formand, Oregon and Adjacent Areas, Geological Survey Bulletin 1119, 119 p., 1 pl. (1905)
U	SGS Redland 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle, 1961, photorevised 1985
Ī	ocal well logs, application file G-18527.
$\frac{1}{S}$	wanson, R.D., McFarland, W.D., Gonthier, J.B., and Wilkinson, J.M., 1993, A Description of Hydrogeologic Units in the ortland Basin, Oregon and Washington: USGS Water resources Investigation Report 90-4196, 56 p.

Application G-18527

5

Page

Date: 04/16/2018

Application G-18527 Date: 04/16/2018 Page


D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:
D2.	a.	ELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon: eview of the well log; field inspection by; eport of CWRE; other: (specify);
D3.	THE WE	ELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:
D4.	Route to	the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.


Water Availability Tables


		DETAILED REPORT (ON THE WATER AVAILA	BILITY CALCULATION	N	
Watershed ID #: Time: 11:54 AM	82	CLEAR (CR > CLACKAMAS R - Basin: WILLAMET			dance Level: 80 ate: 04/12/2018
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirements	Net Water Available
		Storage is t	Monthly values a he annual amount at	re in cfs. 50% exceedance i	n ac-ft.	
JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC ANN	126.00 128.00 128.00 131.00 111.00 48.10 19.00 8.02 5.65 6.23 21.50 103.00 99,100	1.29 1.19 0.79 0.93 2.64 3.69 6.40 5.22 2.22 0.86 0.85 1.36	125.00 127.00 127.00 130.00 108.00 44.40 12.60 2.80 3.43 5.37 20.60 102.00 97,400	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 40.00 40.00 20.00 20.00 0.00	125.00 127.00 127.00 130.00 108.00 4.41 -27.40 -17.20 -16.60 5.37 20.60

Version: 04/20/2015



Application G-18527 Date: 04/16/2018





Water-Level Trends in Nearby Wells

No appropriate record exists for the targeted aquifer system in the POA well.

Version: 04/20/2015